arXiv:1510.02575v2 [math.NT] 1 Apr 2016

HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS OVER FINITE FIELDS

JENNY FUSELIER, LING LONG, RAVI RAMAKRISHNA, HOLLY SWISHER, FANG-TING TU

Abstract. Based on the developments of many people including Evans, Greene, Katz, McCarthy, Ono, and Rodriguez-Villegas, we consider period functions for hypergeometric type algebraic varieties over finite fields and consequently study hypergeometric functions over finite fields in a manner that is parallel to that of the classical hypergeometric functions. Using a comparison between the classical gamma function and its finite field analogue the Gauss sum, we give a systematic way to obtain certain types of hypergeometric transformation and evaluation formulas over finite fields and interpret them geometrically using a Galois representation perspective. As an application, we obtain a few finite field analogues of algebraic hypergeometric identities, quadratic and higher transformation formulas, and evaluation formulas. We further apply these finite field formulas to compute the arithmetic of hypergeometric varieties.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Overview 2
1.2. Organization and the main results 4
2. Preliminaries for the complex and finite field settings 7
2.1. Gamma and beta functions 7
2.2. Gauss and Jacobi sums 10
2.3. Lagrange inversion 13
2.4. A dictionary between the complex and finite field settings 14
3. Classical hypergeometric functions 14
3.1. Classical development 14
3.2. Some properties of hypergeometric functions with $n = 1$ 16
4. Finite field analogues 23
4.1. Periods in the finite field setting 23
4.2. Hypergeometric varieties 25
4.3. Hypergeometric functions over finite fields 26
4.4. Comparison with other finite field hypergeometric functions 26
5. Some related topics on Galois representations 28
5.1. Absolute Galois groups and Galois representations 28
5.2. Notation for the mth power residue symbol 30
5.3. Jacobi sums and Grössencharacters 32
6. Galois representation interpretation 34
6.1. Galois interpretation for ${}_1\mathbb{P}_0$ 34
6.2. Generalized Legendre curves and hypergeometric type abelian varieties 34

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T23, 11T24, 33C05, 33C20, 11F80, 11S40.
Key words and phrases. Hypergeometric functions, finite fields, Galois representations.

6.3. Galois interpretation for ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ and the proof of Theorem 1 38
6.4. Some special cases of ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$-functions 41
6.5. Galois interpretation for ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$ 42
6.6. Computing zeta functions and L-functions using hypergeometric functions over finite fields 42
6.7. Summary 44
7. A finite field Clausen formula and Ramanujan type formulas for $1/\pi$ 44
7.1. A finite field version of the Clausen formula by Evans and Greene 45
7.2. Analogues of Ramanujan type formulas for $1/\pi$ 47
8. Translation of some classical results 48
8.1. Kummer's 24 Relations 48
8.2. A Pfaff-Saalschütz evaluation formula 52
8.3. A few analogues of algebraic hypergeometric formulas 53
9. Quadratic or higher transformation formulas 57
9.1. Some results related to elliptic curves 58
9.2. A Kummer quadratic transformation formula 60
9.3. The quadratic formula in connection with the Kummer relations 67
9.4. A finite field analogue of a theorem of Andrews and Stanton 72
9.5. Another application of Bailey cubic transformations over finite fields 77
9.6. Another cubic ${}_2F_1$ formula and a corollary 79
10. Applications of higher transformations to hypergeometric type Abelian varieties 86
11. List of the involved formulas 88
12. Acknowledgments 89
13. Appendix 89
13.1. Numeric observations 89
13.2. Bailey ${}_3F_2$ cubic transforms 92
13.3. A proof of Equation (109) 93
13.4. A question of Stanton 94
References 96

1. Introduction

1.1. **Overview.** Based on seminal works of Evans [29, 31, 32], Greene [39, 40, 41], Katz [45], Koblitz [47], McCarthy [64, 65], Ono [69], Rodriguez-Villegas [74], Stanton [42] et al., the finite field analogues of special functions, in particular generalized hypergeometric functions, have been developed theoretically, and are known to be related to various arithmetic objects [34, 35, 66, 58, et al.]. Computations on the corresponding hypergeometric motives have been implemented in computational packages like `Pari` and `Magma`.

In this paper, we focus on the finite field analogues of classical transformation and evaluation formulas based on the papers mentioned above, especially [39] by Greene and [64] by McCarthy. To achieve our goals, we modify their notation slightly so that the analysis becomes more parallel to the classical case and the proofs in the complex case can be extended naturally to the finite field setting. In particular, we want to emphasize that the classical ${}_{n+1}F_n$ functions, with rational parameters and suitable normalizing factors, can be computed from the period functions ${}_{n+1}P_n$

functions (see (30)) that are related to the periods of the hypergeometric varieties of the form

$$y^N = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}(1-x_1)^{j_1} \cdots (1-x_n)^{j_n}(1-\lambda x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^k, \tag{1}$$

where N, i_s, j_t, k are integers, λ is a fixed parameter, and y, x_m are variables. It is helpful to distinguish the ${}_{n+1}F_n$ functions from the actual periods, which correspond to the ${}_{n+1}P_n$ functions here, in particular when we consider their finite field analogues, which we denote by ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$ and ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n$, respectively (see definitions (46) and (50)). In the finite field setting, we use two main methods throughout. The first method is calculus-style and is based on the conversion between the classical and finite field settings that is well-known to experts. This is summarized in a table in §2.4. In the classical setting, the gamma function satisfies reflection and multiplication formulas (see Theorems 4 and 6), which yield many interesting hypergeometric identities. Roughly speaking, Gauss sums are finite field analogues of the gamma function [32] and they satisfy very similar reflection and multiplication formulas (see (14) and Theorem 7). The technicality in converting identities from the classical setting lies in analyzing the 'error terms' associated with Gauss sum computations (which are delta terms here, following Greene's work [39]). This approach is particularly handy for translating to the finite field setting a classical transformation formula that satisfies the following condition:

> (∗) it can be proved using only the binomial theorem, the reflection and multiplication formulas, or their corollaries (such as the Pfaff-Saalschütz formula).

For the second method, we rely on the built-in symmetries for the ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$ hypergeometric functions over finite fields, such as the Kummer relations for ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$ functions discussed in Section 4, which are very helpful for many purposes including getting around the error term analysis.

Also we want to stay in alignment with geometry by putting the finite field analogues in the explicit context of hypergeometric varieties and their corresponding Galois representations. This gives us important guidelines as the finite field analogues, in particular evaluation formulas such as the Pfaff-Saalschütz identity, do not look exactly like the classical cases. From a different perspective, hypergeometric functions over finite fields are twisted exponential sums in the sense of [1, 2] and are related to hypergeometric motives [16, 45, 74], abstracted from cohomology groups of the algebraic varieties. This direction is pursued by Katz [45] and further developed and implemented by many others including Rodriguez-Villegas [74], and Beukers, Cohen, Mellit [16] with a focus on motives defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. It offers a profound approach to hypergeometric motives and their L-functions by combining both classical and p-adic analysis, arithmetic, combinatorics, computation, and geometry. Our approach to the Galois perspective is derived from our attempt to understand hypergeometric functions over finite fields parallel to the classical setting and Weil's approach to consider Jacobi sums as Grössencharacters [93]. This is reflected in our notation in §5 and §6 on the Galois perspective.

We list here some notation and will introduce more later. For a given number field K, we use $\mathcal{O}_K$ for its ring of integers, $\overline{K}$ for its algebraic closure, and set $G_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. We call a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ unramified if it is coprime to the discriminant of K. For any positive integer N, let $\zeta_N := e^{2\pi i/N}$.

1.2. **Organization and the main results.** This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains preliminaries on gamma and beta functions as well as their finite field counterparts, Gauss and Jacobi sums, including a dictionary in §2.4 between objects in the classical and finite field settings. We recall some well-known facts for classical hypergeometric functions in Section 3. We assume in this paper that the parameters for all the hypergeometric functions are rational numbers. In Section 4, we introduce the period functions ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n$, and the normalized period functions ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$.

In Section 5, we recall some related background on Galois representations. In Section 6, we interpret the ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n$ and ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$ functions, in particular the $n=1$ case, as traces of Galois representations at Frobenius elements via explicit hypergeometric algebraic varieties. Let λ be a fixed algebraic number. Given a rational number of the form $\frac{i}{m}$, a number field K containing $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m, \lambda)$ and a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of K coprime to the discriminant of K, one can assign a multiplicative character $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(\frac{i}{m})$ to the residue field $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ with size $q(\mathfrak{p}) = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}|$, see (54). This assignment, based on the mth power residue symbol, is compatible with the Galois perspective when $\mathfrak{p}$ varies. It also is compatible with field extensions of K. Then our setting for the finite field period functions, and the dictionary between the two settings give us one way to convert the classical hypergeometric functions to the hypergeometric functions over the residue fields. We illustrate the map by the following diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_{n+1}P_n\left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n+1} \\ & b_1 & \cdots & b_n \end{matrix}\ ;\ \lambda\right] \\
\mapsto\ {}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n\left[\begin{matrix} \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_1) & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_2) & \cdots & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a_{n+1}) \\ & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_1) & \cdots & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_n) \end{matrix}\ ;\ \lambda; q(\mathfrak{p})\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N, \lambda)$, N is the least positive common denominator of all a_i's and b_j's, and $\mathfrak{p}$ is any unramified prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. There is a similar diagram between the hypergeometric functions ${}_{n+1}F_n$ and ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$.

Thus when we speak of the finite field analogues of classical period (or hypergeometric) functions in this paper we mean the converted functions over the finite residue fields, unless we specify otherwise. We show the following.

Theorem 1. *Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ with least common denominator N such that a, b, $a-c$, $b-c \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0,1\}$. Let K be the Galois closure of $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda, \zeta_N)$ with the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$, and let ℓ be any prime. Then there is a 2-dimensional representation $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}$ of $G_K := Gal(\overline{K}/K)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(\zeta_N)$, depending on a, b, c, such that for each unramified prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ for which λ and $1-\lambda$ can be mapped to nonzero elements in the residue field, $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}$ evaluated at the arithmetic Frobenius conjugacy class $Frob_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at $\mathfrak{p}$ is an algebraic integer (independent of the choice of ℓ), satisfying*

$$
Tr\,\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}(Frob_{\mathfrak{p}}) = -{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b) \\ & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(c) \end{matrix}\ ;\ \lambda; q(\mathfrak{p})\right]. \tag{2}
$$

Our proof makes use of generalized Legendre curves (recalled in §6.2) which are 1-dimensional hypergeometric varieties. Viewing the finite field period functions as the traces of finite dimensional Galois representations at Frobenius elements is helpful when we translate classical results to the finite field setting. Using Weil's result in [93] on Jacobi sums being Grössencharacters, one can give a similar interpretation for the normalized period functions ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$. In Section 7 we use the Galois

perspective to discuss a finite field analogue of the Clausen formula by Evans and Greene, as well as its relations to analogues of some Ramanujan type formulas for $1/\pi$. For readers only interested in direct finite field analogues of classical formulas, Sections 5, 6 and 7 can be skipped as our later proofs (except in §10) are mainly about character sums over finite fields.

In Section 8, also focusing on the $n = 1$ case, we first recall and reinterpret the finite field analogues of Kummer's 24 relations considered by Greene [39]. These relations give us many built-in symmetries for the ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$ functions, which are closely related to the classical Kummer relations for ${}_2F_1$. Then we use the dictionary between the classical and finite field settings to obtain a few finite field analogues of algebraic hypergeometric identities. For example, we recall the following formula (see [83, (1.5.20)] by Slater) which gives that

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & a-\frac{1}{2} \\ & 2a \end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right] = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)^{1-2a}. \tag{3}$$

Here, we call such a formula, which expresses a hypergeometric function (formally) as an algebraic function of the argument z, an *algebraic hypergeometric identity*. When $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, the corresponding monodromy group (see §3.2.4) is a Dihedral group. It also satisfies the $(*)$ condition mentioned above. To see its finite field analogue, it is tempting to translate the right hand side into a corresponding character evaluated at $\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}$ using the dictionary in §2.4. However, Theorem 1 implies that one character is insufficient as the corresponding Galois representations should be 2-dimensional. In fact, we will show (see Theorem 14) that for $\mathbb{F}_q$ of odd characteristic, ϕ the quadratic character, A any multiplicative character on $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ having order at least 3, and $z \in \mathbb{F}_q$,

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & A\phi \\ & A^2 \end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right] = \left(\frac{1+\phi(1-z)}{2}\right)\left(\overline{A}^2\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)+\overline{A}^2\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)\right).$$

Note that the right hand side is well-defined as it takes value zero if $1-z$ is not a square in $\mathbb{F}_q$ and 1 otherwise. Here we are using a bar to denote complex conjugation. This result was inspired by a recent result of Tu and Yang in [87]. It implies the following formula corresponding to a fusion rule for the representations of Dihedral groups. Namely, writing $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ for the set of all multiplicative characters on $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$, if A, B, AB, $A\overline{B} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ each have order larger than 2, then for $z \neq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & A\phi \\ & A^2 \end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right] {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} B & B\phi \\ & B^2 \end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right] \\ &\qquad = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} AB & AB\phi \\ & (AB)^2 \end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right] + \overline{B}^2\left(\frac{z}{4}\right) {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A\overline{B} & A\overline{B}\phi \\ & (A\overline{B})^2 \end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this implies that the last term is symmetric in A, B, although this is not obvious from its appearance.

In Section 9, we apply our main technique, which is to obtain analogues of classical formulas satisfying the $(*)$ condition using the dictionary between the two settings, to prove a quadratic formula over finite fields (Theorem 16). This is equivalent to a quadratic formula of Greene in [39]. The proof appears technical on the surface, but is very straightforward. It demonstrates that under the $(*)$ assumption our technique, together with the explicit analysis on the finite field

level, has the capacity to produce finite field analogues that are satisfied by all values in $\mathbb{F}_q$. In comparison, the approaches of Evans and Greene to higher order transformation formulas (such as [28, 39]) often involve clever changes of variables.

Using a similar approach, we prove analogues of the Bailey cubic ${}_3F_2$ formulas (see Theorems 20 and 21) and consequently an analogue of a formula by Andrews and Stanton, see Theorem 19.

Next, we use a different approach to obtain a finite field analogue of the following cubic formula ([36, (5.18)]). For $a, x \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & -a \\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{matrix}; \frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right] = {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} 3a & -3a \\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{matrix}; \frac{3x}{4}\right].$$

This formula satisfies the $(*)$ condition. We obtain the following explicit finite field analogue.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of q elements and assume its residue characteristic is at least 3. If $A \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$,*

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & \overline{A} \\ & \phi\end{matrix}; \frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right] = $$
$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & \overline{A^3} \\ & \phi\end{matrix}; \frac{3x}{4}\right] - \phi(-3)\delta(x-1) - \phi(-3)A(-1)\delta(x-1/3),$$

where $\delta(0) = 1$ and $\delta(x) = 0$ otherwise.

As a consequence of this cubic formula, we obtain the following evaluation formula, by which we mean it is a formula which gives the value of a hypergeometric series at a fixed argument.

Theorem 3. *Assume that q is a prime power with $q \equiv 1 \pmod 6$, and let A, $\chi, \eta_3 \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ such that η_3 has order 3, and none of $A^6, \chi^6, (A\chi)^3, (\overline{A}\chi)^3$ are the trivial character. Then,*

$$ {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & \overline{A}^3 & \overline{\chi} \\ & \phi & \overline{\chi}^3\end{matrix}; \frac{3}{4}\right] = \sum_{\substack{B\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}\\ B^3=A^3}} \frac{J(B\chi,\eta_3)J(\overline{B}\chi,\overline{\eta_3})}{J(B,\chi\eta_3)J(\overline{B},\chi\overline{\eta_3})} = A(-1)\sum_{B^3=A^3}\frac{J(B\chi,\overline{B}\chi)}{J(\eta_3\chi,\chi\overline{\eta}_3)}.$$

This is an analogue of the result by Gessel and Stanton [36] which states that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} 1+3a & 1-3a & -n \\ & \frac{3}{2} & -1-3n\end{matrix}; \frac{3}{4}\right] = \frac{B(1+a+n,\frac{2}{3})B(1-a+n,\frac{4}{3})}{B(1+a,n+\frac{2}{3})B(1-a,n+\frac{4}{3})}. \tag{4}$$

In these statements we use $J(\ ,\ )$ to denote the Jacobi sum and $B(\ ,\ )$ for the beta function. We will recall their definitions in §2. As we shall see later, the Jacobi sums are the finite field analogues of the beta functions.

It is worth mentioning that Gessel and Stanton's proof of (4) uses a derivative, thus cannot be translated directly to the finite field setting. We include this case here to make the following point. The Galois perspective can predict beyond the domain governed by the $(*)$ condition and the additional predictions can be proved using other techniques.

In §10, we give an explicit application of finite field formulas in computing the arithmetic invariants of hypergeometric varieties. To be more explicit, we apply Theorem 16, a finite field quadratic transformation, to obtain the decomposition of a generically 4-dimensional abelian variety arising naturally from the generalized Legendre curve $y^{12} = x^9(1-x)^5(1-\lambda x)$, (see Theorem 24).

In §11, we list the involved formulas by the categories of hypergeometric transformation formulas, hypergeometric evaluation formulas and algebraic hypergeometric identities over finite fields.

In summary, our setup and main techniques allow us translate many things in a straightforward manner, and the Galois perspective gives us guidelines to see beyond formulas satisfying the $(*)$ condition. Furthermore, these formulas over finite fields can be used to compute global arithmetic invariants of the corresponding hypergeometric abelian varieties. We have to be careful: if a result requires additional structures in its proof such as derivative structure or the Lagrange inversion formula, for example in the case of the Pascal triangle identity $\binom{n}{m} + \binom{n}{m-1} = \binom{n+1}{m+1}$, then we cannot expect a direct translation.[1] Rather, we can translate any formula satisfying condition $(*)$ as well as other results that have a suitable geometric/motivic interpretation. In this sense, we will give a few finite field analogues of Ramanujan type formulas for $1/\pi$, see §7.2.

This monograph is an extension of our previous attempts along this line of a hybrid approach using both finite field and Galois methods. In [24], the authors study Jacobian varieties arising from generalized Legendre curves. In [25], the authors use finite field hypergeometric evaluation formulas to compute the local zeta functions of some higher dimensional hypergeometric varieties. This information allows us to explain the geometry behind several supercongruences satisfied by the corresponding truncated hypergeometric functions. We prove these supercongruences using a method in [63] which is based on the local analytic properties of the p-adic Gamma functions. The approaches to supercongruences involve tools such as hypergeometric functions over finite fields as in [3, 70], or the Wiff-Zeilberger method as in [71, 100].

2. Preliminaries for the complex and finite field settings

In this section, we describe the foundation for the finite field analogue of the hypergeometric function. To do so, we first recall preliminaries from the classical setting. For more details on the classical setting see [6, 11, 83], and see [14, 31, 32, 39] for the finite field setup.

2.1. Gamma and beta functions.

We note that in this section, most of the details can be found in Chapter 1 of [6] by Andrews, Askey and Roy.

Recall the usual binomial coefficient

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}.$$

For any $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the rising factorial by

[1] In [31] by Evans, there is an analogue for the derivative operator over finite fields ([31, (2.8)]) which satisfies an analogue of the Leibniz rule ([31, Theorem 2.2]). However it is not a derivation. In [40], Greene gives the Lagrange inversion formula over finite fields, see Theorem 8 below, and points out its drawbacks.

$$(a)_n := a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1), \tag{5}$$

and define $(a)_0 = 1$. Note then, that

$$\frac{(-1)^k(-n)_k}{k!} = \binom{n}{k}. \tag{6}$$

The gamma function $\Gamma(x)$ and beta function $B(x,y)$ are defined as follows.

Definition 1. For $\mathrm{Re}(x) > 0$,

$$\Gamma(x) := \int_0^\infty t^{x-1}e^{-t}\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

While $\Gamma(x)$ has poles at nonpositive integers, its reciprocal $1/\Gamma(x)$ is an entire function, like $\sin(x)$, and has a product representation (see Theorem 1.1.2 of [6]). The gamma function satisfies the functional equation

$$\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x), \tag{7}$$

which can be derived using integration by parts. By (7), one has that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}. \tag{8}$$

Definition 2. For $\mathrm{Re}(x) > 0, \mathrm{Re}(y) > 0$

$$B(x,y) := \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Note that the assumption can be relaxed by integrating along the Pochhammer contour path around 0 and 1. [2]
The beta function satisfies the functional equation

$$B(x,y) = \frac{x+y}{y}B(x,y+1), \tag{9}$$

which can also be obtained using integration by parts (see (1.1.14) in [6]).

Using (9), one can prove (see Theorem 1.1.4 in [6]) that the gamma and beta functions are related via

[2] Let a, b be two points in $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Each Pochhammer contour γ_{ab} corresponds to a commutator of the form $ABA^{-1}B^{-1}$ in the fundamental group of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{a,b,\infty\}, T)$, where the superscript -1 denotes a path taken in the opposite direction. When the two points a, b are taken as 0 and 1, the fixed base-point T being in the interval $(0,1)$, it corresponds to a closed curve starting from T, making a loop in the counterclockwise direction around 0, and after that making another loop around 0 and 1 clockwise and coming back to the point T, as indicated by the picture below.



For details, see [46, 98, 99]

For example, integrating over the double contour loop γ_{01}, the integral

$$B(x,y) = \frac{1}{(1-e^{2\pi i x})\,(1-e^{2\pi i y})}\int_{\gamma_{01}} t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}\mathrm{d}t$$

converges for all values of x and y.

$$B(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}. \tag{10}$$

Using the notation of the rising factorial and (6), the binomial theorem says that for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and variable z,

$$(1-z)^a = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{a}{k} (-z)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-a)_k}{k!} z^k. \tag{11}$$

Binomial coefficients, rising factorials, gamma and beta functions are all used frequently in the classical theory of hypergeometric functions. In fact, gamma and beta functions play more primary roles while binomial coefficients and rising factorials make the notation more compact. Also of fundamental importance are specific formulas involving the gamma function, which we now state. First, we give Euler's reflection formula.

Theorem 4 (Euler's Reflection Formula, Theorem 1.2.1 of [6]). *For $a \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1-a) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi a)}.$$

We note in particular that Theorem 4 implies $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$.

The gamma function also satisfies multiplication formulas.

Theorem 5 (Legendre's Duplication Formula, Theorem 1.5.1 of [6]). *For $a \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\Gamma(2a)\,(2\pi)^{1/2} = 2^{2a-1/2}\Gamma(a)\Gamma\left(a+\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

In terms of rising factorials, Theorem 5 gives that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(a)_{2n} = 2^{2n}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)_n. \tag{12}$$

One way to prove Theorem 5 is the following. Using the functional equation (7) for $\Gamma(x)$, one can see that

$$g(x) := 2^{2x-1}\frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(x+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(2x)}$$

satisfies the functional equation

$$g(x+1) = g(x).$$

The result can then be derived using Stirling's formula, which describes the asymptotic behavior of $\Gamma(x)$ (see Remark 1.5.1 of [6]).

The more general case is Gauss' multiplication formula, given below.

Theorem 6 (Gauss' Multiplication Formula, Theorem 1.5.2 of [6]). *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\Gamma(ma)(2\pi)^{(m-1)/2} = m^{ma-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(a)\Gamma\left(a+\frac{1}{m}\right)\cdots\Gamma\left(a+\frac{m-1}{m}\right).$$

Stated in terms of rising factorials, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(ma)_{mn} = m^{mn}\prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(a+\frac{i}{m}\right)_n.$$

We end this subsection with a mention of the Lagrange inversion theorem for formal power series. If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are formal power series where $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(0) \neq 0$, then Lagrange's inversion theorem gives a way to write f as a power series in $g(z)$. In particular, one can write

$$f(z) = f(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k g(z)^k, \tag{13}$$

where

$$c_k = \mathrm{Res}_z \frac{f'(z)}{kg(z)^k},$$

and $\mathrm{Res}_z(f)$ denotes the coefficient of $1/z$ in the power series expansion of f. Lagrange's original result can be found in [52], and [37] by Good provides a simple proof, as well as generalizations to several variables. We discuss finite field analogues of this result in Section 2.3.

2.2. **Gauss and Jacobi sums.** We now lay the necessary corresponding groundwork for the finite field setting based on [6, 14, 29, 30, 32, 39]. We recall the Gauss and Jacobi sums, which are the finite field analogues of the gamma and beta functions, and consequently the binomial coefficient and the rising factorial, and we also state analogues of the formulas for $\Gamma(x)$ given in Theorems 4-6. For a comprehensive treatment of Gauss sums and Jacobi sums, see the book by Berndt, Evans and Williams [14].

To begin, let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field, where $q = p^e$ is a power of an odd prime. Recall that a multiplicative character χ on $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ is a group homomorphism

$$\chi : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

and the set $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ of all multiplicative characters on $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ forms a cyclic group of order $q-1$ under composition. Throughout, we fix the following notation

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= \text{ the trivial character in } \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} \\ \phi &= \text{ the quadratic character in } \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\varepsilon(a) = 1$ for all $a \neq 0$, and ϕ is nontrivial such that $\phi^2 = \varepsilon$. We extend the definition of each character $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ to all of $\mathbb{F}_q$ by setting $\chi(0) = 0$, including $\varepsilon(0) = 0$.[3]

Further, following Greene in [39], it is useful to define a function δ for $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, or $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, respectively, by

$$\delta(\chi) := \delta_\varepsilon(\chi) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi = \varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \varepsilon; \end{cases}$$

$$\delta(x) := \delta_0(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

[3]A different choice would be $\varepsilon(0) = 1$, see page 9 of [14]. However, we would prefer to work with functions that are multiplicative for all elements in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Thus $\varepsilon(0) = 0$ is preferred.

This definition will allow us to describe formulas which hold for all characters, without having to separate cases involving trivial characters.

Fix a prime p, a primitive p^{th} root of unity ζ_p, and $A, B \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$. We define the Gauss sum and Jacobi sum, respectively as follows.

$$g(A) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} A(x)\zeta_p^{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathbb{F}_q}(x)},$$
$$J(A,B) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} A(x)B(1-x),$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathbb{F}_q}(x) := x + x^p + x^{p^2} + \cdots + x^{p^{e-1}}$ is the trace of x viewed as a surjective linear map from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to $\mathbb{F}_p$. It is not hard to see that

$$g(\varepsilon) = -1,$$

which we will make use of frequently. While in general the Gauss sums depend on the choice of primitive root ζ_p, or the choice of the additive character $\zeta_p^{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathbb{F}_q}(x)}$, the Jacobi sums do not. By definition, $J(A,B)$ is in the ring of integers of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{q-1})$, while $g(A)$ is in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p(q-1)})$, where $\zeta_N := e^{2\pi i/N}$.

For a more detailed introduction to characters, see [14] or Chapter 8 of [44]. We note that although [14] and [44] contain proofs of most results we give throughout the rest of this subsection, care must be taken for cases involving the trivial character ε, which is defined to be 1 at 0 in both references.

The Gauss sum is the finite field analogue of the gamma function, while the Jacobi sum is the finite field analogue of the beta function [32]. With this in mind, we observe that the following are finite field analogues of Euler's reflection formula from Theorem 4.

$$g(A)g(\overline{A}) = qA(-1) - (q-1)\delta(A) \tag{14}$$
$$\frac{1}{g(A)g(\overline{A})} = \frac{A(-1)}{q} + \frac{q-1}{q}\delta(A). \tag{15}$$

Note that (15) can be observed from (14) by multiplying both right hand sides together to obtain 1. Furthermore, since $g(\varepsilon) = -1$, we can write

$$g(\overline{A}) = \frac{qA(-1)}{g(A)} + (q-1)\delta(A) \tag{16}$$
$$\frac{1}{g(\overline{A})} = \frac{A(-1)g(A)}{q} - \frac{q-1}{q}\delta(A). \tag{17}$$

The finite field analogues of the multiplication formulas for $\Gamma(x)$ given in Theorems 5 and 6 are known as the Hasse-Davenport Relations. The general version given below is a finite field analogue of Theorem 6.

Theorem 7 (Hasse-Davenport Relation, see Theorem 11.3.5 of [14])**.** *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q = p^e$ be a prime power with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. For any multiplicative character*

$\psi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, we have

$$\prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} \\ \chi^m = \varepsilon}} g(\chi\psi) = -g(\psi^m)\psi(m^{-m}) \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} \\ \chi^m = \varepsilon}} g(\chi).$$

The proof in [14] for the Hasse-Davenport relation is based on Stickelberger's congruence for Gauss sums stated in Section 11.2 of [14] or Section 3.7 of [23].[4]

We can obtain the analogue of Legendre's duplication formula in Theorem 5 by taking the case $m = 2$ of Theorem 7. This gives that for any multiplicative character A

$$g(A)g(\phi A) = g(A^2)g(\phi)\overline{A}(4), \tag{18}$$

where ϕ is the quadratic character as before.

Just as there is the relationship between the gamma and beta functions, (10), one can relate Gauss sums and Jacobi sums by

$$J(A, B) = \frac{g(A)g(B)}{g(AB)} + (q-1)B(-1)\delta(AB). \tag{19}$$

Below, we note some special cases for Jacobi sums. We have,

$$J(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = -\chi(-1) + (q-1)\delta(\chi) \tag{20}$$

$$J(\chi, \varepsilon) = -1 + (q-1)\delta(\chi). \tag{21}$$

In [14] (see Theorem 2.1.4), there is an elementary proof of (18) written in terms of Jacobi sums which says for $A \neq \varepsilon$

$$J(A, A) = \overline{A}(4)J(A, \phi). \tag{22}$$

We now are ready to define finite field analogues for the rising factorial and binomial coefficient[5] in (5) and (6). We let

$$(A)_\chi := \frac{g(A\chi)}{g(A)}, \tag{23}$$

$$\binom{A}{\chi} := -\chi(-1)J(A, \overline{\chi}). \tag{24}$$

Then we see directly that for $A, \chi_1, \chi_2 \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$,

$$(A)_{\chi_1\chi_2} = (A)_{\chi_1}(A\chi_1)_{\chi_2}, \tag{25}$$

which is the analogue of the classical identity $(a)_{n+m} = (a)_n(a+n)_m$, for integers $n, m \geq 0$. The multiplication formula in Theorem 7 can be written in this notation as

$$(A^m)_{\psi^m} = \psi(m^m) \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} \\ \chi^m = \varepsilon}} (A\chi)_\psi, \tag{26}$$

which compares with the second statement in Theorem 6.

---

[4]H. Cohen pointed out to us that by equation (14), the product $-\prod_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}, \chi^m = \varepsilon} g(\chi)$ is necessarily a quadratic character when m is odd; otherwise it is a quadratic character times $g(\phi)$, the quadratic Gauss sum.

[5]Note that our binomial coefficient differs from Greene's by a factor of $-q$.

Now we give a proof of a useful fact about Jacobi sums (see (2.6) of Greene [39]), which is the analogue of the classical binomial identity

$$\binom{n}{m} = \binom{n}{n-m}.$$

Lemma 1. *For any characters A, B on $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$, $J(A,\overline{B}) = A(-1)J(A,B\overline{A})$. Stated using binomial notation,*

$$\binom{A}{B} = \binom{A}{A\overline{B}}.$$

Proof. We observe by (19), (16), and (17), that

$$\begin{aligned}
J(A,\overline{B}) =& \frac{g(A)g(\overline{B})}{g(A\overline{B})} + (q-1)B(-1)\delta(A\overline{B}) \\
=& \frac{g(A)}{g(A\overline{B})}\left(\frac{qB(-1)}{g(B)} + (q-1)\delta(B)\right) + (q-1)B(-1)\delta(A\overline{B}) \\
=& \frac{g(A)qB(-1)}{g(B)}\left(\frac{AB(-1)}{q}g(\overline{A}B) - \frac{q-1}{q}\delta(\overline{A}B)\right) \\
&+ (q-1)\delta(B) + (q-1)B(-1)\delta(A\overline{B}) \\
=& A(-1)\frac{g(A)g(\overline{A}B)}{g(B)} + (q-1)\delta(B) \\
=& A(-1)J(A,\overline{A}B).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1. The proof of Lemma 1 demonstrates that one strategy for proving identities is to use the basic properties of Gauss and Jacobi sums while keeping track of the delta terms. Alternatively, one can reprove the above by changing variables

$$\begin{aligned}
A(-1)J(A,\overline{A}B) &= A(-1)\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^\times} A(1-x)\overline{A}B(x) \\
&= A(-1)\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^\times} A\left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right)B(x) = A(-1)\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^\times} A\left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right)\overline{B}(\frac{1}{x}) \\
&= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q^\times} A\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)\overline{B}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = J(A,\overline{B}).
\end{aligned}$$

The technique of changing variables makes the proofs more compact when used properly.

2.3. **Lagrange inversion.** Greene [40] gave a finite field analogue of the Lagrange inversion formula (13). We will state the version where the basis of complex valued functions on the finite field is comprised of all multiplicative characters in $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, together with $\delta(x)$.

Theorem 8 ([40] Theorem 2.7)**.** *Let p be an odd prime and $q = p^e$. Suppose $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C}$ and $g : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{y\in\mathbb{F}_q\\ g(y)=g(x)}} f(y) = \delta(g(x)) \sum_{\substack{y\in\mathbb{F}_q\\ g(y)=0}} f(y) + \sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} c_\chi \chi(g(x)),$$

where

$$c_\chi = \frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} f(y)\overline{\chi}(g(y)).$$

Compared with the classical formula (13), the assumptions $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) \neq 0$, i.e. the map being one-to-one near 0, are not required. Greene pointed out that it is also the reason why the finite field version cannot be used to determine coefficients when f is not a one-to-one function.

We will use Theorem 8 in §4 to develop the finite field analogue of the hypergeometric function.

2.4. **A dictionary between the complex and finite field settings.** We now list a dictionary that we will use for convenience. It is well-known to experts. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ with common denominator N.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{N} & \leftrightarrow & \text{an order } N \text{ character } \eta_N \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}\\
a = \frac{i}{N},\ b = \frac{j}{N} & \leftrightarrow & A, B \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times},\ \ A = \eta_N^i,\ B = \eta_N^j\\
x^a & \leftrightarrow & A(x)\\
x^{a+b} & \leftrightarrow & A(x)B(x) = AB(x)\\
a + b & \leftrightarrow & A \cdot B\\
-a & \leftrightarrow & \overline{A}\\
\Gamma(a) & \leftrightarrow & g(A)\\
(a)_n = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a) & \leftrightarrow & (A)_\chi = g(A\chi)/g(A)\\
B(a,b) & \leftrightarrow & J(A,B)\\
\int_0^1 \quad \mathrm{d}x & \leftrightarrow & \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}}\\
\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1-a) = \frac{\pi}{\sin a\pi},\ \ a \notin \mathbb{Z} & \leftrightarrow & g(A)g(\overline{A}) = A(-1)q,\ \ A \neq \varepsilon\\
(ma)_{mn} = m^{mn}\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(a + \frac{i}{m}\right)_n & \leftrightarrow & (A^m)_{\psi^m} = \psi(m^m)\prod_{i=1}^{m}(A\eta_m^i)_\psi
\end{array}$$

Remark 2. One could use $\frac{1}{q}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}}$ for the finite field analogue of $\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x$ so that the 'volume' $\frac{1}{q}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}} 1 = 1$. Another option is to use $\frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}^\times}$. Our choice above is instead $\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}}$, which makes point counting formulas more natural.

3. Classical hypergeometric functions

3.1. **Classical development.** We now recall the basic development of the classical (generalized) hypergeometric functions. See [6, 11, 83] for a thorough treatment. For all classical formulas stated in this paper, the assumption is always that they hold when both sides of the formula are well-defined and converge.

The classical (generalized) hypergeometric functions ${}_{n+1}F_n$ with complex parameters $a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}$, $b_1, \ldots, b_n$, and argument z are defined by

$$ {}_{n+1}F_n\left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n+1} \\ & b_1 & \cdots & b_n \end{matrix}; z\right] := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_{n+1})_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_n)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \tag{27} $$

and converge when $|z| < 1$. Each ${}_{n+1}F_n$ function satisfies an order $n+1$ ordinary Fuchsian differential equation in the variable z with three regular singularities at 0, 1, and ∞ [83, (2.1.2.3)]. It also satisfies the following inductive integral relation of Euler [6, (2.2.2)]. Namely, when $\mathrm{Re}(b_n) > \mathrm{Re}(a_{n+1}) > 0$,

$$ \begin{aligned} {}_{n+1}F_n\left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n+1} \\ & b_1 & \cdots & b_n \end{matrix}; z\right] &= B(a_{n+1}, b_n - a_{n+1})^{-1} \\ &\cdot \int_0^1 t^{a_{n+1}-1}(1-t)^{b_n - a_{n+1}-1} \cdot {}_nF_{n-1}\left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \\ & b_1 & \cdots & b_{n-1} \end{matrix}; zt\right] \mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned} \tag{28} $$

To relate these functions to periods of algebraic varieties (see [50] for useful discussions on periods), we first observe that by (6) and the binomial theorem, we may define for general $a \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$ {}_1P_0[a; z] := (1-z)^{-a} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{k!} z^k = {}_1F_0[a; z]. \tag{29} $$

Here we are using the notation ${}_1P_0$ to indicate a relationship to periods of algebraic varieties when $a \in \mathbb{Q}$. Next we let

$$ \begin{aligned} {}_2P_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; z\right] &:= \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1} \, {}_1P_0\left[a; zt\right] \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-zt)^{-a} \mathrm{d}t, \end{aligned} \tag{30} $$

or one can define it using the Pochhammer contour integral path γ_{01} instead of $[0,1]$ which was mentioned in §2.1 when we recalled the definition of the beta function. Up to an algebraic multiple, the value of this function at a given z can be realized as a period of a corresponding generalized Legendre curve, see [8, 24, 95] and §6.2 below. To relate it to the ${}_2F_1$ function, one uses (28) to see that when $\mathrm{Re}(c) > \mathrm{Re}(b) > 0$,

$$ \begin{aligned} {}_2P_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; z\right] &= \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1} \cdot {}_1P_0[a; zt] \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1} \cdot {}_1F_0[a; zt] \mathrm{d}t \\ &= B(b, c-b) \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; z\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{31} $$

Inductively one can define the (higher) periods ${}_{n+1}P_n$ similarly by

$$ \begin{aligned} {}_{n+1}P_n\left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n+1} \\ & b_1 & \cdots & b_n \end{matrix}; z\right] &:= \\ \int_0^1 t^{a_{n+1}-1}(1-t)^{b_n - a_{n+1}-1} \, {}_nP_{n-1}&\left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \\ & b_1 & \cdots & b_{n-1} \end{matrix}; zt\right] \mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned} \tag{32} $$

Again using the beta function, one can show that when $\mathrm{Re}(b_i) > \mathrm{Re}(a_{i+1}) > 0$ for each $i \geq 1$,

$$ {}_{n+1}F_n\left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n+1} \\ & b_1 & \cdots & b_n \end{matrix}; z\right] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} B(a_{i+1}, b_i - a_{i+1})^{-1} \cdot {}_{n+1}P_n\left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n+1} \\ & b_1 & \cdots & b_n \end{matrix}; z\right]. $$

By the definition of ${}_{n+1}F_n$ in (27), any given ${}_{n+1}F_n$ function satisfies two nice properties:

1) The leading coefficient is 1;
2) The roles of the upper entries a_i (resp. lower entries b_j) are symmetric.

Clearly, the ${}_{n+1}P_n$ period functions do not satisfy these properties in general. The hypergeometric functions can thus be viewed as periods that are 'normalized' so that both properties 1) and 2) are satisfied.

These two properties are important motivating factors for our definition of a finite field analogue of ${}_{n+1}F_n$ in §4.3.

3.2. **Some properties of hypergeometric functions with $n = 1$.** Here we focus on some important properties of the ${}_2F_1$ functions. For an excellent exposition on this topic, please see the notes of Beukers [15], in addition to [6, 83].

3.2.1. *The hypergeometric differential equation.* First, we recall that the hypergeometric function

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; z\right] $$

is a solution of the Hypergeometric Differential Equation

$$ HDE(a, b; c; z) : z(1-z)F'' + [c - (a+b+1)z]F' - abF = 0, \tag{33} $$

which is a second order Fuchsian differential equation with 3 regular singularities at 0, 1, and ∞ [97].

Proposition 1. *The space of solutions to $HDE(a, b; c; z)$ has basis $\{\beta_z, \gamma_z\}$ given below, where β_z, γ_z are expanded about the singularity $z \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$.*

(1) When $z = 0$, and $c \notin \mathbb{Z}$,

$$ \beta_0 := {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; z\right] $$
$$ \gamma_0 := z^{1-c}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} 1+a-c & 1+b-c \\ & 2-c \end{matrix}; z\right]. $$

(2) When $z = 1$, and $a + b - c \notin \mathbb{Z}$,

$$ \beta_1 := {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & 1+a+b-c \end{matrix}; 1-z\right] $$
$$ \gamma_1 := (1-z)^{c-a-b}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} c-a & c-b \\ & 1+c-a-b \end{matrix}; 1-z\right]. $$

(3) When $z = \infty$, and $a - b \notin \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\beta_\infty := z^{-a}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & 1+a-c \\ & 1+a-b \end{matrix}\,;\, 1/z\right]$$

$$\gamma_\infty := z^{-b}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} b & 1+b-c \\ & 1+b-a \end{matrix}\,;\, 1/z\right].$$

We note that near the singularities $z = 0$, 1, or ∞, in the cases when $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a+b-c \in \mathbb{Z}$, or $a-b \in \mathbb{Z}$, respectively, the equation $HDE(a, b; c; z)$ has solutions with logarithmic terms.

3.2.2. *Schwarz map and Schwarz triangles.* When $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$, there is an explicit correspondence between a hypergeometric differential equation $HDE(a, b; c; z)$ and a Schwarz triangle $\Delta(p, q, r)$ with $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Q}$ due to the following theorem of Schwarz.

Theorem 9 (Schwarz, (see [97]))**.** *Let f, g be two independent solutions to the differential equation $HDE(a, b; c; z)$ at a point $z \in \mathfrak{H}$, and let $p = |1-c|$, $q = |c-a-b|$, and $r = |a-b|$. Then the Schwarz map $D = f/g$ gives a bijection from $\mathfrak{H} \cup \mathbb{R}$ onto a curvilinear triangle with vertices $D(0)$, $D(1)$, $D(\infty)$ and corresponding angles $p\pi$, $q\pi$, $r\pi$, as illustrated below.*



Each $\Delta(p, q, r)$ can be thought of as the symmetry group of a tiling, by the Schwarz triangles with angles $p\pi$, $q\pi$, and $r\pi$ described in the theorem above, of either the Euclidean plane, the unit sphere, or the hyperbolic plane, depending on whether $p+q+r$ is equal to, greater than, or less than 1, respectively.

3.2.3. *Kummer relations.* A given $HDE(a, b; c; z)$ has a group of symmetries $G(a, b; c; z)$ of order 24 where z is a generic point, which acts projectively on its vector space of solutions. Let Σ be the set of all ordered triples (a, b, c). The group $G(a, b; c; z)$ is related both to the set of all 6 linear fractional transformations

$$\{z, 1-z, 1/z, z/(z-1), 1/(1-z), 1-1/z\}$$

that permutes $0, 1, \infty$, and to the set of finite order maps from Σ to itself preserving the (unordered) set of Schwarz angles $\{|1-c|, |c-a-b|, |a-b|\}$. For example, both $(a, b, c) \mapsto (c-a, b, c)$ and $(a, b, c) \mapsto (a, c-b, c)$ have order 2 and preserve the set of Schwarz angles. So does the composition map $(a, b, c) \mapsto (c-a, c-b, c)$.

This group $G(a, b; c; z)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_4 [51], and can be regarded as an extension of S_3 (permuting the singular points 0, 1, ∞), by the Klein 4-group V_4 via a short exact sequence

$$1 \to V_4 \to G(a, b; c; z) \to S_3 \to 1.$$

In particular, the action of V_4 is via the following two identities (see [6, Theorem 2.2.5]), which correspond to the order 2 maps on Σ above. The first is due to Pfaff

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; z\right] &= (1-z)^{-a}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & c-b \\ & c \end{matrix}; \frac{z}{z-1}\right] \\ &= (1-z)^{-b}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} c-a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; \frac{z}{z-1}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and can be obtained from the definition of ${}_2F_1$ and a change of variables. The second is Euler's formula

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; z\right] = (1-z)^{c-a-b}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} c-a & c-b \\ & c \end{matrix}; z\right], \tag{35}$$

which can be deduced from the Pfaff transformations in (34).

In addition to (34) and (35), the other Kummer relations describe the analytic continuation of hypergeometric functions at the other singularities. For example, the analytic continuation of

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; z\right]$$

from near 0 to ∞ can be expressed by following equation [6, Theorem 2.3.2],

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; z\right] &= \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(b)} \cdot (-z)^{-a}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & a-c+1 \\ & a-b+1 \end{matrix}; 1/z\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a-b)}{\Gamma(c-b)\Gamma(a)} \cdot (-z)^{-b}\, {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} b & b-c+1 \\ & b-a+1 \end{matrix}; 1/z\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

For more details, see [6, 51, 83].

3.2.4. *Monodromy.* Consider $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0,1,\infty\}$ as a topological space with a fixed base point t_0. The fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0,1,\infty\}, t_0)$ is generated by three simple loops based at t_0, one around each of the points $\{0,1,\infty\}$, with the relation that the composition of the three loops is trivial.

Given two linearly independent solutions f, g of $HDE(a,b;c;z)$ near t_0, their analytic continuations along any oriented loop C in $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0,1,\infty\}$ starting and ending at t_0 will produce two linearly independent solutions $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$ near t_0. This process corresponds to an action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0,1,\infty\}, t_0)$ on the solution space $S(a,b;c;z)$ of $HDE(a,b;c;z)$ which results in a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0,1,\infty\}, t_0) &\to GL(S(a,b;c;z)) \\ [C] &\mapsto M(C), \end{aligned}$$

called the monodromy representation of $HDE(a,b;c;z)$ where we note that t_0 is determined up to conjugation in $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ [15, 97]. This is a degree-2 representation, and its image $M(a,b;c;z)$ is called the monodromy group of $HDE(a,b;c;z)$. The monodromy group $M(a,b;c;z)$ is generated by the images M_0, M_1, and M_∞ of the three loops described above which generate the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0,1,\infty\}, t_0)$, respectively. The projective monodromy group is defined to be the image of the monodromy group in $PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Example 1. Assume $c \notin \mathbb{Z}$, and fix t_0 to be near $z = 0$. Let

$$f = {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; z\right]$$
$$g = z^{1-c}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} 1+a-c & 1+b-c \\ & 2-c \end{matrix}; z\right],$$

and let C_0 be a simple clockwise-oriented loop based at t_0 which contains only the singularity 0. Then

$$M_0 := M(C_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i(1-c)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the case $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, M_0 is conjugate to a matrix of the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

When $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$, the monodromy group, denoted by $M(a, b; c)$, is a triangle group (see [86]) of the form

$$(e_1, e_2, e_3) := \langle x, y \mid x^{e_1} = y^{e_2} = (xy)^{e_3} \rangle, \tag{37}$$

where $e_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ can be computed from a, b, c, and by symmetry we can assume that $e_1 \le e_2 \le e_3$.

Suppose c, $a + b - c$, $a - b \notin \mathbb{Z}$. The monodromy group $M(a, b; c; z)$ is $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to the triangle group generated by

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i(1-c)} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$M_1 = A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i(c-a-b)} \end{pmatrix} A^{-1},$$
$$M_\infty = B \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i a} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i b} \end{pmatrix} B^{-1},$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} & \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a+b-c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \\ \frac{\Gamma(2-c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(1-a)\Gamma(1-b)} & \frac{\Gamma(2-c)\Gamma(a+b-c)}{\Gamma(a-c+1)\Gamma(b-c+1)} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(b)} & \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a-b)}{\Gamma(c-b)\Gamma(a)} \\ \frac{(-1)^{c-1}\Gamma(2-c)\Gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma(1-a)\Gamma(b-c+1)} & \frac{(-1)^{c-1}\Gamma(2-c)\Gamma(a-b)}{\Gamma(a-c+1)\Gamma(1-b)} \end{pmatrix},$$

which are computed from the relations (36) and the following Kummer relation (see Corollary 2.3.3 of [6])

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; z\right] &= \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & a+b+1-c \end{matrix}; 1-z\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a+b-c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}(1-z)^{c-a-b}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} c-a & c-b \\ & 1+c-a-b \end{matrix}; 1-z\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Example 2. Let $a = 1/4$, $b = 3/4$, $c = 1/2$. Then the monodromy group $M(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2}; z)$ is generated by the matrices

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},\ M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ M_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which are each of finite order and satisfy

$$M_0 M_\infty = M_1^{-1} (= M_1) = M_\infty^{-1} M_0.$$

Thus the monodromy group is isomorphic to the triangle group $(2, 2, 4)$, which is also isomorphic to the Dihedral group of order 8. Modulo the center, the projective monodromy group is isomorphic to the triangle group $(2, 2, 2)$, which is also isomorphic to the Dihedral group of order 4.

We note that $\Delta(p, q, r)$, the symmetry group of a tiling, is not the same as the monodromy group written in the notation of triangle group (e_1, e_2, e_3). The Schwarz map is defined as f/g, so $\Delta(p, q, r)$ is related to the projective monodromy group. In fact, the projective monodromy group of $HDE(a, b; c; z)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of index 2 of the group $\Delta(p, q, r)$, consisting of all elements which are products of an even number of reflections.

3.2.5. *Schwarz's list and algebraic hypergeometric identities.* In [78], Schwarz determined a list of 15 (unordered) triples

$$\{|1 - c|, |c - a - b|, |a - b|\},$$

for which the corresponding differential equations $HDE(a, b; c; z)$ have finite monodromy groups. Generalizations of Schwarz's work include work of Beukers and Heckman [17, Theorem 4.8] which finds general ${}_{n+1}F_n$ with rational parameters and finite monodromy groups. It is well-known that

$${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix} ; \, z \right]$$

is an algebraic function of z when the monodromy group for $HDE(a, b; c; z)$ is a finite group; we give an example of this phenomenon below.

Example 3. For a classical example, let $a = \frac{i}{N}$ be reduced, with $\frac{N}{2} < i < N$. Then, to compute the Schwarz triangle corresponding to $HDE(a - \frac{1}{2}, a; 2a; z)$, we see that $p = |1 - 2a|$, $q = \frac{1}{2}$, $r = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus the corresponding triangle group is $(2, 2, 1/|1 - 2a|)$, which can be identified with a Dihedral group. This corresponds to a tiling of the unit sphere, since $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + |1 - 2a| > 1$. The corresponding algebraic expression for the hypergeometric functions is equation (3), as we have seen before.

In particular, when $N = 3$, $i = 2$, i.e. when $a = \frac{2}{3}$, by the algorithm described above, the monodromy group $M(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{3}; \frac{4}{3})$ is generated by

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_3^2 \end{pmatrix}, \, M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2^{2/3} \\ 2^{-2/3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \, M_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2^{2/3} \\ 2^{-2/3}\zeta_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is isomorphic to the triangle group $(2, 3, 6)$. The projective monodromy group is isomorphic to the triangle group $(2, 2, 3)$, which can be identified with the Dihedral group of size 6. This corresponds to the tiling of the sphere depicted

below.



Furthermore, when $N = 8$, $i = 5$, the monodromy group $M(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{5}{8}; \frac{5}{4}; z)$ is $(2, 4, 8)$ and the projective monodromy group is the triangle group $(2, 2, 4)$, which can be identified with the Dihedral group of size 8.

Example 4. When $a = \frac{1}{4}, b = \frac{3}{4}, c = \frac{4}{3}$ or $\frac{2}{3}$ the corresponding Schwarz triangle is $(\pi/2, \pi/3, \pi/3)$ and the monodromy group is generated by

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_3^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{-3}}{3} & \frac{8\pi i\Gamma\left(\frac{11}{12}\right)(\sqrt{3}-1)}{3\zeta_3\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{12}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2} \\ \frac{i(\sqrt{3}+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{12}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2}{8\zeta_3\pi\Gamma\left(\frac{11}{12}\right)} & \frac{3+\sqrt{-3}}{6} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{-3}}{3} & -\frac{8\zeta_3\pi i\Gamma\left(\frac{11}{12}\right)(\sqrt{3}-1)}{3\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{12}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2} \\ \frac{\zeta_{12}^5(\sqrt{3}+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{12}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2}{8\pi\Gamma\left(\frac{11}{12}\right)} & \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{3} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $M_0^3 = M_1^3 = id$, $M_\infty^2 = -id$, $M_\infty M_1 M_0 = id$. This is isomorphic to the triangle group $(3, 3, 4)$ and the projective monodromy group is isomorphic to $(2, 3, 3)$. In a private communication, Yifan Yang told us the following, which he computed numerically. Let

$$f(t) := {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \\ & \frac{4}{3} \end{matrix}; t\right].$$

Then

$$4096 - 4096f^2 + 1152tf^4 - 27t^2f^8 = 0.$$

For a generic choice of t, the Galois group of the splitting field of the polynomial $4096 - 4096x + 1152tx^2 - 27t^2x^4$ over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_4. For special choices of t, the Galois group is smaller. For instance when $t = 1/2$, the Galois group is isomorphic to D_4, the Dihedral group of order 8.

Similarly, Yifan Yang computed that

$$g(t) := {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \\ & \frac{2}{3} \end{matrix}; t\right]$$

satisfies

$$1 + 8g^2 + 18(1-t)g^4 - 27(1-t)^2g^8 = 0.$$

We have verified his claims by using the recursive relations satisfied by the coefficients of f and g. See §8.3, Example 18, specifically (83), for the finite field analogues of $f(t)^2$ and $g(t)^2$ with $t = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$.

Yang's first result is related to, by a Pfaff transformation, the first formula on page 165 of [92] by Vidūnas, which says

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{12}\\ & \frac{2}{3}\end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{x(x+4)^3}{4(2x-1)^3}\right]=\frac{1}{(1-2x)^{1/4}}.$$

We give a numeric finite field analogue of this formula in (108).

We note here that Vidūnas [92] gave a list of several other algebraic hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ functions. Moreover, Baldassarri and Dwork [12] determined general second order linear differential equations with only algebraic solutions.

3.2.6. *Evaluation Formulas.* There are many evaluation and transformation formulas for the classical ${}_2F_1$ hypergeometric functions that are incredibly useful for obtaining higher transformation formulas, computing periods, or proving supercongruences. For example, this technique is employed in work of the second, third, and fourth authors [62, 63, 85], among others. These evaluation formulas are obtained in various ways; for example, see [6, 36, 83, 94].

First, the Gauss summation formula [6, Thm. 2.2.2] can be obtained by using the integral form of the ${}_2F_1$ from (30) and (31), together with the beta integral from Definition 2. In particular when $\mathrm{Re}(c-a-b)>0$,

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & b\\ & c\end{matrix}\ ;\ 1\right]=\frac{B(b,c-a-b)}{B(b,c-b)}=\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}. \tag{39}$$

Next, we have the Kummer evaluation theorem [6, Cor. 3.1.2], which gives that

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}c & b\\ & 1+c-b\end{matrix}\ ;\ -1\right]=\frac{B(1+c-b,\frac{c}{2}+1)}{B(1+c,\frac{c}{2}+1-b)}. \tag{40}$$

Also, we have the very useful Pfaff-SaalSchütz formula [6, Thm. 2.2.6]. This result follows from comparing coefficients on both sides of (35). It states that for a positive integer n and $a,b,c\in\mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}a & b & -n\\ & c & 1+a+b-n-c\end{matrix}\ ;\ 1\right] &= \frac{(c-a)_n(c-b)_n}{(c)_n(c-a-b)_n}\\ &= \frac{\Gamma(c-a+n)\Gamma(c-b+n)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)\Gamma(c+n)\Gamma(c-a-b+n)}\\ &= \frac{B(c-a+n,c-b+n)B(c,c-a-b)}{B(c+n,c-a-b+n)B(c-a,c-b)}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Note that the left side is a terminating series as $-n$ is a negative integer while the right side is a product. In a more symmetric form, it can be written as the following when one of a,b,d is a negative integer,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}a & b & d\\ & c & 1+a+b+d-c\end{matrix}\ ;\ 1\right] &= \\ &\frac{\Gamma(c-a-d)\Gamma(c-b-d)\Gamma(c-a-b)\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)\Gamma(c-d)\Gamma(c-a-b-d)}. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

There is a rich supply of evaluation formulas in the literature, see for instance [6, 36, 94], [83, Appendix III].

3.2.7. *Higher transformation formulas.* There are also many quadratic or higher order transformation formulas for ${}_2F_1$ (and a few for ${}_3F_2$ functions) which are obtained in various ways [38, 88, 91]. An example is the following Kummer quadratic transformation formula [6, Thm. 3.1.1] or [83, 2.3.2.1] which gives that

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} c & b \\ & c-b+1 \end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right] = (1-z)^{-c}\ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{c}{2} & \frac{1+c}{2}-b \\ & c-b+1 \end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{-4z}{(1-z)^2}\right].$$

From Theorem 9, we observe that the Schwarz triangle corresponding to the hypergeometric function on the left is $\Delta(|b-c|, |b-c|, |1-2b|)$. It can be tiled by two copies of the Schwarz triangle $\Delta(|b-c|, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}|1-2b|)$, which corresponds to the hypergeometric function appearing on the right hand side. In other words, the projective monodromy group corresponding to the left hand side contains the projective monodromy group on the right hand side. This is illustrated in the diagram below.



4. Finite field analogues

In this section we build on the discussion of period and hypergeometric functions for the classical complex setting described in §3 by defining period and hypergeometric functions over finite fields which behave analogously.

4.1. **Periods in the finite field setting.** For any function $f : \mathbb{F}_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the orthogonality of characters [39] or Greene's Lagrange inversion formula over finite fields (Theorem 8) implies that f has a unique representation

$$f(x) = \delta(x)f(0) + \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} f_\chi \chi(x), \tag{43}$$

where

$$f_\chi = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(y)\overline{\chi}(y).$$

Later, we will use the uniqueness in the statement to obtain identities. It is also useful to note that when $a \neq 0$,

$$\delta(x-a) = \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} \frac{\chi(x/a)}{q-1}. \tag{44}$$

We now define a new version of hypergeometric functions for the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ parallel to §3.1. We start with a natural analogue to (29) by letting

$$ {}_1\mathbb{P}_0[A;x;q] := \overline{A}(1-x), \tag{45}$$

and then inductively defining

$$ {}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_{n+1} \\ & B_1 & \dots & B_n \end{matrix}; \lambda; q\right] := \tag{46}$$
$$ \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} A_{n+1}(y)\overline{A}_{n+1}B_n(1-y)\cdot {}_n\mathbb{P}_{n-1}\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_n \\ & B_1 & \dots & B_{n-1} \end{matrix}; \lambda y; q\right]$$

as in (32). We note the asymmetry among the A_i (resp. B_j) by definition. Part of the reason we start with the analogue of the period, rather than the hypergeometric function is because the periods are related to point counting. When there is no ambiguity in our choice of field $\mathbb{F}_q$, we will leave out the q in this notation and simply write

$$ {}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_{n+1} \\ & B_1 & \dots & B_n \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] := {}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_{n+1} \\ & B_1 & \dots & B_n \end{matrix}; \lambda; q\right].$$

When $n=1$ we have,

$$ {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ & B_1 \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} A_2(y)\overline{A_2}B_1(1-y)\overline{A}_1(1-\lambda y), \tag{47}$$

which is more relevant for the purpose of counting points over finite fields. In terms of Jacobi sums,

$$ {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ & B_1 \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] = \begin{cases} J(A_2, \overline{A_2}B_1), & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \\ \dfrac{1}{q-1}\displaystyle\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(\overline{A_1},\overline{\chi})J(A_2\chi, B_1\overline{A_2})\chi(\lambda), & \text{if } \lambda\neq 0 \end{cases} \tag{48}$$
$$ \overset{\text{Lemma 1}}{=} \begin{cases} J(A_2, \overline{A_2}B_1), & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \\ \dfrac{A_2(-1)}{q-1}\displaystyle\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(A_1\chi,\overline{\chi})J(A_2\chi, \overline{B_1\chi})\chi(\lambda), & \text{if } \lambda\neq 0. \end{cases}$$

While they are equivalent, the second expression is more symmetric when the finite field analogue of binomial coefficients defined in (24) is used. We give a general formula for the ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n$ period function as follows:

$$ {}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_{n+1} \\ & B_1 & \dots & B_n \end{matrix}; \lambda\right]$$
$$ = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{q-1}\cdot\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} A_{i+1}B_i(-1)\right)\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}\binom{A_1\chi}{\chi}\binom{A_2\chi}{B_1\chi}\cdots\binom{A_{n+1}\chi}{B_n\chi}\chi(\lambda)$$
$$ + \delta(\lambda)\prod_{i=1}^{n} J(A_{i+1}, \overline{A_{i+1}}B_i).$$

This is similar to Greene's definition [39, Def. 3.10], though we note that our binomial coefficient differs from Greene's by a factor of $-q$, and we define the value

at $\lambda = 0$ differently. Our version gives a natural reference point for the later normalization, like in the classical case.

4.2. **Hypergeometric varieties.** It is well-known that Greene's hypergeometric functions can be used to count points on varieties over finite fields [4, 13, 24, 25, 34, 35, 49, 54, 55, 69, 76, 77, 90, et al.] and evaluate twisted Kloosterman sums [58]. For example, the following result of Ono (stated here in terms of our notation) gives the number of points on the Legendre curves $E_\lambda : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Theorem 10 (Ono [69])**.** *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $\lambda \neq 0, 1$,*

$$\#E_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 + {}_2\mathbb{P}_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \phi & \phi \\ & \varepsilon \end{matrix} ; \lambda \right].$$

See also [76] by Rouse.

We similarly use the period functions ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n$ for this purpose in a more general context. Consider the family of hypergeometric algebraic varieties

$$X_\lambda : \quad y^N = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \cdot (1-x_1)^{j_1} \cdots (1-x_n)^{j_n} \cdot (1-\lambda x_1 \cdots x_n)^k.$$

We can count the points on X_λ in a manner similar to that in [25], where the case $N = n+1$, $i_s = n$, $j_t = 1$ for $k = 1$ and all s, t is considered. Different algebraic models are used for the hypergeometric algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$ in [16, Thm. 1.5]. We choose our model in order to make the next statement straightforward.

Proposition 2. *Let $q = p^e \equiv 1 \pmod N$ be a prime power, and $\eta_N \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ a primitive order N character. Then*

$$\#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) = 1 + q^n + \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} {}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n \left[\begin{matrix} \eta_N^{-mk} & \eta_N^{mi_n} & \cdots & \eta_N^{mi_1} \\ & \eta_N^{mi_n+mj_n} & \cdots & \eta_N^{mi_1+mj_1} \end{matrix} ; \lambda ; q \right].$$

Proof. We begin as in the proof of Theorem 2 in [25], to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \#X_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) &= 1 + q^n \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \sum_{x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q} \eta_N^m(x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}(1-x_1)^{j_1} \cdots (1-x_n)^{j_n}(1-\lambda x_1 \cdots x_n)^k). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by applying (46) n times, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n \left[\begin{matrix} \eta_N^{-mk} & \eta_N^{mi_n} & \cdots & \eta_N^{mi_1} \\ & \eta_N^{mi_n+mj_n} & \cdots & \eta_N^{mi_1+mj_1} \end{matrix} ; \lambda ; q \right] \\ &\quad = \sum_{x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q} \eta_N^{mi_1}(x_1)\eta_N^{mj_1}(1-x_1) \cdots \eta_N^{mi_n}(x_n)\eta_N^{mj_n}(1-x_n)\eta_N^{mk}(1-\lambda x_1 \cdots x_n). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the result. □

It is more convenient to obtain the major term $1 + q^n$ by using $\varepsilon(0) = 1$. The total point count is independent of the choice of $\varepsilon(0)$. Also, we are not dealing with the smooth model of X_λ here. The point count here is related to Dwork's work on zeta functions of hypersurfaces which will be recalled in §6.6.

4.3. **Hypergeometric functions over finite fields.** In the classical case (see §3.1), a hypergeometric function is normalized to have constant term 1, obtained from the corresponding ${}_{n+1}P_n$ function divided by its value at 0. Here we will similarly normalize the finite field period functions. We thus define

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ & B_1 \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] := \frac{1}{J(A_2, B_1\overline{A_2})} \cdot {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ & B_1 \end{matrix}; \lambda\right]. \tag{49}$$

The ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$ function satisfies

1) ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ & B_1 \end{matrix}; 0\right] = 1;$

2) ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ & B_1 \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A_2 & A_1 \\ & B_1 \end{matrix}; \lambda\right]$, if $A_1,\, A_2 \neq \varepsilon$, and $A_1,\, A_2 \neq B_1$.

Property 1) follows from the definition and (47); 2) will be proved in Proposition 10 below. Intuitively, with the additional Jacobi sum factor one can rewrite the right hand side of (48) using the finite field analogues of rising factorials with the role of A_1 and A_2 being symmetric.

More generally, we define

$$ {}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_{n+1} \\ & B_1 & \cdots & B_n \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] \tag{50}$$
$$:= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} J(A_{i+1}, B_i\overline{A_{i+1}})} {}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_{n+1} \\ & B_1 & \dots & B_n \end{matrix}; \lambda\right].$$

Definition 3. A period function ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_{n+1} \\ & B_1 & \dots & B_n \end{matrix}; \lambda\right]$ or the corresponding ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n\left[\begin{matrix} A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_{n+1} \\ & B_1 & \dots & B_n \end{matrix}; \lambda\right]$ is said to be *primitive* if $A_i \neq \varepsilon$ and $A_i \neq B_j$ for all i, j. Similarly, we call the corresponding classical period or hypergeometric function *primitive* if $a_i, a_i - b_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for any a_i, b_j.

Like the $n = 1$ case, the value of the ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$ functions at $\lambda = 0$ is 1, and the characters A_i (resp. B_j) are commutative for primitive ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$, which can be seen from (51) below.

4.4. **Comparison with other finite field hypergeometric functions.** Alternative definitions for hypergeometric functions over finite fields have been given by Greene [39], McCarthy [64], and Katz [45, Ch. 8.2]. Sometimes, they are referred to as Gaussian hypergeometric functions or finite hypergeometric functions, see [16]. For the sake of consistency within this paper, below we use the notation ${}_j\mathbb{F}_k$ rather than ${}_jF_k$ which is used in [39] and [64]. We denote Greene's version by

$${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n\left(\begin{matrix} A_1, & A_2, & \dots, & A_{n+1} \\ & B_1, & \dots, & B_n \end{matrix}; \lambda\right)^G.$$

McCarthy's version is defined by

$$ {}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n\left(\begin{matrix}A_1, & A_2, & \dots, & A_{n+1}\\ & B_1, & \dots, & B_n\end{matrix};\lambda\right)^M := \\ \frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\frac{g(A_i\chi)}{g(A_i)}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{g(\overline{B_j\chi})}{g(\overline{B_j})}g(\overline{\chi})\chi(-1)^{n+1}\chi(\lambda)$$

and is symmetric in the A_i's and B_j's. Alternatively, see Definition 1.1 of [16]. It can be shown that the "hypergeometric sum" defined by Katz in [45] can be written as

$$ {}_n\mathbb{F}_m\left(\begin{matrix}A_1, & A_2, & \dots, & A_n\\ B_1 & B_2, & \dots, & B_m\end{matrix};\lambda\right)^K = \frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}\overline{\chi}(\lambda)\prod_{i=1}^{n}g(A_i\chi)\prod_{j=1}^{m}g(\overline{B_j\chi})B_j\chi(-1).$$

Our period functions are closely related to Greene's Gaussian hypergeometric functions and both can be used to count points. The relationship between the two is

$$ {}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n\left[\begin{matrix}A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_{n+1}\\ & B_1 & \dots & B_n\end{matrix};\lambda\right] \\ = q^n\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}A_{i+1}B_i(-1)\right){}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n\left(\begin{matrix}A_1, & A_2, & \dots, & A_{n+1}\\ & B_1, & \dots, & B_n\end{matrix};\lambda\right)^G \\ + \delta(\lambda)\prod_{i=1}^{n}J(A_{i+1},\overline{A_{i+1}}B_i).$$

In the primitive case, the normalized ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$-hypergeometric function defined in (50) is the same as McCarthy's hypergeometric function over finite fields, when $\lambda\neq 0$. To be precise, in the primitive case

$$ {}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n\left[\begin{matrix}A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_{n+1}\\ & B_1 & \cdots & B_n\end{matrix};\lambda\right] \\ = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}J(A_{i+1},B_i\overline{A_{i+1}})}{}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n\left[\begin{matrix}A_1, & A_2, & \dots, & A_{n+1}\\ & B_1, & \dots, & B_n\end{matrix};\lambda\right] \\ = {}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n\left(\begin{matrix}A_1, & A_2, & \dots, & A_{n+1}\\ & B_1, & \dots, & B_n\end{matrix};\lambda\right)^M + \delta(\lambda). \tag{51}$$

We note that McCarthy's hypergeometric function is related to Katz's via (see Prop. 2.6 of [64])

$$ {}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n\left(\begin{matrix}A_1, & A_2, & \dots, & A_{n+1}\\ & B_1, & \dots, & B_n\end{matrix};\lambda\right)^M = \left[\frac{1}{g(A_1)}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{B_i(-1)}{g(A_{i+1})g(\overline{B}_i)}\right] \\ \cdot {}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_{n+1}\left(\begin{matrix}A_1, & A_2, & \dots, & A_{n+1}\\ \varepsilon, & B_1, & \dots, & B_n\end{matrix};\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^K.$$

5. Some related topics on Galois representations

In this section, we will interpret the finite field analogues of periods and hypergeometric functions using a Galois perspective. See books by Serre [79, 80] on basic representation theory and Galois representations. Readers can choose to skip this section as most of the later proofs can be obtained using the setup in the previous sections. The Galois interpretation gives us a global picture and allows us to predict the finite field analogues of classical formulas quite efficiently. The approach below is derived using work of Weil [93], and is used to reinterpret some results in [24] on generalized Legendre curves. We believe the general picture is covered by Katz [45].

5.1. **Absolute Galois groups and Galois representations.** Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$, and let $\mathcal{O}_L$ and $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the respective rings of integers. The discriminant $D_{L/K}$ is a product of powers of prime ideals of K, where a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ factors over $\mathcal{O}_L$ as $\prod_{i=1}^{g} \wp_i^e$, where e is independent of i. We set $D_{\wp_i} = \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \mid \sigma(\wp_i) = \wp_i\}$, which is called the *Decomposition group* of $\wp_i$. It is not hard to show that for varying i, the decomposition groups $D_{\wp_i}$ are conjugate to one another within $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. Thus if $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ is abelian we have that $D_{\wp_i}$ depends only on $\mathfrak{p}$. The quantity $f = [\mathcal{O}_L/\wp_i : \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}]$ is also independent of i by the transitive action of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ on $\{\wp_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,g}$. Furthermore, $\#D_{\wp_i} = ef$, and $efg = [L : K]$.

If $\mathfrak{p}$ does not divide $D_{L/K}$ then it is a standard result that $e = 1$. Such primes are called *unramified*. As the extension $(\mathcal{O}_L/\wp_i) \,/\, (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})$ is a finite extension of finite fields it has cyclic Galois group with generator given by $x \mapsto x^{\#\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}}$, the (arithmetic) *Frobenius* automorphism. In this case

$$\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathrm{Gal}\left((\mathcal{O}_L/\wp_i) \,/\, (\mathcal{O}_K/\wp)\right) \simeq D_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \subset \mathrm{Gal}(L/K).$$

Thus for unramified primes $\mathfrak{p}$ in L/K we have the well-defined *Frobenius conjugacy class*, $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$.

Example 5. Let ζ_5 be a primitive 5th root of unity and set $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Then $D_{L/K} = (5^3)$ and all primes other than (5) are unramified. For an unramified prime p, f is the smallest integer satisfying $p^f \equiv 1 \pmod 5$. One determines g by solving $efg = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$. The primes p that are 1 modulo 5 have $f = 1$ and thus $g = 4$. For primes that are 2 and 3 modulo 5 we have $f = 4$ so $g = 1$. Finally, primes that are 4 modulo 5 have $f = 2$ and $g = 2$.

In this example $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic. In a biquadratic extension such as $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{13})/\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois group $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ one will never have an unramified prime p with Frob_p having order 4. It will either be the case that $f = 2$, $g = 2$ or $f = 1$, $g = 4$.

Arithmetic objects over a number field K often have a representation of the absolute Galois group of K, $G_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$, attached to them. For instance given an elliptic curve $E_{/K}$ one can study its m-torsion $E[m]$ over $\overline{K}$. Since $E(\mathbb{C}) \simeq S^1 \times S^1$ we see $E[m] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. More importantly, the abelian group structure of $E(\overline{K}) \subset E(\mathbb{C})$ is defined over K so $E(\overline{K})$ comes equipped with an action of G_K. Thus we have a continuous homomorphism $G_K \to \mathrm{Aut}(E[m]) \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. Now fix a prime ℓ, set $m = \ell^n$ and take an inverse limit as $n \to \infty$ to get a homomorphism $\rho_{E,\ell} : G_K \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. By extending the scalars to $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ or any

of its extension fields by tensoring, one gets a 2-dimensional representation of G_K over an ℓ-adic field. This homomorphism has a number of important properties. First it is unramified almost everywhere. As the field fixed by the kernel of $\rho_{E,\ell}$ is an infinite extension of K, this last statement requires some interpretation. Let L be the field fixed by the kernel of $\rho_{E,\ell}$. The extension L/K is infinite, but for any finite J/K with $J \subset L$ the relative discriminant of $D_{J/K}$ is relatively prime to all but a fixed finite set of prime ideals depending only on E and ℓ. The primes of K outside this set are called *unramified*. Taking the inverse limit, this implies there is, for every unramified prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in L/K, a conjugacy class $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ associated to $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \subset GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. Its characteristic polynomial, $\det(I - \mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} X)$, is then well-defined. A priori this polynomial is in $\mathbb{Z}_\ell[X]$ but one can in fact prove it is in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$. It contains important information about E, namely that the coefficient of X, denoted $-a_{\mathfrak{p}}$, determines the number of points of the elliptic curve mod $\mathfrak{p}$, that is,

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \#\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} + 1 - a_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

These polynomials, as we vary $\mathfrak{p}$, determine the L-function of E (except for the ramified primes where the local L-factor is slightly different), which according to the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture determines the rank of the abelian group $E(K)$, of K-rational points of E.

Example 6. For the elliptic curve $E : y^2 = x^3 + 1$, it is known that for each prime $p > 3$,

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = \begin{cases} p + 1 + J(\phi, \eta_3) + \overline{J(\phi, \eta_3)} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ p + 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod 3 \end{cases}, \tag{52}$$

where ϕ is the unique quadratic character on $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ and η_3 is a cubic character of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$, see [44, §18.3]. Furthermore E has complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$, that is its endomorphim ring properly contains $\mathbb{Z}$. These 'extra' endomorphisms are generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by $(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta_3 x, y)$. When viewing E as a variety over $\mathbb{Q}$, these endomorphisms are necessarily defined over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$. The image of G_K under $\rho_{E,\ell} : G_K \to GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ commutes with these extra endomorphisms. It is then easy to see that $\rho_{E,\ell}|_{G_K}$ is abelian. When $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ (the ordinary case) one finds that $\rho_{E,\ell}|_{G_K}$ is a direct sum of two characters. For $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ one must extend the coefficients from $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ to a larger ring to get the direct sum.

Let $\mathbb{A}_K^\times$ be the idele group of the number field K, that is the restricted direct product of all the local multiplicative groups with respect to the local units. From the theory of complex multiplication one can associate a Grössencharacter $\psi : \mathbb{A}_K^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ to $E_{/K}$. See chapter II, §9 of [82] for details. The Grössencharacter ψ is a continuous map satisfying

- $\psi(K^\times) = 1$
- ψ is unramified almost everywhere, that is at almost all places v of K, ψ is trivial at those ideles of the form $(\dots, 1, 1, u_v, 1, 1, \dots)$ where u_v is at the vth place and is a unit.

Choose a uniformizer π_v at v that is unramified for ψ. Then by $\psi(v)$ we mean $\psi(\dots, 1, 1, \pi_v, 1, 1, \dots)$. This is well-defined as ψ is unramified at v. Let $\mathbb{F}_v$ be the residue field at v. Then it is well-known that to our CM $E_{/K}$ one can associate a Grössencharacter ψ_E such that

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_v) = \#\mathbb{F}_v + 1 - \psi_E(v) - \overline{\psi_E(v)}.$$

The clear relationship between Grössencharacters and Jacobi sums will arise again in a prominent fashion in §5.3.

More generally, if one has a Galois representation $\rho_M : G_K \to GL_d(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ associated to an arithmetic object M (a motive), then it is unramified almost everywhere and for an unramified prime $\mathfrak{p}$ the conjugacy class $\rho_M(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})$ is semisimple and its eigenvalues $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1,2,\ldots,d}$ are algebraic numbers. The local L-factor at the unramified prime $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_i}{(\#\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})^s}\right)^{-1}$. We remark that if one considers the trivial one dimensional Galois representation of $G_\mathbb{Q}$, which is unramified everywhere, the corresponding L-function is just $\prod_p\left(1-\frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$, the Riemann zeta function.

5.2. **Notation for the mth power residue symbol.** Let m be a natural number and recall that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)}$ denotes the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}\subset\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)}$ that is coprime to m, let $q(\mathfrak{p}) := |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)}/\mathfrak{p}|$ and let $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}$ be the residue field. Necessarily, $q(\mathfrak{p})\equiv 1 \pmod m$. Define a map from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)}$ to the set of mth roots of unity together with 0 using the following mth power residue symbol notation $\left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m$ (here we simply call it the mth symbol), see pp. 240-241 of [68].

Definition 4. For $x\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)}$ define $\left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m := 0$ if $x\in\mathfrak{p}$, and if $x\notin\mathfrak{p}$, let the symbol take the value of the mth root of unity such that

$$\left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m \equiv x^{(q(\mathfrak{p})-1)/m} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}. \tag{53}$$

We can extend the definition to $x\in\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$, provided $\mathfrak{p}$ does not appear to a negative power in the factorization of the fractional ideal (x), by writing x as a quotient of algebraic integers.

In particular, for a fixed prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, the mth symbol is an order m multiplicative character for the residue field $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}$. For explicit examples of the mth symbols with $m=2,3,4,6$, see [44].

Definition 5. Now for any fixed rational number of the form $\frac{i}{m}$ with $i,m\in\mathbb{Z}$, we define a map $\iota_{(\cdot)}\left(\frac{i}{m}\right)$ from the unramified prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)}$ to characters of the corresponding residue fields by

$$\iota_\mathfrak{p}\left(\frac{i}{m}\right)(\cdot) = \left(\frac{\cdot}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m^i. \tag{54}$$

By definition, for any integers i,j,m,

$$\iota_\mathfrak{p}\left(\frac{i+j}{m}\right) = \iota_\mathfrak{p}\left(\frac{i}{m}\right)\iota_\mathfrak{p}\left(\frac{j}{m}\right), \text{ and } \overline{\iota_\mathfrak{p}\left(\frac{i}{m}\right)} = \iota_\mathfrak{p}\left(\frac{-i}{m}\right).$$

Example 7. For each prime ideal with residue field of odd characteristic, $\iota_\mathfrak{p}(\frac{1}{2})=\phi$, the quadratic character.

Fix $c\in\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)^\times$ and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)}$ prime to mc. Let $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}$ denote the Frobenius automorphism associated to $\mathfrak{p}$ in the abelian Kummer extension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m,c^{1/m})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$. Using the Artin symbol one easily checks that for varying

$\mathfrak{p}$

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)(c) = \frac{\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}(c^{1/m})}{c^{1/m}}. \tag{55}$$

For any given $c \in K^\times$, we extend the mth symbol $\left(\frac{c}{\cdot}\right)$ multiplicatively like (1) in Definition 6 to get a map from all unramified ideals $\mathcal{O}_{K(\sqrt[m]{c})}$ to the multiplicative group of order m such that for each $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s^{e_s}$ with distinct prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_i$

$$\left(\frac{c}{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_m = \left(\frac{c}{\mathfrak{p}_1}\right)_m^{e_1} \cdots \left(\frac{c}{\mathfrak{p}_s}\right)_m^{e_s}.$$

See page 241 of [68]. When $\frac{i}{m} = \frac{1}{2}$, $K = \mathbb{Q}$, and $c \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, this step means we extend the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{c}{\cdot}\right) := \left(\frac{c}{\cdot}\right)_2$ to the Jacobi symbol which applies to all integers coprime to c, see [44].

Proposition 3. *The map $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \left(\frac{c}{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_m$ corresponds to a 1-dimensional representation of G_K whose kernel fixes $G_{K(\sqrt[m]{c})}$.*

More generally, if we fix $a = \frac{i}{m}$ and $c \in K^\times$, then for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ coprime to the discriminant of $K(\sqrt[m]{c})$, the map

$$\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \chi_{\frac{i}{m},c}(\mathfrak{a}) := \left(\frac{c}{\mathfrak{a}}\right)_m^i, \tag{56}$$

also corresponds to a 1-dimensional representation of G_K whose kernel fixes $G_{K(\sqrt[m]{c})}$.

Example 8. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $c = -1$ in which case $d = 1$, $m = 2$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (4)$. In this case, if $e_1 = 1$ and $c_1 = -1$ then condition (2) holds for the map $\chi_{\frac{1}{2},-1}$.

Conversely, for any character $A \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times}$ of order dividing m, we have another map $\kappa_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ which assigns a rational number to A. In order to define this map, we first observe that for $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)} \backslash \mathfrak{p}$, we have $A(x) \in \mu_m$. In fact, $A(x)$ lies in the subgroup of μ_m generated by $\left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m$, so $A(x) = \left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m^i$ for some i. We claim that i is independent of x. It is clear that $A(x)$ depends only on x modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ and A. Fix an x_0 whose image generates the cyclic group $\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)}/\mathfrak{p}\right)^\times$. As the order of $A(x_0)$ divides m, which is the order of $\left(\frac{x_0}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m$, we have that $A(x_0) = \left(\frac{x_0}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m^i$ for some i. For any $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)} \backslash \mathfrak{p}$ we have, for some r, that $x \equiv x_0^r \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then for all x,

$$A(x) = A(x_0^r) = A(x_0)^r = \left(\frac{x_0}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m^{ri} = \left(\frac{x_0^r}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m^i = \left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m^i. \tag{57}$$

We thus define $\kappa_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(A) = \frac{i}{m}$. Then for any $a = \frac{i}{m}$, and any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)}$ coprime to m,

$$\kappa_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a)) \equiv a \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Next we will see that the mth symbol notation is compatible with field extensions and thus the map $\iota_{(\cdot)}\left(\frac{i}{m}\right)$ can be extended to finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$. Recall that one can lift a multiplicative character $A \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ to any finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$ by using the norm map. Let L be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ and let $\wp$ be a prime ideal in the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_L$ of L above $\mathfrak{p}$, with $\mathfrak{p}$ coprime to the discriminant of

L. Then $\mathcal{O}_L/\wp$ is a finite extension of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)}/\mathfrak{p}$ and we denote the degree of the extension by f.

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathbb{F}_\wp}(x)}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m = \left(\frac{x\cdot x^{q(\mathfrak{p})}\cdot x^{q(\mathfrak{p})^2}\cdots x^{q(\mathfrak{p})^{f-1}}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m = \left(\frac{x^{\frac{q(\mathfrak{p})^f-1}{q(\mathfrak{p})-1}}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m \equiv x^{(q(\mathfrak{p})^f-1)/m} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}. \tag{58}$$

But $x^{(q(\mathfrak{p})^f-1)/m} = x^{(q(\wp)-1)/m} \equiv \left(\frac{x}{\wp}\right)_m \bmod \wp$.

Thus on the residue field level, this means the $\left(\frac{\cdot}{\wp}\right)_m$ symbol on $\mathcal{O}_L/\wp$ can be computed from the norm map $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}_\wp/\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}}$ composed with the induced map $\left(\frac{\cdot}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m$ on $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}$. This is related to (70) below.

In fact, the $\iota_\mathfrak{p}(\cdot)$ gives a way to send any hypergeometric function

$${}_{n+1}P_n\left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n+1} \\ & b_1 & \cdots & b_n \end{matrix};\ \lambda\right]$$

with rational parameters and algebraic arguments to a collection of hypergeometric functions over finite residue fields $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}$ (varying in $\mathfrak{p}$)

$${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n\left[\begin{matrix} \iota_\mathfrak{p}(a_1) & \iota_\mathfrak{p}(a_2) & \cdots & \iota_\mathfrak{p}(a_{n+1}) \\ & \iota_\mathfrak{p}(b_1) & \cdots & \iota_\mathfrak{p}(b_n) \end{matrix};\ \lambda; q(\mathfrak{p})\right],$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ run through all unramified prime ideals of $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda,\zeta_m)$ with m being the least positive common denominator of all a_i and b_j. We will see this explicitly for the $n=1$ case below in §6.3.

5.3. **Jacobi sums and Grössencharacters.** We now recall a result of Weil which is relevant to our discussion below. Weil computed the local zeta functions for (homogeneous) Fermat curves of the form $X^n+Y^n=Z^n$ or special generalized Legendre curves of the form $y^N=x^{m_1}(1-x)^{m_2}$ (cyclic covers of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ only ramify at $0,1,\infty$). In both cases the local zeta functions can be expressed in terms of explicit Jacobi sums, see [44]. In [93], Weil explained how to consider Jacobi sums as Grössencharacters (or Hecke characters), defined in a different way which we recall below. In addition to the notation we introduced previously, we mainly use Weil's notation below.

Definition 6. [See Weil [93]] Let K be a degree d number field and $\mathcal{O}_K$ its ring of integers. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$ and use $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{m})$ to denote ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ that are prime to $\mathfrak{m}$. A Grössencharacter of K with defining ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, according to Hecke, is a complex-valued function on $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{m})$ such that

(1) $f(\mathfrak{a})f(\mathfrak{b})=f(\mathfrak{ab})$ for all $\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}\in\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{m})$
(2) There are rational integers e_i and complex numbers c_i, with $1\le i\le d$, such that if $a\in\mathcal{O}_K$ and $a\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ and a is positive at all real embeddings $K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{R}$, then the value of f at the principal ideal (a) satisfies

$$f((a)) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} a_i^{e_i}|a_i|^{c_i},$$

where $a_1 = a, a_2, \cdots, a_d$ are the embeddings of a to $\mathbb{C}$, and $|\cdot|$ denotes the complex absolute value.

Example 9. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (1)$, then the set $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{m})$ contains all ideals of $\mathbb{Z}$, which are all principal. For $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{m})$, write $I = (a)$ with $a > 0$. Define a function $\mathcal{T}$ on $\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{m})$ by $\mathcal{T}(I) = a$. Then $\mathcal{T}$ is a Grössencharacter of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $d = 1$, $e_1 = 0$ and $c_1 = 1$.

By definition, the set of Grössencharacters is closed under multiplication and division. Two Grössencharacters are equivalent if they coincide whenever they are both defined. The classes of equivalent Grössencharacters are in a one-to-one correspondence with the homomorphisms from the group of idele classes of K to $\mathbb{C}^\times$. In general, these characters need not be unitary. For convenience, we sometime use the same notation for both the Grössencharacter and the corresponding character of G_K. By class field theory, finite order characters of K correspond to finite order characters of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. See Example 16 for another explicit way to describe Grössencharacters.

Let ζ_m be a fixed primitive mth root of unity and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be an unramified prime ideal of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$. We will now give Weil's result (for his $r = 2$ case). Let $\underline{a} = (\frac{a_1}{m}, \frac{a_2}{m})$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ coprime to m, let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_{\underline{a}}(\mathfrak{p}) &:= -\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m^{a_1+a_2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}} \left(\frac{x}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m^{a_1} \left(\frac{1-x}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m^{a_2} \\ &= -\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_m^{a_1+a_2} J\left(\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{a_1}{m}\right), \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{a_2}{m}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

i.e. using

$$\mathcal{J}_{\underline{a}}(\mathfrak{p}) = -\chi_{a_1+a_2,-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot J\left(\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{a_1}{m}\right), \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{a_2}{m}\right)\right). \tag{59}$$

Next we extend $\mathcal{J}_{\underline{a}}$ to all ideals in $\mathcal{I}((m))$ by using (1) above, i.e.

$$\mathcal{J}_{\underline{a}}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = \mathcal{J}_{\underline{a}}(\mathfrak{a})\mathcal{J}_{\underline{a}}(\mathfrak{b}),$$

if $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{I}((m))$.

Theorem 11 (Weil, [93])**.** *The map $\mathcal{J}_{\underline{a}}$ is a Grössencharacter of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ with a defining ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (m^2)$.*

Namely, Weil showed that property (2) above also holds for this map when $\mathfrak{m} = (m^2)$.

We note that sometimes quotients of Jacobi sums can have finite image.

Example 10. By Example 2 of [24], we have that for $m = 10$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ any unramified prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10})}$, the Grössencharacter of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10})$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{J}_{(\frac{1}{10},\frac{6}{10})}/\mathcal{J}_{(\frac{2}{10},\frac{5}{10})}(\mathfrak{p}) = \left(\frac{2}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{10}^8 = \chi_{\frac{8}{10},2}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Example 11. It is shown by Yamamoto see [96, §20] that the Grössencharacter $\mathcal{J}_{(\frac{2}{12},\frac{5}{12})}/\mathcal{J}_{(\frac{3}{12},\frac{4}{12})}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12})$ is not a character, but its square is. To be more precise, Yamamoto showed that

$$\left(\mathcal{J}_{(\frac{2}{12},\frac{5}{12})}/\mathcal{J}_{(\frac{3}{12},\frac{4}{12})}\right)^2(\mathfrak{p}) = \left(\frac{27/4}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_{12} = \chi_{\frac{1}{12},\frac{27}{4}}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

One reason for the above phenomenon, known as the sign ambiguity, is that in Weil's Theorem 11, a choice of the defining ideal is (m^2), not (m).

6. Galois representation interpretation

6.1. **Galois interpretation for ${}_1\mathbb{P}_0$.** To illustrate our ideas, we will first discuss the Galois representation background behind the ${}_1\mathbb{P}_0$ function defined in (45) using the $\iota_{(\cdot)}$ map. Recall that by our notation,

$$ {}_1P_0\left[\frac{i}{m};\lambda\right]=(1-\lambda)^{-\frac{i}{m}}.$$

For each 'good' prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda,\zeta_m)$ (in the sense that it is coprime to the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m,\sqrt[m]{1-\lambda})$), we have

$${}_1\mathbb{P}_0\left[\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{i}{m}\right);\lambda;q(\mathfrak{p})\right]=\overline{\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{i}{m}\right)}(1-\lambda)=\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(-\frac{i}{m}\right)(1-\lambda)=\chi_{-\frac{i}{m},1-\lambda}(\mathfrak{p}),$$

by definition. Thus by Proposition 3, ${}_1\mathbb{P}_0[\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(\cdot);\lambda;q(\mathfrak{p})]$ provides a map which sends rational numbers of the form $\frac{i}{m}$ to finite order characters of the Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\lambda,\zeta_m)}$ which fix $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[m]{1-\lambda},\zeta_m)}$. Further for fixed $\frac{i}{m}$ and $\lambda\in\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, one can use these ${}_1\mathbb{P}_0$ functions to compute the corresponding Artin L-function, which will take the form of

$$L\left(\frac{i}{m},\lambda;s\right)\tag{60}$$
$$\text{“}=\text{”}\prod_{\text{good prime }\mathfrak{p}\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\lambda,\zeta_m)}}\left(1-{}_1\mathbb{P}_0\left[\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{i}{m}\right);\lambda;q(\mathfrak{p})\right]q(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}\right)^{-1}.$$

Here " = " means we only include those 'good' prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ that are coprime to the discriminant of $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[m]{1-\lambda},\zeta_m)}$.

Example 12. For $\frac{i}{m}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda=2$ as Example 8, we have

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2},-1;s\right)=\prod_{p\text{ odd prime}}\left(1-\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p^{-s}\right)^{-1}.$$

This is the Dirichlet L-function for the Dirichlet Character $\chi_{\frac{1}{2},-1}$.

6.2. **Generalized Legendre curves and hypergeometric type abelian varieties.** For any complex numbers a, b, c, z, with $\mathrm{Re}(c)>\mathrm{Re}(b)>0$, a formula of Euler (see [6]) says that the integral

$$\int_0^1 x^{b-1}(1-x)^{c-b-1}(1-zx)^{-a}dx$$

converges, and the classical hypergeometric series ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & b\\ & c\end{matrix};\ z\right]$ can be expressed as

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & b\\ & c\end{matrix};\ z\right]=\frac{1}{B(b,c-b)}\int_0^1 x^{b-1}(1-x)^{c-b-1}(1-zx)^{-a}dx,$$

for $|z|<1$, where the branch is determined by

$$\arg(x)=0,\ \arg(1-x)=0,\ |\arg(1-zx)|<\frac{\pi}{2},\ 0<x<1.$$

The restriction $\mathrm{Re}(c) > \mathrm{Re}(b) > 0$ can be dropped if we take the Pochhammer contour γ_{01} as the integration path which was recalled earlier in §2.1.

If the parameters a, b, $c \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $a, b, a-c, b-c \notin \mathbb{Z}$, which correspond to the non-degenerate cases, Wolfart [95] realized that the integrals

$$\frac{1}{\left(1-e^{2\pi ib}\right)\left(1-e^{2\pi i(c-b)}\right)}\int_{\gamma_{01}} x^{b-1}(1-x)^{c-b-1}(1-\lambda x)^{-a}dx$$
$$= B(a,b)\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & b\\ & c\end{matrix};\ z\right] = {}_2P_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & b\\ & c\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right];$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(1-e^{-2\pi ia})(1-e^{2\pi i(c-a)})}\int_{\gamma_{\frac{1}{\lambda}\infty}} x^{b-1}(1-x)^{c-b-1}(1-\lambda x)^{-a}dx \tag{61}$$
$$= (-1)^{c-a-b-1}\lambda^{1-c}B(1+a-c,1-a)\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}1+b-c & 1+a-c\\ & 2-c\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right]$$
$$= (-1)^{c-a-b-1}\lambda^{1-c}\,{}_2P_1\left[\begin{matrix}1+b-c & 1+a-c\\ & 2-c\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right]$$

are both *periods* (related to differential 1-forms of the form (65) below) of a so-called generalized Legendre curve

$$y^N = x^i(1-x)^j(1-\lambda x)^k, \tag{62}$$

where

$$N = \mathrm{lcd}(a,b,c), \quad i = N\cdot(1-b), \quad j = N\cdot(1+b-c), \quad k = N\cdot a, \tag{63}$$

and lcd means the least positive common denominator. Under the assumption that $a, b, a-c, b-c \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we know $N \nmid i, j, k, i+j+k$. Also by changing variables if needed, one can assume $0 < i, j, k < N$.

For $a = b = \frac{1}{2}, c = 1$ and any fixed $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the corresponding curve is the well-understood Legendre curve

$$L_\lambda : y^2 = x(1-x)(1-\lambda x),$$

see [81]. We summarize a few relevant properties of these Legendre curves here.

- It is a double over of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ which ramifies only at $0, 1, \frac{1}{\lambda}, \infty$ as demonstrated by the picture below.



- For any $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the curve L_λ is an algebraic curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ with genus 1 unless $\lambda = 0$ or 1, in which case the genus of L_λ is 0.
- When $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0,1\}$, the unique up to scalar differential on L_λ is of the form $\omega_\lambda := \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)(1-\lambda x)}}$.

- A period of L_λ is $\int_0^1 \omega_\lambda = {}_2P_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ & 1\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] = \pi \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ & 1\end{matrix}; \lambda\right]$. The monodromy group of $HDE(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda)$ is isomorphic to the arithmetic triangle group (∞, ∞, ∞).
- For simplicity, we assume $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Then there is a compatible family of 2-dimensional ℓ-adic representations $\rho_{L_\lambda,\ell}$ of $G_\mathbb{Q}$ constructed from the Tate module of L_λ, as we recall in §5.1, (see also [81]) such that for any odd prime p not dividing the discriminant $N(L_\lambda)$ of the elliptic curve L_λ and ℓ,

$$\operatorname{Tr} \rho_{L_\lambda,\ell}(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = -\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_p} \phi(x(1-x)(1-\lambda x)) = -\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi\\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix}; \lambda\right]$$

  and $\det \rho_{L_\lambda,\ell}(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = p$, where Frob_p stands for the arithmetic Frobenius conjugacy class of $G_\mathbb{Q}$ at p.
- For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, the L-function of L_λ is

$$L(L_\lambda, s) \text{“} = \text{”} \prod_{p\nmid N(L_\lambda)} \left(1 + {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi\\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] p^{-s} + p^{1-2s}\right)^{-1}. \tag{64}$$

  As usual, the quotations indicate that we are only giving a formula for the good L-factors.

In [8], Archinard explained how to construct the smooth model $X_\lambda^{[N;i,j,k]}$ of $C_\lambda^{[N;i,j,k]}$ of (62) and to compute all periods of first and second kind on $C_\lambda^{[N;i,j,k]}$ using hypergeometric functions. This is also recast in [24], and we follow that development here. Like L_λ, this curve is also a cyclic cover of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ ramified at four points 0, 1, $\frac{1}{\lambda}$, and ∞. When $\lambda = 0$ or 1, the covering map only ramifies at 3 points and is a quotient of a Fermat curve. This is the reason behind the degenerate situation happening at $\lambda = 0$ or 1.

Assume $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Then $X_\lambda^{[N;i,j,k]}$ has genus

$$g(N; i, j, k) := 1 + N - \frac{\gcd(N, i+j+k) + \gcd(N, i) + \gcd(N, j) + \gcd(N, k)}{2},$$

see [8] by Archinard. We use $J_\lambda^{[N;i,j,k]}$ to denote the Jacobian of $X_\lambda^{[N;i,j,k]}$, which is an abelian variety of dimension given by $g(N; i, j, k)$ and is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$. For each proper divisor d of N, $J_\lambda^{[N;i,j,k]}$ contains a factor which is isogenous to $J_\lambda^{[d;i,j,k]}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda, \zeta)$, where ζ is a primitive Nth root of unity. Use $J_\lambda^{\mathrm{prim}}$ to denote the primitive part of $J_\lambda^{[N;i,j,k]}$, such that none of its simple sub abelian varieties are isogenous to the simple factors of $J_\lambda^{[d;i,j,k]}$ for any proper divisor d of N.

The curve $C_\lambda^{[N;i,j,k]}$ admits an automorphism $A_\zeta : (x, y) \mapsto (x, \zeta^{-1}y)$ and this map induces a representation of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ on the vector space $H^0(X(\lambda), \Omega^1)$ of the holomorphic differential 1-forms on $X(\lambda)$. A basis of $H^0(X(\lambda), \Omega^1)$ can be chosen by the regular pull-backs of differentials on $C_\lambda^{[N;i,j,k]}$ of the form

$$\omega = \frac{x^{b_0}(1-x)^{b_1}(1-\lambda x)^{b_2}dx}{y^n}, \quad 0 \le n \le N-1,\ b_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{65}$$

satisfying the following conditions equivalent to the pullback of ω being regular at $0, 1, \frac{1}{\lambda}, \infty$ respectively,

$$b_0 \geq \frac{ni + \gcd(N,i)}{N} - 1, \;\; b_1 \geq \frac{nj + \gcd(N,j)}{N} - 1, \;\; b_2 \geq \frac{nk + \gcd(N,k)}{N} - 1,$$

$$b_0 + b_1 + b_2 \leq \frac{n(i+j+k) - \gcd(N, i+j+k)}{N} - 1.$$

For each $0 \leq n < N$, we let V_n denote the isotypical component of $H^0(X(\lambda), \Omega^1)$ associated to the character $\chi_n : \zeta_N \mapsto \zeta_N^n$. Then the space $H^0(X(\lambda), \Omega^1)$ is decomposed into a direct sum $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{N-1} V_n$. If $\gcd(n, N) = 1$, the dimension of V_n is given by

$$\dim V_n = \left\{\frac{ni}{N}\right\} + \left\{\frac{nj}{N}\right\} + \left\{\frac{nk}{N}\right\} - \left\{\frac{n(i+j+k)}{N}\right\},$$

where $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the fractional part of x, see [9]. Furthermore,

$$\dim V_n + \dim V_{N-n} = 2.$$

The elements of V_n with $\gcd(n, N) = 1$ are said to be new. The subspace

$$H^0(X(\lambda), \Omega^1)^{\text{new}} = \bigoplus_{\gcd(n,N)=1} V_n$$

is of dimension $\varphi(N)$, Euler's totient function of N, see [8].

Note that when $N \mid i + j + k$, one can rewrite $C_\lambda^{[N;i,j,k]}$ as $y^N = x^i(1-x)^j$ by moving $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ to infinity. In the following, we assume $1 \leq i, j, k < N$, $N \nmid i + j + k$, and $\gcd(i, j, k)$ is coprime to N. Let S be a basis of $H^0(X(\lambda), \Omega^1)^{\text{new}}$ whose elements are of the form $\omega_n = x^{b_0}(1-x)^{b_1}(1-\lambda x)^{b_2} dx/y^n$ with $\gcd(N, n) = 1$.

Under the assumptions that $i, j, k > 0$, $N \nmid i, j, k$, $i + j + k$, by work in [8], J_λ^{prim} is of dimension $\varphi(N)$, and is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$. We would like to point out the following fact relevant to the statement of Theorem 1 a second time.

Remark 3. Note that the assumptions $N \nmid i, j, k$ and $i+j+k$ are satisfied if i, j, k, N are computed by (63) from any given $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Q}^3$ satisfying $a, b, c - a, c - b \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Also, by changing variables, one can assume $i, j, k > 0$.

Moreover the Jacobian variety J_λ^{prim} is isogenous to the complex torus $\mathbb{C}^{\varphi(N)}/\Lambda(\lambda)$ [95], where

$$\Lambda(\lambda) = \left\{ \left(\sigma_n(u) \int_{\gamma_{01}} \omega + \sigma_n(v) \int_{\gamma_{\frac{1}{\lambda}\infty}} \omega \right)_{\omega \in S} : u, v \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N] \right\},$$

and σ_n is an element of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q})$ defined by $\zeta_N \mapsto \zeta_N^n$. In the case $N < i + j + k < 2N$, we have

$$\dim V_n = \dim V_{N-n} = 1, \quad \gcd(N, n) = 1,$$

and ω_n is $x^{-\{ni/N\}}(1-x)^{-\{nj/N\}}(1-\lambda x)^{-\{nk/N\}} dx$. For instance, the differential forms ω_1 and ω_{N-1} are $\omega_1 = dx/y$ and $\omega_{N-1} = \frac{x^{i-1}(1-x)^{j-1}(1-\lambda x)^{k-1}}{y^{N-1}} dx$. Thus,

when $1 \leq i, j, k < N$, $\gcd(N, i, j, k) = 1$, $N \nmid i + j$ nor $i + j + k$, and $\lambda \neq 0$, 1, the lattice $\Lambda(\lambda)$ can be expressed in terms of

$$ {}_2P_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \{\frac{nk}{N}\} & 1-\{\frac{ni}{N}\} \\ & 2-\{\frac{ni}{N}\}-\{\frac{nj}{N}\} \end{array};\lambda\right].$$

When $0 < i+j+k < N$ or $2N < i+j+k < 3N$, i.e. the corresponding triangle group is spherical, we do not have such general form for the vector space V_n.

Example 13. The spaces V_1 and V_3 corresponding to the family $C_\lambda^{[4;1,1,1]}$ have dimension 0 and 2, respectively. The space $H^0\left(X(\lambda), \Omega^1\right)$ is spanned by

$$dx/y^2,\ dx/y^3,\ xdx/y^3,$$

and hence

$${}_2P_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 1 \end{array};\lambda\right],\ {}_2P_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{array};\lambda\right],\ {}_2P_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{3}{4} & \frac{5}{4} \\ & \frac{3}{2} \end{array};\lambda\right]$$

are periods of $C_\lambda^{[4;1,1,1]}$. For the family $C_\lambda^{[5;3,4,4]}$, we have $\dim V_1 = 2$ and $\dim V_2 = 1$. A basis of $H^0\left(X(\lambda), \Omega^1\right)$ is

$$\frac{dx}{y},\ \frac{xdx}{y},\ \frac{x(1-x)(1-\lambda x)dx}{y^2},\ \frac{x(1-x)^2(1-\lambda x)^2dx}{y^3}.$$

6.3. **Galois interpretation for ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ and the proof of Theorem 1.** Let K be the Galois closure of $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$. For any fixed prime ℓ, similar to the elliptic curve case discussed in 5.1, the ℓ^n divisible points of the abelian variety $J_\lambda^{\mathrm{prim}}$ gives rise to a continuous homomorphism $\rho_{\lambda,\ell}^{\mathrm{prim}}$ from group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ to $GL_{2\varphi(N)}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. For simplicity, we extend the scalar fields to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Evaluated for any good prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ with $q(\mathfrak{p}) = q$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}\,\rho_{\lambda,\ell}^{\mathrm{prim}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) &= -\sum_{m\in(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times}\left(\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q}\eta_N^m\left(x^i(1-x)^j(1-\lambda x)^k\right)\right) \\ &= -\sum_{m\in(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times} {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\begin{bmatrix}\eta_N^{-mk} & \eta_N^{mi} \\ & \eta_N^{m(i+j)}\end{bmatrix};\lambda;q\end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

where η_N denotes any order N character on $\mathbb{F}_q$. For convenience, we consider $\rho_{\lambda,\ell}^{\mathrm{prim}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell(\zeta_N)$ for the remainder of this section. For a related discussion, see Proposition 2; for more details, see [24]. Essentially, this can be proved by using induction on N.

Now fix an embedding of ζ_N to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Observe that the map A_{ζ_N}, which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$, induces an order N automorphism $A_{\zeta_N}^*$ on $J_\lambda^{\mathrm{prim}}$ and hence the representation spaces of $\rho_{\lambda,\ell}^{\mathrm{prim}}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ by our assumption. Consequently, by the construction of $J_\lambda^{\mathrm{prim}}$

$$\rho_{\lambda,\ell}^{\mathrm{prim}}|_{G_{K(\zeta_N)}} \cong \bigoplus_{\gcd(m,N)=1} \sigma_{\lambda,m},$$

where $\sigma_{\lambda,m}$ corresponds to the ζ_N^m eigenspace of $A_{\zeta_N}^*$. Due to the symmetric roles of $\sigma_{\lambda,m}$, they have the same dimension, which has to be 2.

For any $c \in K(\zeta_N)$, we consider the smooth model of the following twisted generalized Legendre curve

$$y^N = cx^i(1-x)^j(1-\lambda x)^k.$$

Note that the primitive part of its Jacobian variety $J^{\mathrm{prim}}_{c,\lambda}$ is also $\varphi(N)$ dimensional defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\rho^{\mathrm{prim}}_{c,\lambda,\ell}$ denotes the corresponding Galois representation of G_K over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ and its restriction over $G_{K(\zeta_N)}$ also decomposes into a direct sum of 2-dimensional subrepresentations to be made explicit as follows. If (x,y) is on $C^{[N;i,j,k]}_\lambda$ then $(x,\ \sqrt[N]{c}\cdot y)$ is on the twisted curve and we denote the map between these two curves to be T_c. To proceed, we will consider the ℓ^n division points $P-\underline{o}$ on the Jacobian $J^{\mathrm{prim}}_\lambda$, similar to the genus 1 case in §5.1, where $\underline{o}$ denotes the origin of $J^{\mathrm{prim}}_\lambda$. Assume that $P=(x,y)$ is on $C^{[N;i,j,k]}_\lambda$ such that $P-\underline{o}$ is in the representation space $V_{\lambda,m}$ of $\sigma_{\lambda,m}$, where m is a positive integer such that $(m,N)=1$. By the way $\sigma_{\lambda,m}$'s are defined, $(x,\zeta_N^{-1}y)-\underline{o}=\zeta_N^m((x,y)-\underline{o})$. Also T_c sends $\underline{o}$ to the origin $\underline{o_c}$ of $J^{\mathrm{prim}}_{c,\lambda}$ which corresponds to a point at infinity on $C^{[N;i,j,k]}_\lambda$ with y coordinates to be zero. For any $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}\in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$ with $\mathfrak{p}$ coprime to the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N,\ \sqrt[N]{c})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}(T_c(P)-\underline{o_c}) &= \mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}(x,\ \sqrt[N]{c}\cdot y)-\underline{o_c}\\
&\overset{(55)}{=} (\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}(x), \left(\frac{c}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_N \sqrt[N]{c}\cdot \mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}(y))-\underline{o_c}\\
&= T_c(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}(x), \left(\frac{c}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_N \cdot \mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}(y))-\underline{o_c}\\
&= T_c\left(\frac{c}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_N^{-m} \mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}((x,y)-\underline{o}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows $T_cV_{\lambda,m}=\{T_cv \mid v\in V_{\lambda,m}\}$ is also a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[G_{K(\zeta_N)}]$-module. To be more explicit, if we denote the corresponding representation by $\sigma_{c,\lambda,m}$, then $\sigma_{c,\lambda,m}\cong \sigma_{\lambda,m}\otimes\chi_{-\frac{m}{N},c}$ where $\chi_{-\frac{m}{N},c}$ is as in (56). Summing up all pieces, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\rho^{\mathrm{prim}}_{c,\lambda,\ell}(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}) = \sum_{m\in(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times}\left(\frac{c}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_N^{-m}\cdot \mathrm{Tr}\sigma_{\lambda,m}(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}).$$

Meanwhile, in terms of explicit point counting using characters,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}\rho^{\mathrm{prim}}_{c,\lambda,\ell}(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}) &= -\sum_{m\in(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}} \iota_\mathfrak{p}\left(\frac{m}{N}\right)(cx^i(1-x)^j(1-\lambda x)^k)\\
&= -\sum_{m\in(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times}\left(\frac{c}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)_N^{m}\cdot\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}} \iota_\mathfrak{p}\left(\frac{m}{N}\right)(x^i(1-x)^j(1-\lambda x)^k).
\end{aligned}$$

As the above two equations hold for arbitrary $c\in\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}\sigma_{\lambda,m}(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}) &= -\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}} \iota_\mathfrak{p}\left(\frac{-m}{N}\right)(x^i(1-x)^j(1-\lambda x)^k)\\
&= -{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\begin{bmatrix}\iota_\mathfrak{p}(\frac{mk}{N}) & \iota_\mathfrak{p}(\frac{-mi}{N})\\ & \iota_\mathfrak{p}(\frac{-m(i+j)}{N})\end{bmatrix};\ \lambda\Bigg].
\end{aligned}$$

Using (63), if we let $\sigma_{\lambda,1}$ above to be the 2-dimensional representation $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}$ stated in Theorem 1, then $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(\frac{mk}{N}) = \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a)$, $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(\frac{-mi}{N}) = \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b)$, and $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(\frac{-m(i+j)}{N}) = \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(c)$ respectively, which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 4. In other words, for fixed rational numbers a, b, c, the corresponding

$$-{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b)\\ & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(c)\end{smallmatrix}; \lambda; q(\mathfrak{p})\right]$$

functions are the traces (or characters) of an explicit 2-dimensional Galois representation $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}$ of G_K at the Frobenius elements where K contains $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda, \zeta_N)$. When $a, b, c-a, c-b \notin \mathbb{Z}$, the representation is *pure* in the sense that for each good prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $\lambda \neq 0, 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, the characteristic polynomial of $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is of the form

$$H_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = T^2 - \mathrm{Tr}\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})T + \det\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \tag{67}$$

and has two roots of the same absolute value $\sqrt{q}$ where $q = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}|$. See Corollary 1 for how to compute $\det\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. When either $a, b, c-a$, or $c-b$ in $\mathbb{Z}$, the corresponding representation is impure. We will give some examples in §6.4.

Example 14. The following analogue of Kummer's evaluation (see (40)) expresses the value of the ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ function at -1 in terms of two not one Jacobi sums. To be more precise, let $B, D, \phi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ where ϕ is of order 2. Then, for $C = D^2$,

$${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B & C\\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix}; -1; q\right] = J(D, \overline{B}) + J(D\phi, \overline{B}). \tag{68}$$

This is proved by Greene in [39, (4.11)] and is also a natural byproduct of the quadratic transformation stated in Theorem 16. In connection with Theorem 1, this means for $b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $b, c, c-2b \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then the 2-dimensional Galois representation $\sigma_{-1,\ell}$ described in Theorem 16 corresponding to ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}b & c\\ & c-b\end{matrix}; -1\right]$ satisfies that at each good prime $\mathfrak{p}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}\sigma_{-1,\ell}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})\\ &\quad= \chi_{\frac{c}{2}-b,-1}(\mathfrak{p})\mathcal{J}\left(\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right), \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(-b)\right) + \chi_{\frac{c+1}{2}-b,-1}(\mathfrak{p})\mathcal{J}\left(\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right), \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(-b)\right).\end{aligned}$$

This means when $\sigma_{-1,\ell}$ is restricted to $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\lambda,\zeta_M)}$, where M is the least positive common denominator of $\frac{c}{2}, \frac{c+1}{2}, b$, is isomorphic to the direct sum of two Grössencharacters specified by the right hand side of the above equation. Thus $\sigma_{-1,\ell}$ is either reducible or it is induced from a 1-dimensional Grössencharacter of an index-2 subgroup.

When $\lambda = 0$ or 1, the ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ function corresponds to a dimension 1 (instead of 2) compatible family of Galois representations and in these cases the corresponding generalized Legendre curves have smaller genus. When $\lambda = 1$, the curve $C_\lambda^{[N;i,j,k]}$ becomes $y^N = x^i(1-x)^{j+k}$ which is a quotient of a Fermat curve. The following analogue of Gauss' evaluation formula (39) follows from (47)

$${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; 1; q\right] = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} B(y)\overline{AB}C(1-y) = J(B, \overline{AB}C). \tag{69}$$

They allow one to determine the Galois representation up to semisimplification and compute the determinants of the representations at Frobenius elements, see (73)

below. Consequently, one can use these period functions to compute the Euler p-factors of the L-function of the corresponding Galois representations, like equations (60) and (64).

Remark 5. From the representation point of view, the products (resp. sums) of ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ functions over the same finite field correspond to tensor products (resp. direct sums) of the corresponding representations. See [79].

6.4. **Some special cases of ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$-functions.** In Remark 4, it is mentioned that when $a, b, a-c, b-c \notin \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. when ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; \lambda\right]$ is primitive (see Definition 3), the representation $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}$ stated in Theorem 1 is pure. On the opposite side, we have the impure cases below for the imprimitive cases, which correspond to ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; \lambda\right]$ with either $a, b, a-c, b-c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 4. *Suppose $\lambda \neq 0$. Then,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} \varepsilon & B \\ & C \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= J(B, \overline{B}C) - \overline{C}(\lambda)\overline{B}C(\lambda - 1);\\
{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & B \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \overline{B}(\lambda)J(B, \overline{A}) - \overline{A}(1-\lambda);\\
{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & A \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \overline{B}(\lambda - 1)J(B, \overline{A}) - B(-1)\overline{A}(\lambda) + (q-1)\delta(1-\lambda)\delta(B);\\
{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & \varepsilon \\ & C \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \overline{C}(-\lambda)\overline{A}C(1-\lambda)J(C, \overline{A}) - 1 + (q-1)\delta(1-\lambda)\delta(\overline{A}C).
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. When $\lambda \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} \varepsilon & B \\ & C \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \sum_y B(y)\overline{B}C(1-y)\varepsilon(1-\lambda y) = \sum_{y \neq 1/\lambda} B(y)\overline{B}C(1-y)\\
&= \sum_y B(y)\overline{B}C(1-y) - \overline{C}(\lambda)\overline{B}C(\lambda - 1) = J(B, \overline{B}C) - \overline{C}(\lambda)\overline{B}C(\lambda - 1);
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & B \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \sum_y B(y)\varepsilon(1-y)\overline{A}(1-\lambda y) = \sum_{y \neq 1} B(y)\overline{A}(1-\lambda y)\\
&= \overline{B}(\lambda)J(B, \overline{A}) - \overline{A}(1-\lambda).
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the relation

$$
J(A, \overline{B})J(C, \overline{A}) = B(-1)J(C, \overline{B})J(C\overline{B}, \overline{A}B) - \delta(A)(q-1) + \delta(B\overline{C})(q-1),
$$

the function ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & A\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right]$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & A\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right] &= \frac{B(-1)}{q-1}\sum_{\chi} J(A\chi,\overline{\chi})J(B\chi,\overline{A\chi})\chi(\lambda)\\ &= \frac{B(-1)}{q-1}J(B,\overline{A})\sum_{\chi} J(B\chi,\overline{\chi})\chi(-\lambda) - B(-1)\overline{A}(\lambda) + \delta(B)\delta(1-\lambda)(q-1)\\ &= B(-1)J(B,\overline{A})\overline{B}(1-\lambda) - B(-1)\overline{A}(\lambda) + \delta(B)\delta(1-\lambda)(q-1)\\ &= J(B,\overline{A})\overline{B}(\lambda-1) - B(-1)\overline{A}(\lambda) + \delta(B)\delta(1-\lambda)(q-1).\end{aligned}$$

Using the same type of argument, we get the last claim. □

6.5. **Galois interpretation for ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$.** As mentioned earlier, when the parameter $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have that the period function ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n$ corresponds to the trace of a Galois representation of degree at most n. The normalized period, which is obtained by dividing from ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n$ a few Jacobi sum factors, corresponds to the tensor product of the Galois representation associated with ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n$ and a linear character associated with the Grössencharacter arising from the Jacobi sums as in §5.3.

When $n = 1$ and $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, by Theorem 1 we have under the same assumptions, there is a 2-dimensional ℓ-adic Galois representation

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda,\ell} := \sigma_{\lambda,\ell} \otimes (\chi_{c,-1} \cdot \mathcal{J}_{b,c-b})^{-1}$$

over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ such that for any good prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ where K is the Galois closure of $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda, \zeta_N)$,

$$\operatorname{Tr} \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda,\ell}(\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b)\\ & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(c)\end{matrix}; \lambda; q(\mathfrak{p})\right].$$

See the proof of Theorem 1 for the construction of $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}$, and (56) (resp. (59)) for the notation for $\chi_{c,-1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{J}_{b,c-b}$). Note that the $-$ sign is not needed for the above formula due to the relation between $\mathcal{J}(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $J(\cdot,\cdot)$ given in (59). Moreover, when $c-b, b, c \notin \mathbb{Z}$, the characteristic polynomial of $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda,\ell}(\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is degree 2 with two roots of absolute value 1. This will be particularly convenient when taking tensor products, which will be seen below in §7.

6.6. **Computing zeta functions and L-functions using hypergeometric functions over finite fields.** Given any hypersurface H_f defined by an algebraic affine (or projective) equation $f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = 0$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, use N_s to denote the number of affine (projective) solutions of f over $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$. The *zeta* function of H_f over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is defined by

$$Z_q(H_f, T) := \exp\left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_s T^s}{s}\right).$$

Now we recall the following theorem of Dwork.

Theorem 12 (Dwork, see [48])**.** *The zeta function of any affine or projective hypersurface given by $f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = 0$ is a ratio of two polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ and constant 1.*

For example, if C is a smooth projective indecomposable genus g curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then Weil showed that

$$Z_q(C,T) = \frac{P(T)}{(1-T)(1-qT)}$$

where $P(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ has degree $2g$ with all roots of absolute value $1/\sqrt{q}$ (these roots correspond to the eigenvalues of the inverse of the arithmetic Frobenius elements). See [44] for more details.

In this perspective, Proposition 2 implies that the finite field period functions ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n$ are related to the local zeta functions of hypergeometric varieties given by algebraic equations of the form (1). In the following discussion, we focus on the $n = 1$. Along this line, we relate the Theorem 1 to some results in the twisted exponential sums, which deals with the finite field period functions ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ 'vertically'. Given $A, B, C \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, by our notation

$${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & C \end{matrix}; \lambda; q\right] = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} B(y)\overline{B}C(1-y)\overline{A}(1-\lambda y).$$

As mentioned earlier, any character A on $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ can be extended to a multiplicative character A_r on the finite extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ using the norm map $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}}$, i.e. for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$,

$$A_r(x) = A(\mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}}(x)).$$

Also see (58). For instance, if $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, then

$$A_r(x) = A(x \cdot x^q \cdots x^{q^{r-1}}) = A(x \cdot x \cdots x) = A(x^r) = (A(x))^r. \tag{70}$$

Thus we can define ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{smallmatrix} A_r & B_r \\ & C_r \end{smallmatrix}; \lambda; q^r\right]$ accordingly. Using the perspective of twisted Jacobi sums [1, 2], the generating function

$$Z[A,B;C;\lambda;q;T] := \exp\left(\sum_{r\geq 1}\left({}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A_r & B_r \\ & C_r \end{matrix}; \lambda; q^r\right]\right)\cdot\frac{T^r}{r}\right) \tag{71}$$

is also a rational function, which is originally due to Dwork.

In view of Theorem 1 and the Weil conjectures (proved by Deligne) for local zeta functions of smooth curves (cf. [44, §11.3]), we know for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $a, b, c-a, c-b \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the corresponding Galois representation $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}$ is pure and at each unramified prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\lambda \neq 0, 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, the function

$$Z[\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a), \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b); \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(c); \lambda; q(\mathfrak{p}); T] = H_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)$$

where $H_{\mathfrak{p}}(T)$ is the characteristic polynomial of the arithmetic Frobenius $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ under $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}$, as in (67). Thus it is a polynomial with two roots of the same absolute value $1/\sqrt{q}$.

To give an example, we first recall another Hasse-Davenport relation (see Section 11.5 in [14] or Section 11.4 of [44]) which says that the Gauss sum of $g(A)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and the Gauss sum $g(A_r)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ are related by

$$g(A_r) = (-1)^{r-1} g(A)^r. \tag{72}$$

Example 15. By (72) and the finite field analogue of Kummer's evaluation (68), one has when $C = D^2$, and $B^2 \neq C$, that

$$Z(B, C; C\overline{B}; -1; q; T) = (1 + J(D, \overline{B})T)(1 + J(D\phi, \overline{B})T).$$

In comparison, the finite field analogue of the Gauss evaluation formula (69) implies

$$Z[A, B; C; 1; q; T] = 1 + J(B, \overline{AB}C)T, \quad \text{if } A \neq C.$$

As a corollary of Theorem 1, one can describe the determinants of the 2-dimensional Galois representation $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}$ of Theorem 1 at Frobenius elements explicitly by a simple computation of eigenvalues of Frobenius elements.

Corollary 1. *Let the notation as Theorem 1. Then*
(73)

$$det\,\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}(Frob_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\left({}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b)\\ & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(c)\end{smallmatrix}; \lambda; q(\mathfrak{p})\right]\right)^2 + {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{smallmatrix}(\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a))_2 & (\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b))_2\\ & (\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(c))_2\end{smallmatrix}; \lambda; q(\mathfrak{p})^2\right]\right)$$

Together, we are able to compute the L-function $L(\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}, s)$ of $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}$ if we omit the ramified prime factors. It will take the form of

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\ good\ prime}\left(1 + {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b)\\ & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(c)\end{smallmatrix}; \lambda; q(\mathfrak{p})\right] q(\mathfrak{p})^{-s} + det\,\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}(Frob_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot q(\mathfrak{p})^{-2s}\right)^{-1}.$$

6.7. **Summary.** To us, the Galois representation interpretation, i.e. that the ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n$ or ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$ functions are, up to sign, the character values of Galois representations at corresponding Frobenius conjugacy classes, provides a useful guideline when one translates classical results to the finite field setting. A few principles are summarized as follows.

First, let $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, and N the least common multiple of the orders of the A_i and B_j. Assume $q \equiv 1 \pmod N$ and that λ can be embedded into $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then:

- The function ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n\left[\begin{smallmatrix}A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_{n+1}\\ & B_1 & \cdots & B_n\end{smallmatrix}; \lambda; q\right]$ corresponds, up to a sign, to the trace of a degree at most $n+1$ Galois representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N,\lambda)}$ at the Frobenius conjugacy class at a prime ideal with residue of size q. When $\lambda = 0, 1$, the degree is usually less than $n+1$ and the representation is often impure.

- When $\lambda \neq 0, 1$, the primitive ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ or ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$ function corresponds to a 2-dimensional Galois representation, which is pure.

- Products (resp. sums) of ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{P}_n$ or ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$ functions correspond to tensor products (resp. direct sums) of the corresponding representations.

Remark 6. In relation to the first item above, see [1, 2] and the notes of hypergeometric motives by Rodriguez-Villegas [74] for a more general discussion. See [25] for some explicit examples.

7. A finite field Clausen formula and Ramanujan type formulas for $1/\pi$

In this section we use the previous discussion of Galois representations from Sections 5 and 6 to discuss a finite field version of the classical Clausen formula,

due to Evans and Greene, and how its geometric interpretation sheds light on Ramanujan type formulas for $1/\pi$.

7.1. **A finite field version of the Clausen formula by Evans and Greene.** One version of the Clausen formula [11] states that

$$(74) \qquad {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} c-s-\frac{1}{2} & s \\ & c \end{matrix};\ \lambda\right]^2 = {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} 2c-2s-1 & 2s & c-\frac{1}{2} \\ & 2c-1 & c \end{matrix};\ \lambda\right].$$

In terms of differential equations, this means that the symmetric square of the 2-dimensional solution space of $HDE(c-s-\frac{1}{2}, s; c; \lambda)$ is the 3-dimensional solution space of the hypergeometric differential equation satisfied by the ${}_3F_2$ occurring on the right side of (74). In [26], Evans and Greene obtained the following analogue of (74) written in our notation.

Theorem 13 ([26], Theorem 1.5)**.** *Let $C, S \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$. Assume that $C \neq \phi$, and $S^2 \notin \{\varepsilon, C, C^2\}$. Then for $\lambda \neq 1$,*

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} C\overline{S}\phi & S \\ & C \end{matrix};\ \lambda\right]^2 = {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} C^2\overline{S}^2 & S^2 & C\phi \\ & C^2 & C \end{matrix};\ \lambda\right] + \phi(1-\lambda)\overline{C}(\lambda)\left(\frac{J(\overline{S}^2, C^2)}{J(\overline{C}, \phi)} + \delta(C)(q-1)\right).$$

This theorem captures the well-known fact from representation theory that the tensor square of a 2-dimensional representation (left side) equals its symmetric square (3-dimensional representation, associated with the ${}_3\mathbb{F}_2$ on the right) plus an additional linear representation from the exterior power. This expression is closer to the complex setting than the version of the statement given in Theorem 1.5 of [26] which is in terms of period functions. We remark here that when $\lambda = 1$, by Theorem 4.38-(i) in [39] we have

$$(75) \quad {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} C^2\overline{S}^2 & S^2 & C\phi \\ & C^2 & C \end{matrix};\ 1\right] = \sum_{D \in \{S, S\phi\}} \frac{\phi(-1)J(D, C\overline{S}^2)J(\overline{C}S^2, \phi\overline{D})}{J(\phi, \phi C)J(S^2, C^2\overline{S}^2)} = \sum_{D \in \{S, S\phi\}} \frac{J(D, C\overline{S}^2)J(\overline{C}S^2, \phi\overline{D})}{J(\phi S, \overline{C})J(S, \overline{C})},$$

which corresponds to a 2-dimensional Galois representation that can be described by Grössencharacters, while Gauss' evaluation theorem says that

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} C\overline{S}\phi & S \\ & C \end{matrix};\ 1\right]^2 = \frac{J(\phi, S)^2}{J(S, \overline{C})^2}.$$

A nice example which realizes the Clausen formula geometrically is given by Ahlgren, Ono and Penniston [5]. In their work, they consider the $K3$ surfaces defined by

$$X_\lambda : s^2 = xy(1+x)(1+y)(x+\lambda y), \quad \lambda \neq 0, -1,$$

in relation to the elliptic curves

$$E_\lambda : y^2 = (x-1)(x^2 - 1/(1+\lambda)), \quad \lambda \neq 0, -1.$$

In particular, the point counting on X_λ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is related to

$$\sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{F}_q}\phi(xy(1+x)(1+y)(x+\lambda y)) = {}_3\mathbb{P}_2\left[\begin{matrix}\phi, & \phi, & \phi\\ & \varepsilon, & \varepsilon\end{matrix}; \quad -\lambda\right].$$

When $q\equiv 1 \pmod 4$, the point counting on E_λ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (or $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ if needed), which is given by

$$a(\lambda,q) := -\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q}\phi(x-1)\phi(x^2-1/(1+\lambda)),$$

is essentially ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta_4 & \eta_4\\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix}; -\lambda\right]$ where η_4 is an order 4 character. This follows from the quadratic formula in Theorem 16 with $B=C=\phi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ and $x=(b+1)/(b-1)$ with $b^2=1+\lambda$, for some b. Thus Theorem 1.1 of [5] is equivalent to the Clausen formula over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $S=\eta_4$ and $C=\varepsilon$.

For special choices of $\lambda\in\mathbb{Q}$ such as 1, 8, 1/8, -4, $-1/4$, the corresponding elliptic curve E_λ has complex multiplication (CM). For these λ values, the period functions ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ can be written in terms of Jacobi sums.

Example 16. When $\lambda=1/8$, the elliptic curve $E_{1/8}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-isogenous to the curve $y^2=x^3-9x$ which has complex multiplication and is of conductor 288 [60]. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}-a(1/8,q) =&\phi(3)\cdot{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi\\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix}; -1\right]\\ =&\phi(3)\cdot\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } q\equiv -1 \pmod 4,\\ J(\phi,\eta_4)+J(\phi,\overline{\eta}_4), & \text{if } q\equiv 1 \pmod 4.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

By the discussion in §5.3, the corresponding Grössencharacter is $\chi_{\frac12,\frac32}\cdot\mathcal{J}_{(\frac12,\frac14)}$. In particular, for any ideal $(a+b\sqrt{-1})$ with $a+b\sqrt{-1}\in\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$

$$\mathcal{J}_{(\frac12,\frac14)}((a+b\sqrt{-1})) = (-1)^b(a+b\sqrt{-1})\chi_1(a+b\sqrt{-1}),$$

where

$$\chi_1(a+b\sqrt{-1}) = \begin{cases}(-1)^{\frac{a+b-1}{2}}\sqrt{-1}, & \text{if } a\equiv 0 \pmod 2,\ b\equiv 1 \pmod 2,\\ (-1)^{\frac{a+b-1}{2}}, & \text{if } a\equiv 1 \pmod 2,\ b\equiv 0 \pmod 2,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

This is computed using the fact that $E_{1/8}$ is a twist of an elliptic curve with complex multiplication of conductor 64 [61].

Example 17. When $\lambda=-1/4$, the elliptic curve $E_{-1/4}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-isogenous to the curve $y^2=x^3-1$, which has complex multiplication and is of conductor 144 [59]. Thus $a(-1/4,q)$ can be expressed in terms of the Hecke character attached to a CM-elliptic curve of conductor 144. It follows that for $q\equiv 1 \pmod 3$,

$$-a(-1/4,q) = \phi(-1)\cdot\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } q\equiv -1 \pmod 3\\ J(\phi,\eta_3)+J(\phi,\overline{\eta}_3), & \text{if } q\equiv 1 \pmod 3,\end{cases}$$

where η_3 is a multiplicative character of order 3.

7.2. **Analogues of Ramanujan type formulas for $1/\pi$.** In 1914, Ramanujan [73] gave a list of infinite series formulas for $1/\pi$, where the series are values of hypergeometric functions. One example is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(6k+1)\left(\frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k}{k!}\right)^3\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^k=\frac{4}{\pi}. \tag{76}$$

Later in the 1980's, Borwein-Borwein [19] and Chudnovsky-Chudnovsky [21] proved these formulas using essentially the theory of elliptic curves with complex multiplication. The idea of their proof was recast in [20] and we will give some related discussion here. Roughly speaking, the family of elliptic curves E_λ defined in Section 7.1 has unique holomorphic differentials ω_λ, up to a scalar, similar to the case for the Legendre curve L_λ that we mentioned earlier. Integrating ω_λ along a suitable chosen path on E_λ leads to a period of the first kind on E_λ given by

$$p(\lambda)=\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)(1-\lambda)^{1/4}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4}\\ & 1\end{matrix};\,-\lambda\right].$$

By the reflection formula in Theorem 4, we have that $\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})=\sqrt{2}\pi$. One can compute periods of the second kind on E_λ from $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}p(\lambda)$. Together, differentials of the first and second kind for E_λ form a 2-dimensional vector space $V(\lambda)$. When E_λ has CM, its endomorphism ring $R:=R(\lambda)$, which induces an action on the space $V(\lambda)$, is larger than $\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, ω_λ is an eigenfunction of R. Let η_λ be a differential of the second kind that is also an eigenfunction of R.Then Chowla-Selberg says that for any cycle γ_λ of E_λ,

$$\int_{\gamma_\lambda}\omega_\lambda\cdot\int_{\gamma_\lambda}\eta_\lambda\sim\pi,$$

where $\sim$ means equality up to a multiple in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Picking γ_λ in the right way, one obtains a Ramanujan type formula for $1/\pi$ corresponding to the CM value λ. In this way, we obtain Ramanujan type formulas for $1/\pi$ using products of two distinct periods that are determined by R. In the spirit of the Clausen formula, the product of two periods lies in the symmetric square of the period space for E_λ; more explicitly, it is a special element in the solution space of the ${}_3F_2$.

While periods of the second kind obtained from derivation do not have exact finite field or Galois analogues, there are analogues of 'eigenfunctions' of the endomorphism ring on the Galois side. For suitabke λ the elliptic curve E_λ has CM by a field we denote K_λ. Fix a prime ℓ and let $\rho_{\lambda,\ell}$ denote the family of 2-dimensional ℓ-adic Galois representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ constructed from the elliptic curve E_λ tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. As E_λ has CM,

$$\rho_{\lambda,\ell}|_{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K_\lambda)}\simeq\sigma_{1,\lambda}\oplus\sigma_{2,\lambda},$$

where $\sigma_{1,\lambda}$ and $\sigma_{2,\lambda}$ are 1-dimensional representations that are invariant under R. Each of them corresponds to a Grössencharacter of K_λ, as we described explicitly for two cases above (Examples 16 and 17). The product $\sigma_{1,\lambda}\sigma_{2,\lambda}$ lies in the symmetric square of $\rho_{\lambda,\ell}|_{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K_\lambda)}$. The Clausen formula implies it is also a linear factor of the 3-dimensional Galois representation corresponding to ${}_3\mathbb{P}_2$. For the special cases of λ (say $\lambda=-\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{8}$), one can describe the values of the ${}_3\mathbb{P}_2$ functions explicitly.

Using the above computation for $a(\lambda, q)$ and the Clausen formula, one has the following proposition based on Examples 16 and 17.

Proposition 5. *We have the following two period function evaluation formulas.*

1. *For any prime power q that is coprime to 3, we have*

$$ {}_3\mathbb{P}_2\left[\begin{matrix}\phi, & \phi, & \phi \\ & \varepsilon, & \varepsilon\end{matrix}; \quad 1/4\right] = \phi(-1)\begin{cases} q, & \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod 3, \\ J(\phi,\eta_3)^2 + J(\phi,\overline{\eta}_3)^2 + q, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod 3, \end{cases} $$

where η_3 is a character of order 3.

2. *For any prime power q that is coprime to 4, we have*

$$ {}_3\mathbb{P}_2\left[\begin{matrix}\phi, & \phi, & \phi \\ & \varepsilon, & \varepsilon\end{matrix}; \quad -1/8\right] = \phi(-2)\begin{cases} q, & \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod 4, \\ J(\phi,\eta_4)^2 + J(\phi,\overline{\eta}_4)^2 + q, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \end{cases} $$

where η_4 is a character of order 4.

This yields the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *When $\lambda = -1/4$ (resp. $\lambda = 1/8$), $\sigma_{1,\lambda}\sigma_{2,\lambda}$ extends to a 1-dimensional representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. It corresponds to the following Grössencharacter of $\mathbb{Q}$:*

$$ \chi_{\frac{1}{2},-1} \cdot \mathcal{T} \quad (\text{resp. } \chi_{\frac{1}{2},-2} \cdot \mathcal{T}), $$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is defined in Example 9 and $\chi_{\frac{1}{2},(\cdot)}$ is defined in (56).

This is compatible with the p-adic analogues of Ramanujan-type formulas for $1/\pi$. For instance, the following supercongruence related to (76) was conjectured by van Hamme [89] and proved by the second author [62]. For any prime $p > 3$,

$$ \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (6k+1)\left(\frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k}{k!}\right)^3\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \equiv \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \pmod{p^4}. $$

See [20] for a general result, and [63] by the second and third authors, [85] by the fourth author, [71] by Osburn and Zudilin for some recent developments on Ramanujan-type supercongruences.

8. Translation of some classical results

In this section, we translate the finite field analogues of several classical results, including Kummer's 24 relations considered by Greene [39] and the Pfaff-Saalschütz formula. We then use our method to obtain a few finite field analogues of algebraic hypergeometric identities.

8.1. Kummer's 24 Relations.

Here, we address the relations between the period ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ hypergeometric functions corresponding to independent solutions of the hypergeometric differential equation, and Kummer's 24 relations. Statements below without proof or further references are due to Greene, especially Theorem 4.4 in [39]. See also [41] by Greene for an interpretation in terms of representations of $\mathrm{SL}(2, q)$.

The following proposition corresponds to the discussion in §3.2.6 for the classical setting.

Proposition 6. *For any characters A, B, $C \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & C \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= ABC(-1)\overline{C}(\lambda)\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} \overline{C}B & \overline{C}A \\ & \overline{C} \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] + \delta(\lambda)J(B, C\overline{B}),\\
{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & C \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= ABC(-1)\overline{A}(\lambda)\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & \overline{C}A \\ & \overline{B}A \end{matrix}; 1/\lambda\right] + \delta(\lambda)J(B, C\overline{B}),\\
{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & C \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= B(-1)\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & AB\overline{C} \end{matrix}; 1-\lambda\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 7. In the first two equalities, the extra delta term on the right is necessary as when $\lambda = 0$ the left hand side is a non-zero Jacobi sum by definition while the first term on the right hand side has value 0 by our convention. Also, if we rewrite the last entry as

$${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & \overline{C} \end{matrix}; 1-\lambda\right] = B(-1)\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & ABC \end{matrix}; \lambda\right],$$

and compare coefficients of the character $\overline{D}$ on both sides, one will get the identity of Helversen-Pasotto [43] which says that for any multiplicative characters A, B, C, D of $\mathbb{F}_q$

$$\frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\chi} g(A\chi)g(B\chi)g(C\overline{\chi})g(D\overline{\chi}) = \frac{g(AC)g(AD)g(BC)g(BD)}{g(ABCD)} + q(q-1)AB(-1)\delta(ABCD). \tag{77}$$

A representation theoretic proof of this formula is given in [57] by Li and Soto-Andrade. Using the representation theoretic approach, Li further obtained the p-adic field version of this identity in [56].

Remark 8. Let $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that a, b, $a-c$, $b-c$, $a+b-c \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Use σ_1 (resp. σ_2) to denote a 2-dimensional ℓ-adic Galois representation corresponding to ${}_2P_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & c \end{matrix}; \lambda\right]$ (resp. ${}_2P_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & a+b-c \end{matrix}; 1-\lambda\right]$) via Theorem 1. The last equality in Proposition 6 implies that if we use the $\chi_{(\cdot),(\cdot)}$ notation (56), then

$${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b) \\ & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(c) \end{matrix}; \lambda; q(\mathfrak{p})\right] = \chi_{b,-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \cdot {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b) \\ & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a+b-c) \end{matrix}; 1-\lambda; q(\mathfrak{p})\right].$$

This means σ_1 is isomorphic to $\chi_{b,-1} \otimes \sigma_2$ up to semisimplification. Many other formulas in this section have similar Galois interpretations.

The next proposition corresponds to the Pfaff and Euler transformations in §3.2.3.

Proposition 7. *For any characters A, B, $C \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \overline{A}(1-\lambda)\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \overline{B}C\\ & C\end{matrix}; \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right] + \delta(1-\lambda)J(B, C\overline{AB}),\\
{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \overline{B}(1-\lambda)\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}C\overline{A} & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right] + \delta(1-\lambda)J(B, C\overline{AB}),\\
{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \overline{AB}C(1-\lambda)\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{A}C & \overline{B}C\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] + \delta(1-\lambda)J(B, C\overline{AB}).
\end{aligned}
$$

The following relations between the normalized ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$-hypergeometric functions follow immediately from Propositions 6 and 7.

Proposition 8. *For any characters A, B, $C \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= ABC(-1)\overline{C}(\lambda)\frac{J(\overline{C}A, \overline{A})}{J(B, C\overline{B})}\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{C}B & \overline{C}A\\ & \overline{C}\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] + \delta(\lambda),\\
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= ABC(-1)\overline{A}(\lambda)\frac{J(\overline{C}A, \overline{B}C)}{J(B, C\overline{B})}\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \overline{C}A\\ & \overline{B}A\end{matrix}; 1/\lambda\right] + \delta(\lambda),\\
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \frac{J(B, C\overline{AB})}{J(B, C\overline{B})}\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & AB\overline{C}\end{matrix}; 1-\lambda\right],\\
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \overline{A}(1-\lambda)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \overline{B}C\\ & C\end{matrix}; \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right] + \delta(1-\lambda)\frac{J(B, C\overline{AB})}{J(B, C\overline{B})},\\
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \overline{B}(1-\lambda)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{A}C & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right] + \delta(1-\lambda)\frac{J(B, C\overline{AB})}{J(B, C\overline{B})},\\
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \overline{AB}C(1-\lambda)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{A}C & \overline{B}C\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] + \delta(1-\lambda)\frac{J(B, C\overline{AB})}{J(B, C\overline{B})}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain evaluation formulas for the ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$-functions depending on whether they are primitive or imprimitive. Using Proposition 4 and Lemma 1, we obtain the following proposition, which gives the evaluations in the imprimitive case.

Proposition 9. *Suppose $\lambda \neq 0$, and A, B, C are nontrivial. Then,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\varepsilon & B\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= 1 - \frac{\overline{C}(\lambda)\overline{B}C(\lambda-1)}{J(B, \overline{B}C)},\\
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & B\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \overline{A}(1-\lambda) - \overline{B}(\lambda)J(B, \overline{A}),\\
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & A\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \overline{B}(1-\lambda) - \frac{\overline{A}(\lambda)}{J(\overline{A}, B)},\\
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \varepsilon\\ & C\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= 1 - \overline{C}(-\lambda)\overline{A}C(1-\lambda)J(C, \overline{A}) - \delta(1-\lambda)\delta(\overline{A}C)(q-1).
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we consider the primitive cases.

Proposition 10. *If $A, B, C \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, $A, B \neq \varepsilon$ and $A, B \neq C$, then we have the following.*

(1) In general, we have

$$J(A,\overline{A}C)\cdot {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right] = J(B,\overline{B}C)\cdot {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B & A\\ & C\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right],$$
$${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right] = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B & A\\ & C\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right];$$

(2) For $\lambda\neq 0,\ 1$, we have

$${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right] = \overline{C}(\lambda)C\overline{AB}(\lambda-1)\frac{J(B,C\overline{B})}{J(A,C\overline{A})}{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{A} & \overline{B}\\ & \overline{C}\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right],$$
$${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right] = \overline{C}(\lambda)C\overline{AB}(\lambda-1)\frac{J(\overline{B},\overline{C}B)}{J(A,C\overline{A})}{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{A} & \overline{B}\\ & \overline{C}\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right].$$

Proof. Since A, B are not equal to ε, C, part (1) follows from the definition and the relations $J(A,C\overline{A}) = A(-1)J(A,\overline{C})$, and $g(\overline{C}A)J(A,\overline{C}) = g(A)g(\overline{C})$.

To prove part (2), we use part (1), along with Propositions 6 and 7. More precisely, for $\lambda\neq 0,\ 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right] &= B(-1){}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & AB\overline{C}\end{matrix};\ 1-\lambda\right]\\ &= B(-1)\overline{C}(\lambda){}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B\overline{C} & A\overline{C}\\ & AB\overline{C}\end{matrix};\ 1-\lambda\right]\\ &= \overline{C}(\lambda)ABC(-1){}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B\overline{C} & A\overline{C}\\ & \overline{C}\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right]\\ &= \overline{C}(\lambda)\overline{AB}C(\lambda-1){}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{B} & \overline{A}\\ & \overline{C}\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right].\end{aligned}$$

By part (1), one has

$${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & C\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right] = \overline{C}(\lambda)\overline{AB}C(\lambda-1)\frac{J(\overline{A},\overline{C}A)}{J(\overline{B},\overline{C}B)}{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{A} & \overline{B}\\ & \overline{C}\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right].$$

□

Remark 9. Using the Galois perspective, one can interpret the above equalities as in Remark 8. Let $a, b, c\in\mathbb{Q}$, with a, b, $a-c$, $b-c\notin\mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda\in\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ being totally real. Then for each prime ℓ, then by part (2) of the above proposition, the 2-dimensional ℓ-adic Galois representation $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}$ of G_K associated with ${}_2P_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & b\\ & c\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right]$ via Theorem 1 satisfies the following property: up to semisimplification,

$$\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}\cong\psi\otimes\overline{\sigma}_{\lambda,\ell},$$

where $\overline{\sigma}_{\lambda,\ell}$ is the complex conjugation of $\sigma_{\lambda,\ell}$ and ψ is the linear representation of G_K associated with the Grössencharacter $\chi_{-c,\lambda}\cdot\chi_{c-a-b,\lambda-1}\cdot\mathcal{J}_{b,c-b}/\mathcal{J}_{a,c-a}$ of K, see (56) and (59) for $\chi_{(\cdot),(\cdot)}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{(\cdot),(\cdot)}$.

The next result corresponds to the classical equation (36) in §3.2.3.

Corollary 3. *Suppose $A, B \neq \varepsilon$, and $A, B \neq C$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, we have*

$$2 \cdot {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & C \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] = ABC(-1)\overline{A}(\lambda){}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & A\overline{C} \\ & A\overline{B} \end{matrix}; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right] + ABC(-1)\overline{B}(\lambda)\frac{J(B,\overline{B}C)}{J(A,\overline{A}C)}{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} B & B\overline{C} \\ & B\overline{A} \end{matrix}; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right],$$

$$2 \cdot {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & C \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] = ABC(-1)\overline{A}(\lambda)\frac{J(\overline{C}A,\overline{B}C)}{J(B,C\overline{B})}{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & A\overline{C} \\ & A\overline{B} \end{matrix}; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right] + ABC(-1)\overline{B}(\lambda)\frac{J(C\overline{A},\overline{C}B)}{J(A,\overline{A}C)}{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} B & B\overline{C} \\ & B\overline{A} \end{matrix}; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right].$$

Note that the appearance of a factor of 2 on the left hand side corresponds to the fact that the right hand sides are the traces of 4-dimensional Galois representations at Frobenius elements.

Proof. Using Propositions 6 and 10, we obtain that if $\lambda \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & C \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] &= \frac{J(B,\overline{B}C)}{J(A,C\overline{A})}{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} B & A \\ & C \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] \\ &= ABC(-1)\overline{B}(\lambda)\frac{J(B,\overline{B}C)}{J(A,C\overline{A})}{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} B & \overline{C}B \\ & \overline{A}B \end{matrix}; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the result obtained by using the second part of Proposition 6 directly, we get the desired relations. □

8.2. **A Pfaff-Saalschütz evaluation formula.** Greene obtained the following analogue of (41) in [39] as follows. First, rewrite the third identity in Prop. 7 as

$$AB\overline{D}(1-\lambda)\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & D \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] = {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} \overline{A}D & \overline{B}D \\ & D \end{matrix}; \lambda\right] - \delta(1-\lambda)J(B, D\overline{B}).$$

Then by comparing coefficients of the corresponding characters and relabeling, one has

$$(78)\quad {}_3\mathbb{P}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A & B & C \\ & D & ABC\overline{D} \end{matrix}; 1\right] = B(-1)J(C, A\overline{D})J(B, C\overline{D}) - BD(-1)J(D\overline{B}, \overline{A}).$$

Or equivalently, for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ with least common denominator m and any unramified prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)}$

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_3\mathbb{P}_2\left[\begin{matrix} \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b) & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(c) \\ & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(d) & \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a+b+c-d) \end{matrix}; 1\right] \\ &\quad = \chi_{a,-1}(\mathfrak{p})\left[\mathcal{J}(\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(c), \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(a-d))\mathcal{J}(\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(b), \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(c-d)) + \mathcal{J}(\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(d-b), \iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(-a))\right]. \end{aligned}$$

See Definition 5 for $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(\cdot)$. This means the Galois representation corresponding to the ${}_3\mathbb{P}_2$ function evaluated at 1 is a direct sum of two Grössencharacters.

In terms of Jacobi sums,

$$\frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} C\chi(-1)J(A\chi,\overline{\chi})J(B\chi,\overline{D\chi})J(C\chi,D\overline{ABC\chi}) \tag{79}$$
$$= J(C,A\overline{D})J(B,C\overline{D}) - D(-1)J(D\overline{B},\overline{A}).$$

Remark 10. We note that from the Galois perspective, the ${}_3\mathbb{P}_2$ in (78) corresponds to a 2-dimensional Galois representation that can be described using two Grössencharacters. Furthermore, the additional term $-BD(-1)J(D\overline{B},\overline{A})$ is due to the additional term involving $\delta(1-\lambda)$ appearing in the third identity in Proposition 7, together with formula (44).

For further examples of evaluation formulas over finite fields, see [27, 39, 42, 64].

8.3. **A few analogues of algebraic hypergeometric formulas.** In the complex setting, to equate two formal power series, it suffices to compare the coefficients of each. For a proof of the identity [83, (1.5.19)]

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & a+\frac{1}{2}\\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left((1+\sqrt{z})^{-2a}+(1-\sqrt{z})^{-2a}\right), \tag{80}$$

we note that both sides are functions of z. The coefficient of z^n on the right hand side is $\binom{-2a}{2n}$, while on the left it is

$$\frac{(a)_n(a+1/2)_n}{(1/2)_n(1)_n} \overset{\text{Thm. 5}}{=} \frac{(a)_{2n}}{(1)_{2n}} = \binom{-2a}{2n}.$$

The evaluation identity (3) mentioned in the introduction can be proved similarly.

In the finite field setting, one can obtain identities in a similar way using (43) which we recall says that any function $f(x):\mathbb{F}_q\to\mathbb{C}$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$f(x) = \delta(x)f(0) + \sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} f_\chi\cdot\chi(x).$$

We will now give finite field analogues of (80) and (3), which generalize a few recent results of Tu-Yang in [87], which are proved using quotients of Fermat curves.

Theorem 14. *Let q be an odd prime power, $z\in\mathbb{F}_q^\times$, and $A\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ have order larger than 2. Then*

$${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\phi\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right] = \left(\frac{1+\phi(z)}{2}\right)\left(\overline{A}^2(1+\sqrt{z})+\overline{A}^2(1-\sqrt{z})\right),$$
$${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\phi\\ & A^2\end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right] = \left(\frac{1+\phi(1-z)}{2}\right)\left(\overline{A}^2\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)+\overline{A}^2\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)\right).$$

Remark 11. We first note that the above formulas are well-defined. When $z\neq 0$ does not have a square root in $\mathbb{F}_q$, then $\left(\frac{1+\phi(z)}{2}\right) = \frac{1-1}{2} = 0$. When $z\neq 0$ has a square root, we have $\left(\frac{1+\phi(z)}{2}\right) = 1$ and the right hand side is a sum of two characters. We now interpret the first identity in terms of a global Galois perspective. Assume $z\in\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $a=\frac{m}{N}$ for some integer m coprime to N. Let $\sigma_{z,\ell}$

be the 2-dimensional Galois representation associated with ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & a+\frac{1}{2}\\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{matrix}; z\right]$ as in Theorem 1. If $\sqrt{z}\notin\mathbb{Q}(z,\zeta_N)$, then for any unramified prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(z,\zeta_N)}$ that is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{z},\zeta_N)$, $\mathrm{Tr}\sigma_{z,\ell}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})=0$. This means $\sigma_{z,\ell}$ is induced from a finite order character of $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{z},\zeta_N)}$ which is an index-2 subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{Q}(z,\zeta_N)}$. There is a similar interpretation for the second result.

Proof. By the first equality of Proposition 6, we have

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\phi\\ & \phi\end{matrix}; z\right] = \phi(z)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\phi\\ & \phi\end{matrix}; z\right]+\delta(z).$$

Hence, if z is not a square in $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$, the evaluation is equal to zero.

We now suppose $z=a^2$ for some $a\in\mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\phi\\ & \phi\end{matrix}; z\right] =& \frac{A\phi(-1)}{(q-1)J(A\phi,\overline{A})}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(A\chi,\overline{\chi})J(A\phi\chi,\phi\overline{\chi})\chi(a^2)\\
=& \frac{\phi A(-1)}{(q-1)J(A\phi,\overline{A})}\sum_{\chi}\frac{g(A\chi)g(\overline{\chi})}{g(A)}\frac{g(A\phi\chi)g(\phi\overline{\chi})}{g(A)}\chi(a^2)\\
=& \frac{\phi(-1)}{q-1}\sum_{\chi}\frac{\overline{A\chi}(4)g(A^2\chi^2)g(\phi)^2g(\overline{\chi}^2)\chi(4)}{q\overline{A}(4)g(A^2)}\chi(a^2)\\
=& \frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\chi}\left(J(A^2\chi^2,\overline{\chi}^2)-(q-1)\delta(A^2)\right)\chi(a^2)\\
=& \overline{A}^2(1+a)+\overline{A}^2(1-a).
\end{aligned}$$

We can use Proposition 8 and the duplication formula (18) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\phi\\ & A^2\end{matrix}; z\right] &= \frac{J(\phi,\phi A)}{J(\phi A,\phi A)}\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\phi\\ & \phi\end{matrix}; 1-z\right]\\
&= A(4)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\phi\\ & \phi\end{matrix}; 1-z\right],
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to the desired second statement. □

Now we recast some of the previous discussion in terms of representations. Recall equation (3) mentioned in the introduction, which says

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a-\frac{1}{2} & a\\ & 2a\end{matrix}; z\right]=\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)^{1-2a}.$$

Writing the rational number $1-2a$ in reduced form, let N be its denominator. We first notice that when z is viewed as an indeterminant, the Galois group G of the Galois closure of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)^{1-2a}\right)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$ is a Dihedral group. This is compatible with the corresponding monodromy group, which can be computed from the method explained in §3.2.4.

As a consequence of the second part of Theorem 14, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4. *Let $A, B \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ such that $A, B, AB, A\overline{B}$ have orders larger than 2. Then,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \phi A\\ & A^2\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B & B\phi\\ & B^2\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]\\
&\quad={}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}AB & \phi AB\\ & (AB)^2\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]+\overline{B}^2\left(\frac{z}{4}\right){}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A\overline{B} & \phi A\overline{B}\\ & (A\overline{B})^2\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]-\delta(1-z)AB(4),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \phi A\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B & B\phi\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]\\
&\qquad={}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}AB & \phi AB\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]+\overline{B}^2(1-z)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A\overline{B} & \phi A\overline{B}\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]-\delta(z).
\end{aligned}
$$

Geometrically, this means the tensor product of two 2-dimensional representations for a Dihedral group is isomorphic to the direct sum of the other two. In other words, it describes a fusion rule for 2-dimensional representations of Dihedral groups.

Proof. Note that $\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)=\frac{z}{4}$ and

$$
\left(\frac{1+\phi(1-z)}{2}\right)^2=\left(1-\frac{\delta(1-z)}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1+\phi(1-z)}{2}\right).
$$

For any element $z\neq 1\in\mathbb{F}_q$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \phi A\\ & A^2\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B & \phi B\\ & B^2\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]=\\
&\qquad\left(\frac{1+\phi(1-z)}{2}\right)\cdot\left(\overline{A}^2\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)+\overline{A}^2\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot\left(\overline{B}^2\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)+\overline{B}^2\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)\right)\\
&\quad=\left(\frac{1+\phi(1-z)}{2}\right)\left(\overline{A}^2\overline{B}^2\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)+\overline{A}^2\overline{B}^2\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)\right)\\
&+\overline{B}^2\left(\frac{z}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1+\phi(1-z)}{2}\right)\left(\overline{A}^2B^2\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)+\overline{A}^2B^2\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)\right)\\
&\qquad={}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}AB & \phi AB\\ & (AB)^2\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]+\overline{B}^2\left(\frac{z}{4}\right){}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A\overline{B} & \phi A\overline{B}\\ & (A\overline{B})^2\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

When $z=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \phi A\\ & A^2\end{matrix}\,;\,1\right]{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B & \phi B\\ & B^2\end{matrix}\,;\,1\right]=AB(4)\\
&\qquad=\frac{1}{2}\left({}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}AB & \phi AB\\ & (AB)^2\end{matrix}\,;\,1\right]+\overline{B}^2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right){}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A\overline{B} & \phi A\overline{B}\\ & (A\overline{B})^2\end{matrix}\,;\,1\right]\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

The corollary follows. □

Additionally, consider Slater's equation (1.5.21) in [83],

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}2a & a+1\\ & a\end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right]=\frac{1+z}{(1-z)^{2a+1}}.$$

We have the following finite field analogue: for $A\neq\varepsilon$,

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A^2 & A\\ & A\end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right]=\overline{A}^2(1-z)-\overline{A}(z)J(A,\overline{A}^2).$$

This follows from the second part of Proposition 9.

Remark 12. The two formulas stated in Theorem 14 are direct consequences of the duplication formula in Theorem 5. By Theorem 6, we can generalize (80) using the same argument to get the following formula representing the mth multiplication formula

$$ {}_mF_{m-1}\left[\begin{matrix}a & a+\frac{1}{m} & \cdots & a+\frac{m-1}{m}\\ & \frac{1}{m} & \cdots & \frac{m-1}{m}\end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right]=\frac{1}{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}(1-\zeta_m^i\sqrt[m]{z})^{-ma}\right). \tag{81}$$

Geometrically, the monodromy group for the degree-m differential equation satisfied by the function on the left is a finite group (see the criterion in [17]), which is isomorphic to the Galois group of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\left((1+\sqrt[m]{z})^{-ma}\right)$ over the function field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(z)$, when $m=2$ it is a Dihedral group. In general, it is a cyclic group extended by $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Its finite field analogue is of the following form: let $q\equiv 1 \pmod m$ and η_m be a primitive order m character, then for $A\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ such that $A^m\neq\varepsilon$,

$$ {}_m\mathbb{F}_{m-1}\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\eta_m & \cdots & A\eta_m^{m-1}\\ & \eta_m & \cdots & \eta_m^{m-1}\end{matrix}\ ;\ z\right]=\frac{1}{m}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\eta_m(z)\right)\sum_{i=1}^{m}\overline{A}^m\left(1-\zeta_m^i\sqrt[m]{z}\right). \tag{82}$$

The proof is by the definition of ${}_m\mathbb{F}_{m-1}$ and the multiplication formula for Jacobi sums (26).

We conclude this section by continuing a discussion about $g(t)^2={}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4}\\ & \frac{2}{3}\end{matrix}\ ;\ t\right]^2$ in Example 4.

Example 18. By the Clausen formula (74),

$$\begin{aligned} g(t)^2 &\overset{(34)}{=} (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{12}\\ & \frac{2}{3}\end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{t}{t-1}\right]^2\\ &\overset{(74)}{=} (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}-\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{2}\\ & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3}\end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{t}{t-1}\right]\\ &\overset{(81)}{=} \frac{(1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{3}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3}\sqrt{1-\zeta_3^i\sqrt[3]{\frac{t}{t-1}}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

For the relation between $f(t)^2 = {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \\ & \frac{4}{3}\end{matrix}; t\right]^2$ and $g(t)^2$, if we let $x := x(t) = \sqrt{3+6g(t)^2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(t)^2 =& -8 - \frac{4x(x+1)(x-3)}{3}\frac{t-1}{t} \\ =& 8g(t)^2(2-\sqrt{3+6g(t)^2})\frac{t-1}{t} - \frac{8}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the $\mathbb{F}_q$ analogue with argument $t = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$ so that the results are easier to state. Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ be a prime power and η be a primitive order 12 character on $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Then the finite field versions of $f\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^2$ and $g\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^2$ can be stated as follows: for $\lambda \neq 0, 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (83)\quad \eta^8(\lambda)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^3 & \overline{\eta}^3 \\ & \eta^4\end{matrix}; \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right]^2 &= {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^3 & \overline{\eta}^3 \\ & \overline{\eta}^4\end{matrix}; \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right]^2 \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 + \sum\limits_{0\le i<j\le 2} \eta^6\left((1-\zeta_3^i a)(1-\zeta_3^j a)\right), & \text{if } \lambda = a^3 \\ \eta^4(\lambda), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is obtained by using the finite field Pfaff transformation

$${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^3 & \overline{\eta}^3 \\ & \overline{\eta}^4\end{matrix}; \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right]^2 = \eta^6(1-\lambda)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^3 & \overline{\eta} \\ & \overline{\eta}^4\end{matrix}; \lambda\right]^2,$$

the finite field Clausen formula (Theorem 13 with $S = \eta^3, C = \overline{\eta}^4$)

$${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^3 & \overline{\eta} \\ & \overline{\eta}^4\end{matrix}; \lambda\right]^2 = {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{\eta}^2 & \eta^2 & \eta^6 \\ & \eta^4 & \overline{\eta}^4\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] + \eta^6(1-\lambda)\eta^4(\lambda), \quad \lambda \neq 1,$$

equation (82):

$${}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{\eta}^2 & \eta^2 & \eta^6 \\ & \eta^4 & \overline{\eta}^4\end{matrix}; \lambda\right] = \frac{1}{3}\left(1+\eta^4(\lambda)+\overline{\eta}^4(\lambda)\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2}\eta^6\left(1-\zeta_3^i\sqrt[3]{\lambda}\right)\right),$$

the last identity of Proposition 10,

$${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^3 & \overline{\eta}^3 \\ & \overline{\eta}^4\end{matrix}; \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right] = \eta^4(\lambda)\frac{J(\eta^5,\eta^3)}{J(\eta,\eta^3)}\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^3 & \overline{\eta}^3 \\ & \eta^4\end{matrix}; \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right],$$

and the fact $J(\eta^5,\eta^3) = J(\eta,\eta^3)$ when η has order 12.

9. Quadratic or higher transformation formulas

In this section we begin with a discussion of some finite field analogues of higher degree hypergeometric transformation formulas related to elliptic curves and highlight the role geometric correspondences like isogeny and isomorphism play in some transformation formulas.

Next, we obtain several finite field analogues of classical formulas satisfying the $(*)$ condition by using our main technique. Our first example is a quadratic formula, which demonstrates that our technique has the capacity to produce analogues that

are satisfied by all values in $\mathbb{F}_q$. We then prove analogues of the Bailey cubic ${}_3F_2$ formulas, and an analogue of a formula by Andrews and Stanton.

We then use a different approach to obtain a finite field analogue of a cubic formula of Gessel and Stanton, which also allows us to obtain an evaluation formula. This cubic formula of Gessel and Stanton was proved in a way which has no direct translation that we know of, so this application illustrates that by using the Galois perspective, we can predict beyond what we can see with the $(*)$ condition and then prove these predictions using other techniques.

9.1. **Some results related to elliptic curves.** In §8.1, we discussed the finite field analogue of Kummer's 24 relations, which are relations between ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ (resp. ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$) functions linked by linear fractional transformations. These are the cases that can be deduced from the finite field version of the Lagrange theorem by Greene. However, Greene's theorem does not apply to higher degree transformation formulas.

In work of Borwein and Borwein [18], the following cubic formula is given for real $z \in (0,1)$,

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3}\\ & 1\end{matrix}; 1-z^3\right] = \frac{3}{1+2z}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3}\\ & 1\end{matrix}; \left(\frac{1-z}{1+2z}\right)^3\right].$$

Geometrically, this corresponds to the fact that the two elliptic curves

$$y^2+xy+\frac{1-z^3}{27}y=x^3, \qquad y^2+xy+\frac{1}{27}\frac{(1-z)^3}{(1+2z)^3}y=x^3$$

are 3-isogenous over $\mathbb{Q}(z,\zeta_3)$, which can be verified using the degree-3 modular equation satisfied by their corresponding j-invariants. Hence, when $q\equiv 1 \pmod 3$ and z can be embedded in $\mathbb{F}_q$, the local zeta functions of these curves over $\mathbb{F}_q$ are the same, i.e. if η_3 is a primitive cubic character in $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, then

$$ {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta_3 & \eta_3^2\\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix}; 1-z^3\right] = {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta_3 & \eta_3^2\\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix}; \left(\frac{1-z}{1+2z}\right)^3\right]. \tag{84}$$

When one replaces ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ by ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$, the above formula still holds.

Similarly, we consider the relation between the universal elliptic curves

$$E_t:\ y^2=4x^3-\frac{27x}{1-t}-\frac{27}{1-t},$$

and the Legendre curves

$$L_\lambda:\ y^2=x(1-x)(1-\lambda x).$$

By Theorem [84, Theorem 1.5] by Stienstra and Beukers, one has

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{12} & \frac{5}{12}\\ & 1\end{matrix}; \frac{27\lambda^2(\lambda-1)^2}{4(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)^3}\right] = (1-\lambda+\lambda^2)^{1/4}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ & 1\end{matrix}; \lambda\right]. \tag{85}$$

Here the j-invariant of E_t is $\frac{1728}{t}$ and the j-invariant of L_λ is $\frac{256(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)^3}{\lambda^2(\lambda-1)^2}$. Geometrically, equation (85) relates two isomorphic elliptic curves with the same j-invariants. To see the finite field analogue, we have the following relation by the work of the first author [35, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 15. *For $p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$ with $\lambda \neq -1,\ 2,\ 1/2$, we have*

$$ {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta_{12} & \eta_{12}^5 \\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix};\ \frac{27\lambda^2(\lambda-1)^2}{4(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)^3}\right] = \eta_{12}(-1)\eta_{12}^3(1-\lambda+\lambda^2)\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right], $$

where η_{12} is a primitive character of order 12 in $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}$. To write it in terms of ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$,

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta_{12} & \eta_{12}^5 \\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix};\ \frac{27\lambda^2(\lambda^2-1)^2}{4(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)^3}\right] = \eta_{12}^3(1-\lambda+\lambda^2)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right]. \tag{86} $$

Proof. For any fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}\setminus\{0,1\}$, the elliptic curve L_λ is isomorphic to E_t with $t = \frac{27\lambda^2(\lambda-1)^2}{4(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)^3}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. To be more explicit, when $t = \frac{27a^2(a-1)^2}{4(a^2-a+1)^3}$, the elliptic curve E_t can be written as

$$ \frac{(a-2)^2(2a-1)^2(a+1)^2}{4}y^2 = \big((2a^2+a-1)x+3(a^2-a+1)\big)\big((a^2-a-2)x-3(a^2-a+1)\big) \cdot\big((2a^2-5a+2)x+3(a^2-a+1)\big). $$

It is $\mathbb{Q}$-isomorphic to

$$ Y^2 = -\frac{a^2-a+1}{(a-2)(a+1)(2a-1)}X(X-1)(X-(1-a)) $$

by the change of variables

$$ (X,Y) = \left(-\frac{3(a^2-a+1)}{2a^2+a-1}\left(\frac{3x}{a-2}+1\right), \frac{(a-2)(2a-1)(a+1)}{2^4(a^2-a+1)}y\right). $$

For any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, let $a_p(t)$ denote the trace of the Frobenius endomorphism on E_t. According to the work of the first author [35], when $t \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ and $t \neq 1$, i.e. $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ with $\lambda \neq \pm 1,\ 2,\ 1/2$, we have

$$ {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta_{12} & \eta_{12}^5 \\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix};\ t\right] = -\phi(2)\eta_{12}(-1)\overline{\eta}_{12}^3(1-t)a_p(t). $$

By the above discussion

$$ a_p(t) = -\phi((1-\lambda+\lambda^2)(\lambda-2)(2\lambda-1))\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right]. $$

It follows that when $\lambda \neq 0,\ \pm 1,\ 2,\ 1/2$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$,

$$ {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta_{12} & \eta_{12}^5 \\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix};\ \frac{27\lambda^2(\lambda-1)^2}{4(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)^3}\right] = \eta_{12}(-1)\eta_{12}^3(1-\lambda+\lambda^2)\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \phi \\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix};\ \lambda\right]. $$

One can check that the identity also holds for $\lambda = 0,\ 1$.

We can see (86) by following definitions. Note that

$$ J(\eta_{12}^5,\eta_{12}^7) = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q}\eta_{12}^5(x)\,\eta_{12}^7(1-x) = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q}\eta_{12}^5\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) = -\eta_{12}^5(-1) $$

as stated in (20). Similarly, $J(\phi,\phi) = -\phi(-1)$. □

We wish to keep in mind that geometrically, transformation formulas are sometimes related to 'correspondences' like isogenies or isomorphisms, however in general the underlying geometric objects are complicated. More abstractly, they describe 'correspondences' between two hypergeometric motives. In this sense, the finite field analogues of the transformation formulas describe the relation between the Galois representations associated with the motives. The two examples above demonstrate that when the involved monodromy groups are arithmetic and hence have moduli interpretations, one may obtain $\mathbb{F}_q$ transformation formulas geometrically. The techniques used later in this section are mainly based on character sums. They are more technical, but they can handle general cases regardless of whether there are moduli interpretations.

9.2. **A Kummer quadratic transformation formula.** Recall the following Kummer quadratic transformation formula, which was mentioned in §3.2.7

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} c & b \\ & c-b+1 \end{matrix}\ ;\ x\right] = (1-x)^{-c}\ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{c}{2} & \frac{1+c}{2}-b \\ & c-b+1 \end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2}\right]. \tag{87}$$

We now outline a proof using the multiplication and reflection formulas, along with the Pfaff-Saalschütz formula. Observe that our proof is different from the one in [6, pp. 125-126]. We first recall an inversion formula [83, (2.2.3.1)].

$$(a)_{n-r} = (-1)^r \frac{(a)_n}{(1-a-n)_r}. \tag{88}$$

Proof. To begin, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{-c-2k}{n-k} &= (-1)^{n-k}\frac{(c+2k)_{n-k}}{(1)_{n-k}} \overset{(88)}{=} (-1)^{n-k}\frac{(c+2k)_n(-n)_k}{(1-c-2k-n)_k(1)_n} \\ &= (-1)^{n-k}\frac{\Gamma(c+2k+n)\Gamma(1-c-2k-n)}{\Gamma(c+2k)\Gamma(1-c-k-n)}\frac{(-n)_k}{n!} \\ &\overset{\text{reflection}}{=} (-1)^n\frac{\Gamma(c+2k+n)\Gamma(c+k+n)}{\Gamma(c+2k)\Gamma(c+2k+n)}\frac{(-n)_k}{n!} = (-1)^n\frac{(c)_{n+k}(-n)_k}{(c)_{2k}n!} \\ &= (-1)^n\frac{(c)_n(c+n)_k(-n)_k}{(c)_{2k}n!} \overset{(12)}{=} (-1)^n\frac{(c)_n(c+n)_k(-n)_k}{4^k(\frac{c}{2})_k(\frac{c+1}{2})_k n!}. \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

The right hand side of (87) can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{(\frac{c}{2})_k(\frac{1+c}{2}-b)_k}{k!(c-b+1)_k}(-4x)^k(1-x)^{-c-2k} \\ = & \sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{(\frac{c}{2})_k(\frac{1+c}{2}-b)_k}{k!(c-b+1)_k}(-4x)^k\sum_{i\geq 0}\binom{-c-2k}{i}(-x)^i \\ \overset{n=k+i}{=} & \sum_{k,n\geq 0}\frac{(\frac{c}{2})_k(\frac{1+c}{2}-b)_k}{k!(c-b+1)_k}4^k\binom{-c-2k}{n-k}(-x)^n \\ = & \sum_{k,n\geq 0}\frac{(\frac{c}{2})_k(\frac{1+c}{2}-b)_k}{k!(c-b+1)_k}4^k\frac{(c)_n(c+n)_k(-n)_k}{4^k(\frac{c}{2})_k(\frac{c+1}{2})_k n!}x^n \\ = & \sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(c)_n}{n!}\ {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1+c}{2}-b & c+n & -n \\ & \frac{c+1}{2} & c-b+1 \end{matrix}\ ;\ 1\right]x^n. \end{aligned}$$

By the Pfaff-Saalschütz formula (41), the above equals

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(c)_n(b)_n(\frac{1-c}{2}-n)_n}{n!(\frac{1+c}{2})_n(b-c-n)_n}x^n \overset{\text{reflection}}{=} \sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(c)_n(b)_n}{n!(c-b+1)_n}x^n.$$

□

Now we obtain the corresponding finite field analogue of (87), starting with an analogue of (89). We keep in mind that ϕ denotes the quadratic character.

Lemma 2. *Let $D, K, \chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ and set $C = D^2$. Then,*

$$J(\overline{CK^2},\overline{\chi}K) = \chi D\phi(-1)\frac{g(\overline{\chi}K)g(CK\chi)g(D)g(D\phi)}{K(4)g(DK)g(C)}\frac{g(\overline{DK}\phi)}{q} + \frac{(q-1)^2}{q}\delta(D\chi\phi)\delta(DK\phi) + (q-1)\delta(DK). \tag{90}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
J(\overline{CK^2},\overline{\chi}K) &\overset{\text{Lem.1}}{=} \chi K(-1)J(\overline{\chi}K, C\chi K)\\
&= \chi K(-1)\frac{g(\overline{\chi}K)g(C\chi K)}{g(CK^2)}\cdot\frac{g(D^2)}{g(C)} + (q-1)\delta(CK^2)\\
&\overset{\text{duplication}}{=} \chi K(-1)\frac{g(\overline{\chi}K)g(C\chi K)g(D)g(D\phi)}{K(4)g(DK)g(DK\phi)g(C)} + (q-1)\delta(CK^2)\\
&\overset{(17)}{=} \chi K(-1)\frac{g(\overline{\chi}K)g(C\chi K)g(D)g(D\phi)}{K(4)g(DK)g(C)}\\
&\quad\cdot\left(\frac{DK\phi(-1)}{q}g(\overline{DK\phi}) - \frac{q-1}{q}\delta(DK\phi)\right) + (q-1)\delta(CK^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Breaking this into three terms, the middle term is

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\chi K(-1)\frac{g(\overline{\chi}K)g(C\chi K)g(D)g(D\phi)}{K(4)g(DK)g(C)}\frac{(q-1)}{q}\delta(DK\phi)\\
&\overset{\text{duplication}}{=} -\chi K(-1)\frac{(q-1)}{q}g(\overline{\chi D}\phi)g(\chi D\phi)\delta(D\phi K)\\
&\overset{(14)}{=} -(q-1)\delta(DK\phi) + \frac{(q-1)^2}{q}\delta(D\phi K)\delta(D\phi\chi).
\end{aligned}$$

Recombining the three terms gives the Lemma. □

Remark 13. Among the three terms on the right hand side of (90), the first term is the major term corresponding to the term predicted by the classical case, and the other two terms deal with the possibility that $\overline{CK^2}$ and/or $\overline{\chi}K$ is the trivial character.

Theorem 16. *Let $B, D \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$, and set $C = D^2$. When $D \neq \phi$ and $B \neq D$, we have*

$$\overline{C}(1-x)\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} D\phi\overline{B} & D \\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2}\right] = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} B & C \\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix}\,;\,x\right] - \delta(1-x)\frac{J(C,\overline{B}^2)}{J(C,\overline{B})} - \delta(1+x)\frac{J(\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})}.$$

We will use the following identities, which can be checked directly, in the proof of Theorem 16. When $B \neq C$, and $\phi D\overline{B} \neq \varepsilon$,

$$\overline{D}(4)\frac{J(\phi\overline{B},D)}{J(D,D\overline{B})} = \frac{J(C,\overline{B}^2)}{J(C,\overline{B})}; \quad \frac{J(\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})} = \frac{J(C\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,D\overline{B}\phi)}. \tag{91}$$

Proof. When $x = 0$, both sides are equal to 1 by definition. When $x = 1$, both sides take value 0 as well. Thus, we assume $x \neq 0, 1$.

We first consider the case when $C = D^2 \neq \varepsilon$, and $B^2 \neq C$. We deal with this case by considering separately when $B = C$ and when $B \neq C$. Suppose $B \neq C$. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{C}(1-x)\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} D\phi\overline{B} & D \\ & C\overline{B} \end{matrix}; \frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2}\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{(q-1)J(D,D\overline{B})}\sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} D(-1)J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})J(DK,B\overline{CK})K(-4x)\overline{CK^2}(1-x) \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{(q-1)^2J(D,D\overline{B})}\sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} D(-1)J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})J(DK,B\overline{CK}) \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot K(-4x)\sum_{\varphi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(\overline{CK^2},\overline{\varphi})\varphi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\chi := K\varphi$, the above equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(q-1)^2J(D,D\overline{B})} \\
&\qquad \cdot \sum_{K,\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} D(-1)J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})J(DK,B\overline{CK})K(-4)J(\overline{CK^2},\overline{\chi}K)\chi(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

By Lemma 2 and (91) we can rewrite this as

$$S_1 + S_2 - \overline{D}(4)\frac{J(\phi\overline{B},D)}{J(D,D\overline{B})}\delta(1-x) = S_1 + S_2 - \delta(1-x)\frac{J(C,\overline{B}^2)}{J(C,\overline{B})}, \tag{93}$$

where the S_i are obtained by applying (90). Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 = \frac{1}{(q-1)^2J(D,D\overline{B})}&\sum_{K,\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} D(-1)J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})J(DK,B\overline{CK})K(-4) \\
&\cdot \chi D\phi(-1)\frac{g(\overline{\chi}K)g(CK\chi)g(D)g(D\phi)}{K(4)g(DK)g(C)}\frac{g(\overline{DK}\phi)}{q}\chi(x);
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_2 = \frac{\overline{D}(4)J(\phi,\phi B\overline{D})}{qJ(D,D\overline{B})}\phi(-1)J(\overline{B},\phi D)\phi\overline{D}(x).$$

We first analyze S_1.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \frac{g(C\overline{B})}{(q-1)^2 g(D)g(D\overline{B})} \sum_{K,\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} \chi\phi(-1)J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})\frac{g(DK)g(B\overline{CK})}{g(B\overline{D})} \\
&\qquad \cdot K(-4)\frac{g(\overline{\chi}K)g(CK\chi)g(D)g(D\phi)}{K(4)g(DK)g(C)}\frac{g(\overline{DK}\phi)}{q}\chi(x) \\
&\overset{\text{combine Gauss sums}}{=} \frac{BD(-1)g(C\overline{B})g(D\phi)}{(q-1)^2q^2g(C)}\sum_{\chi} g(B\overline{C\chi})g(D\phi\chi) \\
&\qquad \cdot\left(\sum_K K\chi\phi(-1)J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})\frac{g(B\overline{CK})g(\overline{\chi}K)}{g(B\overline{C\chi})}\frac{g(CK\chi)g(\overline{DK}\phi)}{g(D\phi\chi)}\right)\chi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\Omega := \frac{BD(-1)g(C\overline{B})g(D\phi)}{q^2g(C)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \frac{\Omega}{(q-1)^2}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} g(B\overline{C\chi})g(D\phi\chi) \\
&\qquad \cdot\left(\sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} K\chi\phi(-1)J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})\frac{g(B\overline{CK})g(\overline{\chi}K)}{g(B\overline{C\chi})}\frac{g(CK\chi)g(\overline{DK}\phi)}{g(D\phi\chi)}\right)\chi(x) \\
&\overset{\text{Jacobi sums}}{=} \frac{\Omega}{(q-1)^2}\sum_{\chi}\phi(-1)g(B\overline{C\chi})g(D\phi\chi)\chi(x)\sum_K K\chi(-1)J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K}) \\
&\qquad \cdot\left[J(B\overline{CK},\overline{\chi}K)-(q-1)BCK(-1)\delta(B\overline{C\chi})\right] \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot\left[J(CK\chi,\overline{DK}\phi)-(q-1)DK\phi(-1)\delta(D\phi\chi)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

To continue we separate the delta terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \frac{\Omega}{(q-1)}\sum_{\chi}\phi(-1)g(B\overline{C\chi})g(D\phi\chi)\chi(x)\cdot \\
&\qquad \sum_K \frac{K\chi(-1)}{q-1}J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})J(B\overline{CK},\overline{\chi}K)J(CK\chi,\overline{DK}\phi)+E_1+E_2 \\
&\qquad\qquad \overset{(79)}{=} \frac{\Omega}{(q-1)}\sum_{\chi}\phi(-1)g(B\overline{C\chi})g(D\phi\chi)\chi(x) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad (J(C\chi,\overline{D}\phi)J(\overline{\chi},B\chi)-B(-1)J(C\overline{B}\chi,\overline{D}\phi B))+E_1+E_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &:= -\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}\frac{\Omega\phi(-1)}{(q-1)}g(\varepsilon)g(B\overline{D}\phi)\sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})J(BK,\overline{DK}\phi)\cdot\delta(B\overline{C\chi})\chi(x) \\
&\qquad \overset{\text{Gauss eval.}}{=} \sum_{\chi}\Omega\phi(-1)g(B\overline{D}\phi)J(B,\overline{B})\delta(B\overline{C\chi})\chi(x) \\
&\qquad\qquad = -\phi B(-1)\Omega g(B\overline{D}\phi)B\overline{C}(x),
\end{aligned}$$

$$E_2 := -\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} \frac{\Omega\phi(-1)}{(q-1)} g(\varepsilon) g(B\overline{D}\phi) \sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K}) J(B\overline{CK}, D\phi K)\delta(D\phi\chi)\chi(x)$$

$$\overset{\text{Gauss eval.}}{=} \sum_{\chi} \frac{g(C\overline{B})g(D\phi)}{q^2 g(C)} \phi(-1) g(B\overline{D}\phi) J(D\phi, \overline{D}\phi)\delta(D\phi\chi)\chi(x)$$

$$= -D(-1)\Omega g(B\overline{D}\phi)\overline{D}\phi(x).$$

Now we continue our analysis of S_1. We separate $S_1 - (E_1 + E_2)$ into two terms. The first term is

$$\frac{\Omega}{(q-1)} \sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} \phi(-1) g(B\overline{C\chi}) g(D\phi\chi) J(C\chi, \overline{D}\phi) J(\overline{\chi}, B\chi)\chi(x)$$

$$= \frac{\Omega}{(q-1)} \sum_{\chi} \phi(-1) g(B\overline{C\chi}) g(D\phi\chi)$$

$$\cdot \left(\frac{g(C\chi)g(\overline{D}\phi)}{g(D\phi\chi)} + (q-1)D\phi(-1)\delta(D\phi\chi) \right) J(\overline{\chi}, B\chi)\chi(x).$$

By definition, this equals

$${}_2\mathbb{F}_1 \left[\begin{matrix} B & C \\ & C\overline{B} \end{matrix} ;\, x \right] - \sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} \Omega\, g(B\overline{D}\phi) D(-1) J(D\phi, B\overline{D}\phi)\delta(D\phi\chi)\chi(x)$$

$$= {}_2\mathbb{F}_1 \left[\begin{matrix} B & C \\ & C\overline{B} \end{matrix} ;\, x \right] - \Omega\, g(B\overline{D}\phi)\phi(-1) J(D\phi, \overline{B})\overline{D}\phi(x)$$

$$= {}_2\mathbb{F}_1 \left[\begin{matrix} B & C \\ & C\overline{B} \end{matrix} ;\, x \right] - S_2.$$

The second term is

$$-\frac{\Omega}{(q-1)} \sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} \phi(-1) g(B\overline{C\chi}) g(D\phi\chi) B(-1) J(C\overline{B}\chi, \overline{D}\phi B)\chi(x)$$

$$= -\frac{\Omega}{(q-1)} \sum_{\chi} \phi(-1) g(B\overline{C\chi}) g(D\phi\chi) B(-1) CB\chi(-1) J(C\overline{B}\chi, \overline{D\chi}\phi)\chi(x)$$

$$= -\frac{\Omega}{(q-1)} \sum_{\chi} \phi\chi(-1) g(B\overline{C\chi}) g(D\phi\chi) \frac{g(C\overline{B}\chi)g(\overline{D\chi}\phi)}{g(D\overline{B}\phi)}\chi(x)$$

$$= -\frac{\Omega}{(q-1)} \sum_{\chi} \frac{\phi\chi(-1)}{g(D\overline{B}\phi)} g(B\overline{C\chi}) g(C\overline{B}\chi) g(D\phi\chi) g(\overline{D\chi}\phi)\chi(x).$$

Applying the finite field reflection formula (14), the above becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{\Omega}{(q-1)}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}\frac{\phi\chi(-1)}{g(D\overline{B}\phi)}\left(BC\chi(-1)q-(q-1)\delta(B\overline{C\chi})\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot(D\phi\chi(-1)q-(q-1)\delta(D\phi\chi))\chi(x)\\
&\quad=-\frac{q^2\Omega}{(q-1)g(D\overline{B}\phi)}DB(-1)\sum_{\chi}\chi(-1)\chi(x)-E_1-E_2\\
&\quad\overset{(44)}{=}-\frac{q^2\Omega}{g(D\overline{B}\phi)}DB(-1)\delta(1+x)-E_1-E_2\\
&\quad=-\delta(1+x)\frac{J(\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})}-E_1-E_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that

$$S_1={}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B & C\\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix};\ x\right]-\delta(1+x)\frac{J(\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})}-S_2.$$

Thus by (93), putting this all together gives that when $B\neq C$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{C}(1-x)\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}D\phi\overline{B} & D\\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix};\ \frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2}\right]=\\
&\qquad{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B & C\\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix};\ x\right]-\delta(1-x)\frac{J(C,\overline{B}^2)}{J(C,\overline{B})}-\delta(1+x)\frac{J(\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})},
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

When $B=C$, we can obtain by similar means that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{C}(1-x)\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi\overline{D} & D\\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix};\ \frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2}\right]\\
&\qquad={}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}C & C\\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix};\ x\right]-\delta(1-x)\frac{J(C,\overline{C}^2)}{J(C,\overline{C})}-\delta(1+x)\frac{J(\overline{C},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{C})}.
\end{aligned}$$

We next consider the case when $D^2=\varepsilon$. Since we know $D\neq\phi$ from our hypothesis, we have $D=\varepsilon$. Note that under the assumption of $B\neq D$, we also

have $B \neq \varepsilon$. In this case, by Proposition 9, the left hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon(1-x)\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi\overline{B} & \varepsilon \\ & \overline{B}\end{matrix};\ \frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2}\right] \\
&\quad = -\left(B\left(\frac{4x}{(1-x)^2}\right)\phi\left(\frac{(1+x)^2}{(1-x)^2}\right)J(\overline{B},B\phi)-\varepsilon(1-x)\right) \\
&\quad = -B\left(\frac{4x}{(1-x)^2}\right)J(\overline{B},B\phi)(1-\delta(1+x))+1 \\
&\quad = -B\left(\frac{4x}{(1-x)^2}\right)J(\overline{B},B\phi)+1+B(-1)J(\overline{B},B\phi)\delta(1+x) \\
&\quad = -B\left(\frac{4x}{(1-x)^2}\right)J(\overline{B},B\phi)+1+J(\overline{B},\phi)\delta(1+x) \\
&\quad = -B\left(\frac{4x}{(1-x)^2}\right)J(\overline{B},B\phi)+1-\frac{J(\overline{B},\phi)}{J(\varepsilon,B)}\delta(1+x).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Proposition 9, and Lemma 1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B & \varepsilon \\ & \overline{B}\end{matrix};\ x\right] &= -\left(B(-x)\overline{B^2}(1-x)J(\overline{B},\overline{B})-1\right) \\
&\overset{(22)}{=} -B\left(\frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2}\right)J(\overline{B},\phi)+1 = -B\left(\frac{4x}{(1-x)^2}\right)J(\overline{B},B\phi)+1.
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\delta(1-x)=0$ since $x\neq 1$, this gives the desired result that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon(1-x)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi\overline{B} & \varepsilon \\ & \overline{B}\end{matrix};\ \frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2}\right] \\
&\qquad = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B & \varepsilon \\ & \overline{B}\end{matrix};\ x\right]-\delta(1-x)\frac{J(\varepsilon,\overline{B}^2)}{J(\varepsilon,\overline{B})}-\delta(1+x)\frac{J(\overline{B},\phi)}{J(\varepsilon,\overline{B})}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now consider the case when $B^2=C$, and thus $B=D\phi$. The left hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{C}(1-x)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\varepsilon & D \\ & D\phi\end{matrix};\ \frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2}\right] &= \overline{C}(1-x)-\frac{\overline{D\phi}(-4x)}{J(D,\phi)} \\
&= \overline{C}(x-1)-\frac{\overline{D\phi}(-4x)}{J(D,\phi)}(1-\delta(1+x)),
\end{aligned}$$

while the right hand side is

$$\overline{C}(x-1)-\frac{\overline{D}\phi(x)}{J(C,\overline{D}\phi)} = \overline{C}(x-1)-\frac{\overline{D}\phi(x)}{J(\overline{D}\phi,\overline{D}\phi)}.$$

Using the duplication formula, we obtain the result. □

9.2.1. *A summary of the the proof of Theorem 16.* In the previous proof of the quadratic formula, the delta terms produce two kinds of byproducts: the extra terms like $\delta(1\pm x)$, which are due to the degeneracy of the corresponding Galois representations at the corresponding x values, and the extra characters like the E_i terms described above. While the first kind of extra terms are unavoidable, but can

be omitted by excluding a few x values from the equation, the second kind of extra terms might be eliminated. In particular, some of them are 'path dependent'. For instance, in this proof, if we swap the upper characters of the left hand side, then the delta terms do not involve $B\overline{C}(x)$.

The above approach can be adopted to give finite field analogues of many classical quadratic or higher degree formula relating two primitive ${}_{n+1}F_n$ functions with rational parameters and arguments of the form c_1x and $c_2x^{n_1}(1-c_3x)^{n_2}$, respectively, that satisfies the $(*)$ condition, where $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, n_1 > 0$ and $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$. See [10] for a collection of such formulas. As we assume the classical formula satisfies the $(*)$ condition, we will similarly mimic the proof of the classical formula to get the finite field analogues. We expand one side (typically the more complicated side) of the formula as a double summation involving two characters K and χ, as in (92). Then we reduce the double sum to obtain the other side of the formula. The dictionary described in §2.4 predicts that the major terms for the finite field analogues, i.e. the ${}_{n+1}\mathbb{F}_n$ terms predicted on both sides, agree. Though extra delta terms are technical to compute in general, they correspond to the discrepancy between two finite dimensional Galois representations. There is one subtlety we should be aware of in the finite field translation: we might need to extend the current finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ in order to use an evaluation formula to bridge both sides. For quite a few cases here, this is the Pfaff-SaalSchütz formula over $\mathbb{F}_q$. One way to get around the need for a field extension is to use other evaluation formulas, such as the quadratic Pfaff-SaalSchütz formula over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (Corollary 7 below). See the proof of Theorem 20 for such an example.

9.3. **The quadratic formula in connection with the Kummer relations.** Together with the Kummer relations discussed in §8.1, one can also obtain many equivalent versions of quadratic formulas over the finite fields which are useful in many ways. A different approach to eliminate the extra characters of the second kind as described in §9.2.1 is as follows. Applying a Pfaff transformation (fifth identity of Proposition 8) to the right hand side of the quadratic formula in Theorem 16, we obtain

$$\overline{C}(1-x)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} D\phi\overline{B} & D \\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2}\right] = \overline{C}(1-x)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} C\overline{B}^2 & C \\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{x}{x-1}\right] - \delta(1+x)\frac{J(\overline{B}, D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})}, \tag{94}$$

by letting $z = \frac{x}{x-1}$ and hence $1-z = \frac{1}{1-x}$. When $z \neq \frac{1}{2}, 1$, we get

$${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} D\phi\overline{B} & D \\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix}\,;\,4z(1-z)\right] = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} C\overline{B}^2 & C \\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right], \tag{95}$$

or equivalently when also A^2, $B^2 \neq \varepsilon$, then

$${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & B \\ & AB\phi\end{matrix}\,;\,4z(1-z)\right] = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A^2 & B^2 \\ & AB\phi\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]. \tag{96}$$

For example, it is easy to see that the terms do not differ by extra characters as both sides are invariant under the involution $z \mapsto 1-z$.

Below is the $\mathbb{F}_q$ version of another Kummer quadratic transformation formula.

Theorem 17. *Let A, $B \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ such that B, $B^2\overline{A}$, $A\overline{B}\phi \neq \varepsilon$. Then we have*

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & B\\ & B^2\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{4z}{(1+z)^2}\right] = A^2(1+z)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\phi\overline{B}\\ & B\phi\end{matrix}\,;\,z^2\right],$$

when $z \neq \pm 1$.

This is the finite field analogue of the following quadratic transformation of Kummer (see [6, (3.1.11)])

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & b\\ & 2b\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{4z}{(1+z)^2}\right] = (1+z)^{2a}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & a+1/2-b\\ & b+1/2\end{matrix}\,;\,z^2\right].$$

Proof of Theorem 17. Following arguments similar to the proof of the classical case, one can derive Kummer's quadratic transformation from the quadratic formulas

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}B & D^2\\ & D^2\overline{B}\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right] = \overline{D}^2(1+z)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi D & D\\ & D^2\overline{B}\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{4z}{(z+1)^2}\right], \quad z \neq \pm 1, \tag{97}$$

provided $B \neq \varepsilon$ and $D^2 \neq B^2$, and

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}K & E^2\\ & K^2\end{matrix}\,;\,1-z\right] = E^2(2)\overline{E}^2(1+z)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi E & E\\ & \phi K\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{(z-1)^2}{(z+1)^2}\right], \quad z \neq \pm 1, \tag{98}$$

provided $K \neq \varepsilon$ and $E^2 \neq K^2$.

The first equation is obtained by applying Pfaff's transformation (Proposition 8 - item 4) to the quadratic formula in Theorem 16. Using Proposition 8 - item 3 and the duplication formula on Gauss sums, one can observe the second identity from (97).

Replace $1-z$ with $4z/(1+z)^2$ in (98) to derive the formula

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}K & E^2\\ & K^2\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{4z}{(1+z)^2}\right] = \overline{E}^2(1+z^2)E^4(1+z)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi E & E\\ & \phi K\end{matrix}\,;\,\left(\frac{2z}{1+z^2}\right)^2\right].$$

Replace the arguments $\frac{4z}{(1+z)^2}$ by $\left(\frac{2z}{1+z^2}\right)^2$ and set the characters $D = E$, $B = \phi E^2\overline{K}$ in (97) to obtain the formula

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi E^2\overline{K} & E^2\\ & \phi K\end{matrix}\,;\,z^2\right] = \overline{E}^2(1+z^2)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi E & E\\ & \phi K\end{matrix}\,;\,\left(\frac{2z}{1+z^2}\right)^2\right].$$

Therefore, one has

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}E^2 & K\\ & K^2\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{4z}{(1+z)^2}\right] = E^4(1+z)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}E^2 & \phi E^2\overline{K}\\ & \phi K\end{matrix}\,;\,z^2\right],$$

since the ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$-functions are primitive under our assumptions. One can remove the condition on the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and replace the character E^2 by any character A with care. □

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 17, we obtain the finite field version of Theorem 3 in [88], which says for real numbers a and b such that neither $b+3/4$ nor $2b+1/2$ is a non-positive integer, then in a neighborhood of $z=0$ one has

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a+b & b+\frac{1}{4}\\ & 2b+\frac{1}{2}\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{4z}{(1+z)^2}\right] = (1+z)^{2a+2b}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a+b & a+\frac{1}{4}\\ & b+\frac{3}{4}\end{matrix}\,;\,z^2\right].$$

Corollary 5. *Let η be a primitive character of order 4 so $\phi = \eta^2$. Let A, B characters so that $A\eta$, $B\eta$, $A\overline{B}\phi \neq \varepsilon$. Then we have*

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} AB & B\eta \\ & \phi B^2 \end{matrix}; \frac{4z}{(1+z)^2}\right] = A^2B^2(1+z)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} AB & A\eta \\ & B\overline{\eta} \end{matrix}; z^2\right], $$

when $z \neq 0, \pm 1$.

See Appendix §13.1 for some numeric observations related to other higher transformation formulas in [88].

9.3.1. *Two other immediate corollaries of Theorem 16.* From a transformation formula, one can obtain evaluation formulas either by specifying values or by comparing coefficients on both sides. Here we will show how to obtain the finite field analogue of the Kummer evaluation formula (40), which has been obtained by Greene in [39] using a different approach.

Corollary 6. *Assume $B, C \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ and set $C = D^2$, then*

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} B & C \\ & C\overline{B} \end{matrix}; -1\right] = \frac{J(D,\overline{B})}{J(C,\overline{B})} + \frac{J(\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})}. $$

Proof. By Theorem 16, if $C = D^2 \neq \varepsilon$, $B^2 \neq C$, $x = -1$, one has

$$ \overline{C}(2)\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} D\phi\overline{B} & D \\ & C\overline{B} \end{matrix}; 1\right] = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} B & C \\ & C\overline{B} \end{matrix}; -1\right] - \frac{J(\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})}. $$

Hence,

$$ \begin{aligned} {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} B & C \\ & C\overline{B} \end{matrix}; -1\right] &= \overline{C}(2)\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} D\phi\overline{B} & D \\ & C\overline{B} \end{matrix}; 1\right] + \frac{J(\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})} \\ &= \overline{D}(4)\frac{J(\phi,D)}{J(D,D\overline{B})} + \frac{J(\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})}, \end{aligned} $$

where if $C \neq B$,

$$ \overline{D}(4)\frac{J(\phi,D)}{J(D,D\overline{B})} = \frac{\overline{D}(4)g(\phi)g(D)g(C\overline{B})}{g(\phi D)g(D)g(D\overline{B})} = \frac{J(\overline{B},D)}{J(C,\overline{B})}, $$

and if $C = B$,

$$ \overline{D}(4)\frac{J(\phi,D)}{J(D,D\overline{B})} = \frac{\overline{D}(4)J(\phi,D)}{J(D,\overline{D})} = \frac{J(D,D)}{J(D,\overline{D})} = \frac{J(\overline{C},D)}{J(C,\overline{C})}, $$

since $J(\phi,\chi) = \chi(4)J(\chi,\chi)$ if $\chi \neq \varepsilon$.

When $C = \varepsilon$, the claim follows Proposition 4. □

Next we will get a 'quadratic' version of the finite field Pfaff-Saalschütz formula (79) to be used later, this version includes cases to which (79) is not applicable. Switching x and $1-x$, we first rewrite the formula in Theorem 16 as

$$\overline{C}(x)\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} D\phi\overline{B} & D \\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix};\ \frac{-4(1-x)}{x^2}\right] = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} B & C \\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix};\ 1-x\right] - \delta(x)\frac{J(C,\overline{B}^2)}{J(C,\overline{B})} - \delta(2-x)\frac{J(\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})}. \tag{99}$$

We first use one of the Kummer relations to write the first term on the right in terms of x and assume $x \neq 0$. Now we look at the left hand side which is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overline{C}(x)\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} D\phi\overline{B} & D \\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix};\ \frac{-4(1-x)}{x^2}\right] \\
= \quad & \frac{D(-1)}{(q-1)J(D,D\overline{B})}\sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})J(DK,B\overline{CK})K(-4)\overline{CK^2}(x)K(1-x) \\
& +\overline{C}(x)\delta\left(\frac{-4(1-x)}{x^2}\right) \\
\overset{(44)}{=} \quad & \frac{D(-1)}{(q-1)^2J(D,D\overline{B})}\sum_{K} J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})J(DK,B\overline{CK})K(-4)\overline{CK^2}(x)\times \\
& \sum_{\varphi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(K,\overline{\varphi})\varphi(x) + \overline{C}(x)\delta\left(1-x\right) \\
\overset{\chi=\overline{CK^2}\varphi}{=} \quad & \frac{D(-1)}{(q-1)^2J(D,D\overline{B})}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}\sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})J(DK,B\overline{CK})K(-4)J(K,\overline{C\chi K^2})\chi(x) \\
& +\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}\frac{1}{q-1}\chi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Since C is a square, $C(-1)=1$. Under the assumption that $x\neq 0$, the right hand side of (99) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} B & C \\ & C\overline{B}\end{matrix};\ 1-x\right] - \delta(2-x)\frac{J(\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})} \\
= \quad & \frac{J(C,\overline{B}^2)}{J(C,\overline{B})}\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} B & C \\ & B^2\end{matrix};\ x\right] - \delta\left(x-2\right)\frac{J(\overline{B},D\phi)}{J(C,\overline{B})} \\
\overset{(44)}{=} \quad & \frac{1}{(q-1)J(C,\overline{B})}\left(\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(B\chi,\overline{\chi})J(C\chi,\overline{B^2\chi})\chi(x) - J(\overline{B},D\phi)\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}\overline{\chi}(2)\chi(x)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By equating the coefficient of χ on both sides and assuming $B^2\neq C$ we get

$$\left[\left(J(B\chi,\overline{\chi})J(C\chi,\overline{B^2\chi})-J(\overline{B},D\phi)\overline{\chi}(2)\right)/J(C,\overline{B})\right]-1$$
$$=\frac{D(-1)}{(q-1)J(D,D\overline{B})}\sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})J(DK,B\overline{CK})J(K,\overline{C\chi K^2})K(-4)$$
$$=\frac{D\chi(-1)}{(q-1)J(D,D\overline{B})}\sum_{K}J(D\phi\overline{B}K,\overline{K})J(DK,B\overline{CK})J(\overline{C\chi K^2},CK\chi)K(-4)$$
$$=\frac{D\chi(-1)}{(q-1)J(D,D\overline{B})}\sum_{K}\frac{g(D\phi\overline{B}K)g(\overline{K})g(DK)g(B\overline{CK})}{g(D\phi\overline{B})g(B\overline{D})}\times$$
$$\left(\frac{g(\overline{C\chi K^2})g(CK\chi)}{g(\overline{K})}+(q-1)\chi(-1)\delta(K)\right)K(-4)$$
$$=\left[\frac{D\chi(-1)}{(q-1)J(D,D\overline{B})}\sum_{K}\frac{g(D\phi\overline{B}K)g(DK)g(B\overline{CK})g(\overline{C\chi K^2})g(CK\chi)}{g(D\phi\overline{B})g(B\overline{D})}K(-4)\right]-1.$$

Assume $C=D^2$, $D\neq\phi$ and $B^2\neq C$. Then

$$\sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}g(D\phi\overline{B}K)g(DK)g(B\overline{CK})g(\overline{C\chi K^2})g(CK\chi)K(-4)=$$
$$(q-1)\frac{D\chi(-1)g(D\phi\overline{B})g(B\overline{D})J(D,D\overline{B})}{J(C,\overline{B})}\left(J(B\chi,\overline{\chi})J(C\chi,\overline{B^2\chi})-J(\overline{B},D\phi)\overline{\chi}(2)\right).$$

In conclusion, we obtained the following quadratic version of Pfaff-SaalSchütz formula over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Corollary 7. *Let A, B, $C\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ not simultaneously trivial, such that $CA,CB\neq\phi$. Then*

$$\frac{AB(-1)C(4)J(\phi AC,\overline{ABC^2})}{q(q-1)g(\phi)}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}g(A\chi^2)g(B\chi)g(C\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{ABC\chi})g(\overline{\chi})\overline{\chi}(-4)$$
$$=J(\overline{ABC^2},AC^2)J(A,B^2C^2)-J(\overline{ABC^2},\phi AC)AC^2(2).$$

If $CB=\phi$ (or $CA=\varepsilon$), then

$$\frac{1}{(q-1)g(\phi)}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}g(A\chi^2)g(B\chi)g(C\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{ABC\chi})g(\overline{\chi})\overline{\chi}(-4)$$
$$=qB(4)AB(-1)J(B,B\overline{A})-qAB(-1)A(2)-\delta(A)(q-1)B(-1)J(B,\phi);$$

If $CA=\phi$ (or $CB=\varepsilon$), then

$$\frac{1}{(q-1)g(\phi)}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}g(A\chi^2)g(B\chi)g(\phi\overline{A}\overline{\chi})g(\overline{B\chi})g(\overline{\chi})\overline{\chi}(-4)$$
$$=-B(4)J(\phi,B)J(A\overline{B}^2,\phi B\overline{A})-qAB(-1)A(2)$$
$$+\delta(A)q(q-1)B(-1)-\delta(\phi B)(q-1)AB(-1).$$

Proof. The first case can be obtained from replacing the character K by $K\overline{C\chi}$ and relabeling the characters. The other cases are straightforward verifications from the relation between Gauss sums and Jacobi sums. □

Remark 14. When A is a square of another character, the left hand side can be evaluated using the finite field Pfaff-SaalSchütz formula (79). However, one does not need this assumption in the above Corollary.

9.4. **A finite field analogue of a theorem of Andrews and Stanton.** In [7] Andrews and Stanton proved the following theorem:

Theorem 18 (Theorem 5 of [7])**.** *For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that both sides converge,*

$$(1-x)^{a+1}\,{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} a+1 & b+1 & a-b+\frac{1}{2} \\ & 2b+2 & 2a-2b+1 \end{matrix};\ 4x(1-x)\right]$$
$$= (1-x)^{-a-1}\,{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} a+1 & b+1 & a-b+\frac{1}{2} \\ & 2b+2 & 2a-2b+1 \end{matrix};\ \frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2}\right].$$

To prove this result, Andrews and Stanton used two Bailey cubic ${}_3F_2$ transformation formulas. In this section, we obtain a finite field analogue of the above formula. Namely we will show the following.

Theorem 19. *For a given finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of odd characteristic, let A, $B \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ such that none of $A, B, B\overline{A}^2$, $B^3\overline{A}^2$, $B^3\phi\overline{A}$ is trivial and x an element in $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $x \neq \pm 1, \frac{1}{2}$. We have*

$${}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A & B & A\phi\overline{B} \\ & B^2 & A^2\overline{B}^2 \end{matrix};\ 4x(1-x)\right] = \overline{A}^2(1-x)\,{}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A & B & A\phi\overline{B} \\ & B^2 & A^2\overline{B}^2 \end{matrix};\ \frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2}\right].$$

We note that the conditions in Theorem 19 are used in order to avoid the need for delta terms. Before proving Theorem 19, we require some additional results. We start by using our approach to obtain a finite field analogue of the Bailey cubic transformations.

9.4.1. *Finite field analogues of Bailey cubic transformations.* There are two Bailey cubic transforms (see [10]):

$$(100)\quad (1-x)^{-a}\,{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{a}{3} & \frac{a+1}{3} & \frac{a+2}{3} \\ & a-b+\frac{3}{2} & b \end{matrix};\ \frac{27x^2}{4(1-x)^3}\right] =$$
$${}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} a & b-\frac{1}{2} & a+1-b \\ & b & 2a+2-2b \end{matrix};\ 4x\right],$$

and also

$$(101)\quad (1-x)^{-a}\,{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{a}{3} & \frac{a+1}{3} & \frac{a+2}{3} \\ & a-b+\frac{3}{2} & b \end{matrix};\ \frac{-27x}{4(1-x)^3}\right] =$$
$${}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} a & 2b-a-1 & a+2-2b \\ & b & a+\frac{3}{2}-b \end{matrix};\ \frac{x}{4}\right].$$

We note that both (100) and (101) satisfy the $(*)$ condition (see Appendix §13.2 for more details).

We first consider the $\mathbb{F}_q$ version of (100). Note that we use B for the $\mathbb{F}_q$ analogue of $b-\frac{1}{2}$ below.

Theorem 20. *Let q be a power of prime with $q \equiv 1 \pmod 6$. Let η be a primitive character of order 3 on $\mathbb{F}_q$, and A, $B \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ satisfying A^3, B, $A^3\overline{B}^2$, $A^6\overline{B}^3$, $\phi A^3\overline{B}$, $\phi A^3\overline{B}^3 \neq \varepsilon$. Then we have*

$$\overline{A}^3(1-x)\,{}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\eta & A\overline{\eta}\\ & \phi B & A^3\overline{B}\end{matrix};\ \frac{27x^2}{4(1-x)^3}\right] = {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & B & \phi A^3\overline{B}\\ & B^2 & A^6\overline{B}^2\end{matrix};\ 4x\right]$$
$$-\delta(x+2)\frac{g(\phi)g(\overline{A}^3)}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)} - \delta(1-x)\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}\\ \chi^3=\overline{A}^3}}\frac{g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(B\overline{A^3\chi})}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)g(A^3)}\overline{\chi}(-4)\,.$$

When $x = 1$, the left hand side has value 0. As an immediate consequence, one has the following evaluation formula.

Corollary 8. *Given the assumptions as in Theorem 20,*

$${}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & B & \phi A^3\overline{B}\\ & B^2 & A^6\overline{B}^2\end{matrix};\ 4\right] = \sum_{\substack{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}\\ \chi^3=\overline{A}^3}}\frac{g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(B\overline{A^3\chi})}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)g(A^3)}\overline{\chi}(-4)\,.$$

Proof of Theorem 20. When $x = 0$, both sides take value 0. Thus, assume $x \neq 0$. From the definition, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{A}^3(1-x)\,{}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\eta & A\overline{\eta}\\ & \phi B & A^3\overline{B}\end{matrix};\ \frac{27x^2}{4(1-x)^3}\right]\\
&=\frac{1}{(q-1)^2J(A\eta,\phi\overline{B})J(A\overline{\eta},\overline{A}^3B)}\\
&\quad\sum_{\chi,\varphi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}J(A\chi,\overline{\chi})J(A\eta\chi,\phi\overline{B\chi})J(A\overline{\eta}\chi,B\overline{A^3\chi})\chi(-27/4)\chi^2(x)J((A\chi)^3\varphi,\overline{\varphi})\varphi(-x)\\
&=\frac{1}{(q-1)^2}\frac{1}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)}\\
&\quad\cdot\sum_{\chi,\varphi}(A)_\chi(A\eta)_\chi(A\overline{\eta})_\chi g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(B\overline{A^3\chi})\chi\left(-\frac{27}{4}\right)J((A\chi)^3\varphi,\overline{\varphi})\cdot\varphi\chi^2(-x)\\
&=\frac{1}{(q-1)^2}\frac{1}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)}\sum_{\chi,\varphi}(A^3)_{\chi^3}g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(B\overline{A^3\chi})\overline{\chi}(-4)\,(A^3\chi^3)_\varphi g(\overline{\varphi})\cdot\varphi\chi^2(-x)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{(q-1)}\frac{1}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)}\sum_{\chi}\delta(A^3\chi^3)(A^3)_{\chi^3}g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(B\overline{A^3\chi})\overline{\chi}(-4)\,\chi^2(x)\sum_{\varphi}\varphi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

By using (25), the above equals

$$\frac{1}{(q-1)^2}\frac{1}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)}\sum_{\chi,\varphi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}(A^3)_{\chi^3\varphi}g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(B\overline{A^3\chi})\overline{\chi}(-4)\,g(\overline{\varphi})\cdot\varphi\chi^2(-x)$$
$$-\delta(1-x)\frac{1}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)g(A^3)}\sum_{\chi}\delta(A^3\chi^3)g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(B\overline{A^3\chi})\overline{\chi}(-4)$$
$$\overset{K=\varphi\chi^2}{=}\frac{1}{(q-1)^2}\frac{1}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)}\sum_{\chi,K}(A^3)_{\chi K}g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(B\overline{A^3\chi})\overline{\chi}(-4)\,g(\overline{K}\chi^2)\cdot K(-x)$$
$$-\delta(1-x)\sum_{\chi^3=\overline{A}^3}\frac{g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(B\overline{A^3\chi})}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)g(A^3)}\overline{\chi}(-4)\,.$$

We write the first term above as

$$\frac{1}{(q-1)}\frac{1}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)g(A^3)}\sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}I_{A,B}(K)\cdot K(-x),$$

where

$$I_{A,B}(K):=\frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}g(A^3K\chi)g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(\overline{A}^3B\overline{\chi})g(\overline{K}\chi^2)g(\overline{\chi})\overline{\chi}(-4), \tag{102}$$

depending on A, B, and K. We make the following claim to be proved below in Lemma 4.

$$\frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}K(-x)\cdot I_{A,B}(K)=$$
$$\frac{A^3(4)\phi(-1)}{q}g(A^3)g(\overline{B})g(\phi B\overline{A}^3)\,{}_3\mathbb{P}_2\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & B & \phi A^3\overline{B}\\ & B^2 & A^6\overline{B}^2\end{matrix};\,4x\right]-\delta(x+2)A(-1)qg(\phi),$$

and hence

$$\overline{A}^3(1-x)\,{}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\eta & A\overline{\eta}\\ & \phi B & A^3\overline{B}\end{matrix};\,\frac{27x^2}{4(1-x)^3}\right]=\,{}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & B & \phi A^3\overline{B}\\ & B^2 & A^6\overline{B}^2\end{matrix};\,4x\right]$$
$$-\delta(x+2)\frac{g(\phi)g(\overline{A}^3)}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)}-\delta(1-x)\sum_{\chi^3=\overline{A}^3}\frac{g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(B\overline{A^3\chi})}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)g(A^3)}\overline{\chi}(-4)\,,$$

which follows from the fact that

$$\frac{A^3(-4)}{q}\frac{g(\overline{B})g(\phi B\overline{A}^3)}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)}J(B,\overline{B}^2)J(\phi A^3\overline{B},\overline{A}^6B^2)=1.$$

□

In order to justify our claim, we need the following identities. To state them, we introduce the further notation

$$J_{A,B}(K):=K(4)J(A^3K,\overline{K})J(BK,\overline{B^2K})J(\phi A^3\overline{B}K,B^2\overline{A^6K}).$$

Lemma 3. *The following identities hold.*

(1) If $K = \overline{B}$,

$$I_{A,B}(K) = -g(\phi)qA(-1)\overline{K}(2) - g(\phi)A^3\overline{B}(4)J(\phi, A^3\overline{B})J(B^3\overline{A}^6, \phi\overline{B}^2A^3);$$
$$J_{A,B}(K) = -\overline{B}(4)J(B, A^3\overline{B})J(\phi\overline{B}^2A^3, B^3\overline{A^6}).$$

(2) If $K = B\overline{A}^3$, *or* $\phi\overline{B}$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{A,B}(K) =& g(\phi)qA^3K(4)A(-1)J(A^3K, A^3K^2) - qA(-1)\overline{K}(2)g(\phi) \\ & - \delta(K)AK(-1)(q-1)g(\phi)J(\phi, A^3K); \\ J_{A,B}(\overline{A}^3B) =& B\overline{A}^3(4)A(-1)J(B, A^3\overline{B})J(B^2\overline{A}^3, B)J(\phi, B\overline{A}^3), \\ J_{A,B}(\phi\overline{B}) =& \overline{B}(4)J(\phi A^3\overline{B}, \phi B)J(\overline{B}^2A^3, \phi B^3\overline{A}^6)J(\phi, \phi\overline{B}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Following the special cases of the Corollary 7, we can get $I_{A,B}(K)$ immediately. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I_{A,B}(\overline{B})}{g(\phi)} &= -qA(-1)B(2) - A^3\overline{B}(4)J(\phi, A^3\overline{B})J(\overline{A}^6B^3, \phi A^3\overline{B}^2) \\ &\quad - \delta(B)q(q-1)AB(-1) - \delta(\phi A^3\overline{B})A(-1)(q-1) \\ &= -qA(-1)B(2) - A^3\overline{B}(4)J(\phi, A^3\overline{B})J(\overline{A}^6B^3, \phi A^3\overline{B}^2), \end{aligned}$$

since B, $\phi A^3\overline{B}$ are non-trivial characters.

When $K = B\overline{A}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{A,B}(K) =& B\overline{A}^3(4)J(B, A^3\overline{B})J(B^2\overline{A}^3, A^3\overline{B}^3)J(\phi, B\overline{A}^3) \\ =& B\overline{A}^3(4)A(-1)J(B, A^3\overline{B})J(B^2\overline{A}^3, B)J(\phi, B\overline{A}^3); \end{aligned}$$

the other two can be computed from the definition of $J_{A,B}(K)$. □

Lemma 4. *Under the same assumption on* A, B *as Theorem 20, for any characters* K, *one has*

$$I_{A,B}(K) = \frac{A^3(-4)}{q}g(A^3)g(\overline{B})g(\phi B\overline{A}^3) \cdot J_{A,B}(K) - A(-1)\overline{K}(2)qg(\phi).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q-1}\sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} K(-x)\cdot I_{A,B}(K) &= -\delta(x+2)A(-1)qg(\phi) \\ &\quad + \frac{A^3(4)\phi(-1)}{q}g(A^3)g(\overline{B})g(\phi B\overline{A}^3)\,{}_3\mathbb{P}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & B & \phi A^3\overline{B} \\ & B^2 & A^6\overline{B}^2 \end{matrix}\,;\, 4x\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. When $K^2 \neq \overline{B}^2$, $K \neq \overline{A}^3B$, by letting $A = \overline{K}$, $B = A^3K$, and $C = \phi\overline{B}$ in Corollary 7, one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_{A,B}(K) = A(-1)B(4)g(\phi)J(BK, A^3\overline{B}^2)J(\overline{A}^3B^2, \overline{B^2K})J(\overline{K}, A^6\overline{B}^2K^2) \\ - A(-1)\overline{K}(2)qg(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$J(\overline{K}, A^6\overline{B}^2K^2) = A^3\overline{B}K(4)J(A^3\overline{B}K, A^3\overline{B})J(\phi A^3\overline{B}K, \overline{K})/J(\phi, A^3\overline{B}),$$

one can derive

$$I_{A,B}(K) = A(-1)B(4)g(\phi)\left(\frac{AB(-1)A^3\overline{B}(4)}{J(\phi, A^3\overline{B})}\frac{g(A^3)g(\overline{B})g(\phi B\overline{A}^3)}{g(B\overline{A}^3)g(\phi A^3\overline{B})} - \delta(B\overline{A}^3)B(-1)(q-1)\right) \cdot J_{A,B}(K) - A(-1)\overline{K}(2)qg(\phi).$$

The claim follows from straightforward computations. For the other case, the claim follows from the previous Lemma. □

Based on the first finite field Bailey cubic transformation (analogue of (100)), one can obtain the second one (analogue of (101)) as a Corollary.

Theorem 21. *Let q be a power of prime with $q \equiv 1 \pmod 6$. Let η be a primitive character of order 3 on $\mathbb{F}_q$, and A, B be characters satisfying A^3, B, $A^3\overline{B}^2$, $A^6\overline{B}^3$, $\phi A^3\overline{B}$, $\phi A^3\overline{B}^3 \neq \varepsilon$. Then we have*

$$\overline{A}^3(1-x)\,{}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix}A & A\eta & A\overline{\eta}\\ & \phi B & A^3\overline{B}\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{27x}{4(x-1)^3}\right] = {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & A^3\overline{B}^2 & \overline{A}^3B^2\\ & A^3\overline{B} & \phi B\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{x}{4}\right] - \delta(x+1/2)A^3(2)\frac{g(\phi)g(\overline{A}^3)}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)} - \delta(1-x)\sum_{\substack{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}\\ \chi^3=\overline{A}^3}}\frac{g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(B\overline{A^3\chi})}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)g(A^3)}\overline{\chi}(4)\,.$$

We note that when $x = 1$, the left hand side has value 0. As an immediate consequence, one has the following evaluation formula.

Corollary 9. *Given assumptions as in Theorem 21, we have*

$${}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & A^3\overline{B}^2 & \overline{A}^3B^2\\ & A^3\overline{B} & \phi B\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{1}{4}\right] = \sum_{\substack{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}\\ \chi^3=\overline{A}^3}}\frac{g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{B\chi})g(B\overline{A^3\chi})}{g(\phi\overline{B})g(\overline{A}^3B)g(A^3)}\overline{\chi}(4)\,.$$

Proof of Theorem 21. If we do a variable change $\chi \mapsto \overline{A^3\chi}$ in the ${}_3\mathbb{P}_2$-period function corresponding to the left hand side of the identity in Theorem 20, we obtain that when $x \neq 0$,

$$(103)\quad {}_3\mathbb{P}_2\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & B & A^3\phi\overline{B}\\ & B^2 & A^6\overline{B}^2\end{matrix}\,;\,4x\right] = \phi(-1)\overline{A}^3(4x)\,{}_3\mathbb{P}_2\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & A^3\overline{B}^2 & \overline{A}^3B^2\\ & A^3\overline{B} & \phi B\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{1}{4x}\right].$$

By letting $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$ on the right hand sides of Theorem (20) and (103) we see that ignoring the delta terms gives

$$ {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & A^3\overline{B}^2 & A^3\overline{B}^2 \\ & A^3\overline{B} & \phi B \end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{x}{4}\right] = $$

$$ J\phi(-1)\overline{A}^3\left(\frac{x-1}{4}\right) {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A & A\eta & A\overline{\eta} \\ & \phi B & A^3\overline{B} \end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{27x}{4(x-1)^3}\right], $$

where

$$ J = \frac{J(B,B)J(A^3\phi\overline{B}, A^3\phi\overline{B})}{J(A^3\overline{B}^2, B)J(\overline{A}^3B^2, \phi A^3\overline{B})} = \phi A(-1)\overline{A}^3(4). $$

□

9.4.2. *Proof of the finite field analogue of the Andrews-Stanton formula.* We are now in the position to prove the finite field analogue of the Andrews-Stanton formula.

Proof of Theorem 19. Assume $x \neq 0,\ 1,\ -1/2$. Following the ideas of Andrews-Stanton [7], let $x = y(1-y)$ in Theorem 20, and $x = y^2/(y-1)$ in Theorem 21. We see that the function

$$ F(y) := {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & A^3\overline{B}^2 & \overline{A}^3B^2 \\ & A^3\overline{B} & \phi B \end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{y^2}{4(y-1)}\right] $$

$$ = \overline{A}^3\left(1-\frac{y^2}{y-1}\right) {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A & A\eta & A\overline{\eta} \\ & \phi B & A^3\overline{B} \end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{27}{4}\frac{y^2(y-1)^2}{(y^2-y+1)^3}\right] $$

is equal to

$$ A^3(1-y)\,{}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & B & A^3\phi\overline{B} \\ & B^2 & A^6\overline{B}^2 \end{matrix}\ ;\ 4y(y-1)\right]. $$

As $\frac{y^2}{4(y-1)}$ is invariant under $y \mapsto y/(y-1)$, i.e. $F(y) = F(y/(y-1))$, we have

$$ {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & B & A^3\phi\overline{B} \\ & B^2 & A^6\overline{B}^2 \end{matrix}\ ;\ 4y(y-1)\right] = \overline{A}^3((1-y)^2)\,{}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & B & A^3\phi\overline{B} \\ & B^2 & A^6\overline{B}^2 \end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{-4y}{(1-y)^2}\right], $$

for any element $y \neq 0,\ \pm 1,\ \frac{1}{2}$.

We then can remove the condition on the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and replace the character A^3 by any character A with care. □

9.5. **Another application of Bailey cubic transformations over finite fields.** Letting $b = \frac{a+2}{3}$ in (101) gives the following degenerate case of the Bailey cubic transformation formula:

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & \frac{1-a}{3} \\ & \frac{4a+5}{6} \end{matrix}\ ;\ x\right] = (1-4x)^{-a}\ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{a}{3} & \frac{a+1}{3} \\ & \frac{4a+5}{6} \end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{-27x}{(1-4x)^3}\right], \tag{104} $$

which we note satisfies condition $(*)$ as it follows from (101) (see Appendix 13.2). Further specifying $a = -\frac{1}{8}$ in (104), gives

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -\frac{1}{24} & \frac{7}{24} \\ & \frac{3}{4} \end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{-27x}{(1-4x)^3}\right] = (1-4x)^{-\frac{1}{8}}\ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -\frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{8} \\ & \frac{3}{4} \end{matrix}\ ;\ x\right], $$

and then using (3) with $a = -\frac{3}{8}$ to evaluate the right hand side gives the following special case of (104), which corresponds to the triangle group $(2, 3, 4)$:

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}-\frac{1}{24} & \frac{7}{24} \\ & \frac{3}{4}\end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{-27x}{(1-4x)^3}\right] = \left(\frac{\left(1+\sqrt{1-x}\right)^2}{4(1-4x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}. \tag{105}$$

Our goal here is to derive the finite field analogue of (105).

We first obtain the following finite field version of (104) following the discussion in §9.2.1.

Corollary 10. *Let q be any prime power $q \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, $E \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ such that $E^6 \neq \varepsilon$. Let η_3 be a primitive cubic character. Then for $x \neq 0, 1, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{8}$,*

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}E^3 & \eta_3\overline{E} \\ & E^2\phi\eta_3\end{matrix}\ ;\ x\right] = \overline{E^3}(1-4x)\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}E & E\eta_3 \\ & E^2\phi\eta_3\end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{-27x}{(1-4x)^3}\right].$$

Note that this Corollary corresponds to the $B = \phi A\overline{\eta}$ case of Theorem 21.

We now establish the finite field analogue of (105) using an idea that is parallel to the proof of (105).

Theorem 22. *Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ be a prime power, and let $x \neq -\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let η be an order 24 character on $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Then*

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{\eta} & \eta^7 \\ & \eta^{18}\end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{-27x}{(1-4x)^3}\right] = \left(\frac{1+\phi(1-x)}{2}\right)\left(\eta^3\left(\frac{(1+\sqrt{1-x})^2}{2^2(1-4x)}\right)+\eta^3\left(\frac{(1-\sqrt{1-x})^2}{2^2(1-4x)}\right)\right).$$

Proof. It is easy to see that when $x = 0$, both hand sides equal to 1.

When $x = 1$, using Gauss evaluation formula and [14, Theorem 3.6.6], one has

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^7 & \overline{\eta} \\ & \eta^{18}\end{matrix}\ ;\ 1\right] = \frac{J(\phi,\overline{\eta})}{J(\overline{\eta},\overline{\eta}^5)} = \eta^3\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right) = \overline{\eta}^3\left(-3\cdot 2^2\right),$$

since 2 is a square in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Hence the claim holds when $x = 1$.

For $x \neq 0, 1, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{8}$, by Corollary 10, we have

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{\eta} & \eta^7 \\ & \eta^{18}\end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{-27x}{(1-4x)^3}\right] = \overline{\eta}^3(1-4x)\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{\eta}^3 & \eta^9 \\ & \eta^{18}\end{matrix}\ ;\ x\right].$$

By Theorem 14,

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{\eta}^3 & \eta^9 \\ & \eta^{18}\end{matrix}\ ;\ x\right] = \left(\frac{1+\phi(1-x)}{2}\right)\left(\eta^6\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-x}}{2}\right)+\eta^6\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-x}}{2}\right)\right).$$

Composing this with the proceeding cubic formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{\eta} & \eta^7 \\ & \eta^{18}\end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{-27x}{(1-4x)^3}\right] &= \overline{\eta}^3(1-4x)\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{\eta}^3 & \eta^9 \\ & \eta^{18}\end{matrix}\ ;\ x\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{1+\phi(1-x)}{2}\right)\overline{\eta}^3(1-4x)\left(\eta^6\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-x}}{2}\right)+\eta^6\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-x}}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1+\phi(1-x)}{2}\right)\left(\eta^3\left(\frac{(1+\sqrt{1-x})^2}{2^2(1-4x)}\right)+\eta^3\left(\frac{(1-\sqrt{1-x})^2}{2^2(1-4x)}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. □

Remark 15. We obtain another algebraic hypergeometric identity corresponding to the triangle group $(2,3,3)$, namely,

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}-\frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{4}\\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{2z(3+z^2)}{(1+z)^3}\right]=\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+z^2/3}}{2(1+z)}\right)^{1/4}. \tag{106}$$

Numerical evidence suggests that the finite field analogue of (106) is the following. Let $q\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ and η be any order 12 character. Then for $z\in\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $z\neq 0,\pm 1$, and $z^2+3\neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{\eta} & \eta^3\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{2z(3+z^2)}{(1+z)^3}\right] &\stackrel{?}{=} \\ \left(\frac{1+\phi(1+z^2/3)}{2}\right)&\left(\eta^3\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+z^2/3}}{2(1+z)}\right)+\eta^3\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+z^2/3}}{2(1+z)}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

Related to (106) is the following formula of Vidūnas, see [92, pp. 165]

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{12}\\ & \frac{2}{3}\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{x(x+4)^3}{4(2x-1)^3}\right]=(1-2x)^{-1/4}. $$

We observe numerically the following $\mathbb{F}_q$ analogue: for $q\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ and η any primitive order 12 character:

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{\eta} & \eta^3\\ & \overline{\eta}^4\end{matrix}\,;\,\left(\frac{4u(u^3+1)}{8u^3-1}\right)^3\right]\stackrel{?}{=}2\cdot\overline{\eta}^3(1-8u^3), \tag{108}$$

if $\left(4u(u^3+1)/(8u^3-1)\right)^3\neq 0,\ 1$, and $8u^3\neq 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Here, we replace x by $4u^3$ in Vidūnas' formula so that the pattern for the $\mathbb{F}_q$ version is cleaner. In [92], there are several other algebraic hypergeometric identities. It will be nice to obtain their $\mathbb{F}_q$ analogues. We leave these to the interested reader.

9.6. **Another cubic ${}_2F_1$ formula and a corollary.** We now use a different approach to derive a finite field analogue of the following cubic formula by Gessel and Stanton [36, (5.18)],

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & -a\\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right]=\ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}3a & -3a\\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{3x}{4}\right], \tag{109}$$

which satisfies the $(*)$ condition. A proof of (109) illustrating the $(*)$ condition is discussed in Appendix §13.3. We will then obtain a ${}_3\mathbb{F}_2$ evaluation formula in §9.6.1.

Theorem 23. *Let q be an odd prime power, and $A\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$. Then for all $x\in\mathbb{F}_q$,*

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \overline{A}\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right]&= \\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1&\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & \overline{A^3}\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{3x}{4}\right]-\phi(-3)\delta(x-1)-\phi(-3)A(-1)\delta(x-1/3). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is straightforward to check that the formula holds when $x = 0, 1, \frac{4}{3}$. We thus assume $x \neq 0, 1, \frac{4}{3}$. Let $\lambda := \frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}$, and $z := \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1} = \frac{-3x}{4-3x}\left(\frac{3x-3}{3x-1}\right)^2$, so that $1-z = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}$. For any multiplicative character A, we obtain from Proposition 8 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(110)\quad & {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \overline{A} \\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right] \\
&= \overline{A}(1-\lambda)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \phi A \\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right] + \delta(1-\lambda)\frac{J(\phi,\overline{A})}{J(\overline{A},\phi A)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, if $A^2 \neq \varepsilon$, we have

$$
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \phi A \\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right] = \left(\frac{1+\phi(z)}{2}\right)\left(\overline{A}^2(1+\sqrt{z}) + \overline{A}^2(1-\sqrt{z})\right),
$$

which is given by Theorem 14.

If z is not a square, i.e. $\phi(z) = -1$, then the value ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \phi A \\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right]$ is 0. When $\phi(z) = 1$, we write $\sqrt{z} = t\left(\frac{t^2+3}{1+3t^2}\right)$ with $T := t^2 = \frac{-3x}{4-3x}$. Then

$$
1+\sqrt{z} = \frac{(1+t)^3}{3t^2+1} \quad 1-\sqrt{z} = \frac{(1-t)^3}{3t^2+1},
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
(111)\quad \overline{A}\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right){}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \phi A \\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right] &= \overline{A}^3\left(\frac{1}{1-t^2}\right)\left(\overline{A}^6(1+t) + \overline{A}^6(1-t)\right) \\
&= \overline{A}^3\left(\frac{1}{1-T}\right)\left(\overline{A}^6(1+\sqrt{T}) + \overline{A}^6(1-\sqrt{T})\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that if A is a primitive character of order 6 and $\phi(z) = \pm 1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \overline{A} \\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right] &= \left(\frac{1+\phi(z)}{2}\right)\cdot\phi\left(\frac{1}{1-T}\right)\left(\varepsilon(1+\sqrt{T}) + \varepsilon(1-\sqrt{T})\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1+\phi(z)}{2}\right)\cdot\phi\,(4-3x)\,2,
\end{aligned}
$$

since T won't be 1. Moreover, when $\phi(z) \neq 0$, we can write

$$
\phi(z) = \phi\left(\frac{-3x}{4-3x}\left(\frac{3x-3}{3x-1}\right)^2\right) = \phi(-3x)\phi(4-3x).
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \overline{A} \\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right] &= \left(1+\phi(-3x)\phi(4-3x)\right)\phi(4-3x) \\
&= \phi(-3x) + \phi(4-3x),
\end{aligned}
$$

when $\phi(z) \neq 0$.

According to Proposition 9, for each x, one has

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & \overline{A^3} \\ & \phi \end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{3x}{4}\right] = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} \phi & \phi \\ & \phi \end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{3x}{4}\right] = \phi(4-3x)+\phi(-3x), $$

and thus we can obtain

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & \overline{A} \\ & \phi \end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right] = \\ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & \overline{A^3} \\ & \phi \end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{3x}{4}\right] - \phi(-3)\delta(x-1) - \phi(3)\delta(x-1/3). $$

Similarly, if A is a primitive character of order 3 and $\phi(z)=\pm 1$, then

$$ \begin{aligned} {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & \overline{A} \\ & \phi \end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right] &= \left(\frac{1+\phi(z)}{2}\right)\cdot 2\varepsilon(3x-4) \\ &= (1+\phi(3x)\phi(3x-4))\,(1-\delta(3x-4)) \\ &= 1+\phi(3x)\phi(3x-4)-\delta(3x-4), \end{aligned} $$

and

$$ {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & \overline{A^3} \\ & \phi \end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{3x}{4}\right] = {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} \varepsilon & \varepsilon \\ & \phi \end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{3x}{4}\right] = 1+\phi(3x)\phi(3x-4). $$

This leads to the identity in the theorem.

For the other cases, we just give the key ideas here and omit the details. For characters $A^2=\varepsilon$, using the formulas of imprimitive ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$-functions in Proposition 9, we can derive the identities. For characters A with $A^6\neq\varepsilon$, the identity follows from equation (111), Theorem 14, and the same formulas in Proposition 8 that we use to observe (110).

For example, when $A=\varepsilon$,

$$ \begin{aligned} {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & \overline{A} \\ & \phi \end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right] &= 1-\phi(3x)\phi(3x-4)\varepsilon((1-x)(3x-1)) \\ &= 1-\phi(3x)\phi(3x-4)+\delta(1-x)\phi(-3)+\delta(3x-1)\phi(-3). \end{aligned} $$

For the case $A^6\neq\varepsilon$, we first observe that $\phi(z)=1$ (resp. -1) if and only if $\phi(T)=1$ (resp. -1). Hence, if $\phi(z)\neq 0$ (equivalently, $\phi(T)\neq 0$), we have

$$ \begin{aligned} \overline{A}\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & \phi A \\ & \phi \end{matrix}\,;\,z\right] &= \left(\frac{1+\phi(T)}{2}\right)\overline{A}^3\left(\frac{1}{1-T}\right)\left(\overline{A}^6(1+\sqrt{T})+\overline{A}^6(1-\sqrt{T})\right) \\ &= A^3\,(1-T)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & \phi A^3 \\ & \phi \end{matrix}\,;\,T\right], \end{aligned} $$

from equation (111) and Theorem 14. Thus, for all x, we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{A}\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \phi A\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,z\right] = A^3\,(1-T)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & \phi A^3\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,T\right]\\
&\quad+\delta(x-1)\left(1-\left(\frac{1+\phi(T)}{2}\right)\overline{A}^3\left(\frac{1}{1-T}\right)\left(\overline{A}^6(1+\sqrt{T})+\overline{A}^6(1-\sqrt{T})\right)\right)\\
&\quad+\delta(3x-1)\left(0-\left(\frac{1+\phi(T)}{2}\right)\overline{A}^3\left(\frac{1}{1-T}\right)\left(\overline{A}^6(1+\sqrt{T})+\overline{A}^6(1-\sqrt{T})\right)\right)\\
&= A^3\,(1-T)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & \phi A^3\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,T\right]-\delta(x-1)\phi(-3)-\delta(3x-1)\,(1+\phi(-3))\,A(-1),
\end{aligned}$$

since $(1\pm\sqrt{-3})^6=64$, and $(1\pm\sqrt{-1/3})^6=-64/27$.

Using Proposition 8, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A & \overline{A}\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right]\\
&= \delta((3x-1)(3x-4))A(-1)-\delta(x-1)\phi(-3)-\delta(3x-1)\,(1+\phi(-3))\,A(-1)\\
&\qquad+A^3\,(1-T)\left(\overline{A}^3(1-T)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & \overline{A}^3\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{T}{T-1}\right]+\delta(1-T)\cdot J\right)\\
&\qquad= \delta(3x-4)A(-1)-\delta(x-1)\phi(-3)-\delta(3x-1)\phi(-3)A(-1)\\
&\qquad\qquad+\varepsilon\,(1-T)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & \overline{A}^3\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{3x}{4}\right]\\
&\qquad= {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & \overline{A}^3\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{3x}{4}\right]-\delta(x-1)\phi(-3)-\delta(3x-1)\phi(-3)A(-1),
\end{aligned}$$

where J is the evaluation of ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A^3 & \phi A^3\\ & \phi\end{matrix}\,;\,x\right]$ at $x=1$. □

9.6.1. *An application to an evaluation formula.* In the next few pages we will obtain a finite field analogue of an evaluation formula by Gessel and Stanton. Our main point for the discussion is as follows. In addition to what can be done for the cases satisfying $(*)$, there are classical results obtained by methods that have no direct translations to finite fields. However, sometimes the Galois perspective allows us to make predictions which can be verified numerically. To prove them, we need different methods that might appear to be ad hoc when compared with the more systematic approaches we have used so far.

As a corollary of (109), Gessel and Stanton showed that for $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $a\in\mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}1+3a & 1-3a & -n\\ & \frac{3}{2} & -1-3n\end{matrix}\,;\,\frac{3}{4}\right] &= \frac{(1+a)_n(1-a)_n}{(\frac{2}{3})_n(\frac{4}{3})_n}\\
&= \frac{B(1+a+n,\frac{2}{3})B(1-a+n,\frac{4}{3})}{B(1+a,n+\frac{2}{3})B(1-a,n+\frac{4}{3})}.
\end{aligned}$$

This result follows from applying Theorem 1 of [36] to (109) with an additional factor of $x^{-n-2}(1-x)^{-2n-3}(1-3x)$, instead of the factor $x^{-n-2}(1-x)^{-3n-2}(1-3x)$ which is mentioned on page 305 of [36]. As Theorem 1 of [36] implies the Lagrange

inversion formula, it has no analogue over finite fields. Although Greene gave a Lagrange inversion formula over finite fields (see Theorem 8 for a special case), he pointed out it cannot be used to determine coefficients when the change of variable function is not one-to-one.

Thus to look for a finite field analogue of this formula, we instead observe that the corresponding Galois representations are expected to be 3-dimensional. Also, if $3a$ corresponds to a character A^3, then there are 3 candidates for the character analogue of a, these being A, $A\eta_3$, and $A\eta_3^2$. This was the language we used when we initially phrased the statement of Theorem 3 and then verified numerically.

We now give a proof of Theorem 3, which states that if q is a prime power with $q \equiv 1 \pmod 6$, and A, $\chi, \eta_3 \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$ such that η_3 has order 3, and none of $A^6, \chi^6, (A\chi)^3, (\overline{A}\chi)^3$ are the trivial character, then

$$ {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & \overline{A}^3 & \overline{\chi} \\ & \phi & \overline{\chi}^3 \end{matrix}; \frac{3}{4}\right] = \sum_{\substack{B\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}\\ B^3=A^3}} \frac{J(B\chi,\eta_3)J(\overline{B}\chi,\overline{\eta_3})}{J(B,\chi\eta_3)J(\overline{B},\chi\overline{\eta_3})} = A(-1)\sum_{B^3=A^3}\frac{J(B\chi,\overline{B}\chi)}{J(\eta_3\chi,\chi\overline{\eta}_3)}. $$

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\eta := \eta_3$ and $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{F}_q$ for convenience. Multiplying $\overline{\chi}(x(1-x)^2)$ by the right hand side of Theorem 23 and taking the sum over all x, we see

$$ \begin{aligned} &\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}} \overline{\chi}(x(1-x)^2)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & \overline{A^3} \\ & \phi \end{matrix}; \frac{3x}{4}\right] - \overline{\chi}\left(\frac{4}{27}\right)\phi(-3)A(-1) \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{J(\overline{A}^3,\phi A^3)}\,{}_3\mathbb{P}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & \overline{A}^3 & \overline{\chi} \\ & \phi & \overline{\chi}^3 \end{matrix}; \frac{3}{4}\right] - \overline{\chi}\left(\frac{4}{27}\right)\phi(-3)A(-1). \end{aligned} $$

We now let

$$ \begin{aligned} I &:= {}_3\mathbb{F}_2\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & \overline{A}^3 & \overline{\chi} \\ & \phi & \overline{\chi}^3 \end{matrix}; \frac{3}{4}\right] - \frac{\overline{\chi}(\frac{4}{27})\phi(-3)A(-1)}{J(\overline{\chi},\overline{\chi}^2)} \\ &\qquad = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}} \frac{\overline{\chi}(x(1-x)^2)}{J(\overline{\chi},\overline{\chi}^2)}\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A^3 & \overline{A^3} \\ & \phi \end{matrix}; \frac{3x}{4}\right] - \frac{\overline{\chi}(\frac{4}{27})\phi(-3)A(-1)}{J(\overline{\chi},\overline{\chi}^2)}. \end{aligned} $$

By Theorem 23,

$$ \begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}} \frac{\overline{\chi}(x(1-x)^2)}{J(\overline{\chi},\overline{\chi}^2)}\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & \overline{A} \\ & \phi \end{matrix}; \frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right] \\ &= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}} \frac{\overline{\chi}(x(1-x)^2)}{J(\overline{A},\phi A)J(\overline{\chi},\overline{\chi}^2)}\,{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix} A & \overline{A} \\ & \phi \end{matrix}; \frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right] \\ &= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}} \frac{\overline{\chi}(x(1-x)^2)}{J(\overline{A},\phi A)J(\overline{\chi},\overline{\chi}^2)}\frac{A(-1)}{q-1}\sum_{\varphi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(A\varphi,\overline{\varphi})J(\overline{A}\varphi,\phi\overline{\varphi})\varphi\left(\frac{27}{4}\right)\varphi(x(1-x)^2) \\ &= \frac{A(-1)}{(q-1)J(\overline{A},\phi A)J(\overline{\chi},\overline{\chi}^2)}\sum_{\varphi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(A\varphi,\overline{\varphi})J(\overline{A}\varphi,\phi\overline{\varphi})\varphi\left(\frac{27}{4}\right)J(\overline{\chi}\varphi,\overline{\chi}^2\varphi^2). \end{aligned} $$

Using Theorem 7, we observe that when $\chi^6 \neq \varepsilon$,

$$\frac{J(\overline{\chi}\varphi, \overline{\chi}^2\varphi^2)}{J(\overline{\chi}, \overline{\chi}^2)} = \frac{g(\overline{\chi}^3)}{g(\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\chi}^2)} \frac{g(\varphi\overline{\chi})g(\varphi^2\overline{\chi}^2)}{g(\varphi^3\overline{\chi}^3)} + \delta\left(\varphi^3\overline{\chi}^3\right) \frac{q-1}{J(\overline{\chi}, \overline{\chi}^2)}$$
$$= \frac{g(\eta\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\eta}\overline{\chi})}{g(\phi\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\chi})} \frac{g(\phi\varphi\overline{\chi})g(\varphi\overline{\chi})}{g(\varphi\overline{\chi}\eta)g(\varphi\overline{\chi}\overline{\eta})} \varphi\left(\frac{4}{27}\right) + \delta\left(\varphi^3\overline{\chi}^3\right) \frac{q-1}{q} \frac{g(\eta\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\eta}\overline{\chi})g(\phi)}{g(\phi\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\chi})} \overline{\chi}\left(\frac{27}{4}\right).$$

Thus the sum I can be divided into two parts, I_1 and I_2, where

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{J(\overline{A}, \phi A)} \frac{A(-1)}{q} \sum_{\substack{\varphi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times} \\ \varphi^3 = \chi^3}} J(A\varphi, \overline{\varphi}) J(\overline{A}\varphi, \phi\overline{\varphi}) \frac{g(\eta\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\eta}\overline{\chi})g(\phi)}{g(\phi\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\chi})} \overline{\chi}\varphi\left(\frac{27}{4}\right)$$

and

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{J(\overline{A}, \phi A)} \frac{A(-1)}{q-1} \sum_{\varphi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(A\varphi, \overline{\varphi}) J(\overline{A}\varphi, \phi\overline{\varphi}) \frac{g(\eta\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\eta}\overline{\chi})}{g(\phi\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\chi})} \frac{g(\phi\varphi\overline{\chi})g(\varphi\overline{\chi})}{g(\varphi\overline{\chi}\eta)g(\varphi\overline{\chi}\overline{\eta})}.$$

Then under our assumptions, we have

$$I_1 = \frac{A(-1)}{q^2} \sum_{\varphi^3 = \chi^3} g(\eta\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\eta}\overline{\chi})g(A\varphi)g(\overline{A}\varphi) \frac{g(\overline{\varphi})g(\phi\overline{\varphi})}{g(\phi\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\chi})} \overline{\chi}\varphi\left(\frac{27}{4}\right)$$
$$= \frac{A(-1)}{q} \sum_{\varphi^3 = \chi^3} J(A\varphi, \overline{A}\varphi) J(\eta\overline{\chi}, \overline{\eta}\overline{\chi}) \overline{\chi}\varphi\,(27) = A(-1) \sum_{\varphi^3 = \chi^3} \frac{J(A\varphi, \overline{A}\varphi)}{J(\overline{\eta}\chi, \eta\chi)}.$$

For the sum I_2, we will divide it into 3 parts. First, we observe that

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{J(\overline{A}, \phi A)} \frac{A(-1)}{q-1} \frac{g(\eta\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\eta}\overline{\chi})}{g(\phi\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\chi})}$$
$$\cdot \sum_{\varphi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}} J(A\varphi, \overline{\varphi}) J(\overline{A}\varphi, \phi\overline{\varphi}) g(\phi\varphi\overline{\chi}) g(\varphi\overline{\chi}) g(\overline{\varphi}\chi\overline{\eta}) g(\overline{\varphi}\chi\eta)$$
$$\cdot \left(\frac{\varphi\chi(-1)}{q} + \frac{q-1}{q}\delta\left(\chi\overline{\varphi}\overline{\eta}\right)\right)\left(\frac{\varphi\chi(-1)}{q} + \frac{q-1}{q}\delta\left(\chi\overline{\varphi}\eta\right)\right)$$
$$= \frac{A(-1)}{q-1} \frac{1}{J(\overline{A}, \phi A)} \frac{g(\eta\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\eta}\overline{\chi})}{g(\phi\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\chi})} g(\eta)g(\phi\overline{\eta})$$
$$\cdot \sum_{\varphi} J(A\varphi, \overline{\varphi}) J(\overline{A}\varphi, \phi\overline{\varphi}) J(\phi\varphi\overline{\chi}, \overline{\eta}\chi\overline{\varphi}) J(\overline{\chi}\varphi, \chi\eta\overline{\varphi})$$
$$\cdot \left(\frac{1}{q^2} + \frac{q-1}{q^2}\delta\left(\chi\overline{\varphi}\overline{\eta}\right) + \frac{q-1}{q^2}\delta\left(\chi\overline{\varphi}\eta\right)\right).$$

The first delta term gives us

$$-\frac{A(-1)}{q^2} \frac{J(\overline{\eta}, \overline{\eta})}{J(\phi, \overline{\eta})} g(\eta\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\eta}\overline{\chi})g(A\chi\overline{\eta})g(\overline{A}\chi\overline{\eta}) \frac{g(\eta\overline{\chi})g(\phi\eta\overline{\chi})}{g(\phi\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\chi})}$$
$$= -\frac{A(-1)}{q^2} \frac{\overline{\eta}(4)J(\overline{\eta}, \overline{\eta})}{J(\phi, \overline{\eta})} J(\eta\overline{\chi}, \overline{\eta}\overline{\chi}) J(A\chi\overline{\eta}, \overline{A}\chi\overline{\eta}) g(\eta\chi^2) g(\overline{\eta}\overline{\chi}^2)$$
$$= -A(-1) \frac{J(A\chi\overline{\eta}, \overline{A}\chi\overline{\eta})}{J(\eta\chi, \overline{\eta}\chi)},$$

using (22). The second delta term contributes

$$-A(-1)\frac{J(A\eta\chi,\overline{A}\eta\chi)}{J(\eta\chi,\overline{\eta}\chi)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$I = A(-1)\frac{J(A\chi,\overline{A}\chi)}{J(\eta\chi,\overline{\eta}\chi)} + \frac{\phi(-1)}{q^2}\frac{g(\eta)g(\phi\overline{\eta})}{J(\overline{A},\phi A)}\frac{g(\eta\overline{\chi})g(\overline{\eta}\overline{\chi})}{g(\overline{\chi})g(\phi\overline{\chi})}{}_4\mathbb{P}_3\left[\begin{array}{cccc} A & \overline{A} & \overline{\chi}\phi & \overline{\chi} \\ & \phi & \overline{\chi}\eta & \overline{\chi\eta}\end{array};1\right].$$

We are now in the position to evaluate ${}_4\mathbb{P}_3\left[\begin{array}{cccc} A & \overline{A} & \overline{\chi}\phi & \overline{\chi} \\ & \phi & \overline{\chi}\eta & \overline{\chi\eta}\end{array};1\right]$. For this purpose, we observe that for $x\neq 0$,

$${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} A & \overline{A} \\ & \phi\end{array};\frac{1}{x}\right]{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \eta & \overline{\eta} \\ & \phi\end{array};\frac{1}{x}\right] = \frac{\phi(-1)}{q-1}\sum_{\chi}{}_4\mathbb{P}_3\left[\begin{array}{cccc} A & \overline{A} & \overline{\chi}\phi & \overline{\chi} \\ & \phi & \overline{\chi}\eta & \overline{\chi\eta}\end{array};1\right]\overline{\chi}(x),$$

and by Proposition 6 and Corollary 4, the left hand side of the above equals

$$A\eta(x)J(\phi A,\phi A)J(\phi\eta,\phi\eta)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} A & \phi A \\ & A^2\end{array};x\right]{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} \eta & \phi\eta \\ & \overline{\eta}\end{array};x\right]$$
$$= A\eta(x)J(\phi A,\phi A)J(\phi\eta,\phi\eta)$$
$$\cdot\left({}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} A\eta & \phi A\eta \\ & (A\eta)^2\end{array};x\right]+\eta(2x)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} A\overline{\eta} & \phi A\overline{\eta} \\ & (A\overline{\eta})^2\end{array};x\right]-\delta(1-x)A\eta(4)\right).$$

From (22) and the relation

$$J(\phi,\phi\chi)=\phi(-1)J(\overline{\chi},\phi),\ \text{if } \chi\neq\varepsilon,$$

we obtain

$$A\eta(x)J(\phi A,\phi A)J(\phi\eta,\phi\eta)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} A\eta & \phi A\eta \\ & (A\eta)^2\end{array};x\right]$$
$$= A\eta(x)\phi(-1)\frac{J(\phi,\overline{A})J(\phi,\overline{\eta})}{J(\phi,\overline{A\eta})}{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} A\eta & \phi A\eta \\ & A^2\overline{\eta}\end{array};x\right]$$
$$= \frac{J(\phi,\overline{A})J(\phi,\overline{\eta})}{J(\phi,\overline{A\eta})}\frac{A(-1)}{q-1}\sum_{K\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}J(A\eta\overline{K},K)J(\phi A\eta\overline{K},\overline{A}^2\eta K)\overline{K}A\eta(x)$$
$$= \frac{J(\phi,\overline{A})J(\phi,\overline{\eta})}{J(\phi,\overline{A\eta})}\frac{A(-1)}{q-1}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}J(\overline{\chi},A\eta\chi)J(\phi\overline{\chi},\overline{A}\overline{\eta}\chi)\overline{\chi}(x),$$

$$A\eta(x)J(\phi A,\phi A)J(\phi\eta,\phi\eta)\eta(2x)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{array}{cc} A\overline{\eta} & \phi A\overline{\eta} \\ & (A\overline{\eta})^2\end{array};x\right]$$
$$= \frac{J(\phi,\overline{A})J(\phi,\overline{\eta})}{J(\phi,\overline{A\eta})}\frac{A(-1)}{q-1}\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}J(\overline{\chi},A\overline{\eta}\chi)J(\phi\overline{\chi},\overline{A}\eta\chi)\overline{\chi}(x),$$

and

$$A\eta(x)J(\phi A,\phi A)J(\phi\eta,\phi\eta)A\eta(4)\delta(1-x)=\frac{1}{q-1}J(\phi,\overline{A})J(\phi,\overline{\eta})\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}}\overline{\chi}(x).$$

Therefore, by comparing the coefficients for $\overline{\chi}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(-1)_4\mathbb{P}_3\left[\begin{array}{cccc} A & \overline{A} & \overline{\chi}\phi & \overline{\chi} \\ & \phi & \overline{\chi}\eta_3 & \overline{\chi\eta_3}\end{array};1\right]\\ =A(-1)J(\phi,\overline{A})J(\phi,\overline{\eta})\left(\frac{J(\overline{\chi},A\eta\chi)J(\phi\overline{\chi},\overline{A}\overline{\eta}\chi)}{J(\phi,\overline{A\eta})}+\frac{J(\overline{\chi},A\overline{\eta}\chi)J(\phi\overline{\chi},\overline{A}\eta\chi)}{J(\phi,\overline{A}\eta)}\right)\\ -J(\phi,\overline{A})J(\phi,\overline{\eta}),\end{aligned}$$

and when $\chi^2\neq\varepsilon$, this is equal to

$$J(\phi,\overline{A})J(\phi,\overline{\eta})\left(\chi(4)J(A\eta\chi,\overline{A\eta}\chi)+\chi(4)J(A\overline{\eta}\chi,\overline{A}\eta\chi)-1\right).$$

Our claim follows from these results and the fact that $\phi(-3)=1$ when $p\equiv 1 \pmod 6$. □

Remark 16. Gessel and Stanton obtained in [36] many other interesting evaluation formulas. Many of them appear to have finite field analogues. Interested readers are encouraged to take a look.

10. Applications of higher transformations to hypergeometric type Abelian varieties

In [24], the authors use generalized Legendre curves (see §6.2) to construct families of 2-dimensional abelian varieties with quaternionic multiplication (QM) that are parametrized by Shimura curves associated with arithmetic triangle groups with compact fundamental domains. For instance, the primitive part of the Jacobian varieties of (the smooth models of) $y^6=x^4(1-x)^3(1-\lambda x)$ gives rise to a family of 2-dimensional abelian varieties parametrized by the Shimura curve associated with the arithmetic triangle group (3,6,6). Another construction of the family has been obtained by Petkova-Shiga [72] using Picard curves. In general the strategy in [24] leads to computable families of generalized Legendre curves giving rise to hypergeometric abelian varieties of dimension larger than 2. For instance, the arithmetic triangle group (2,6,6) can be realized using the periods of the generalized Legendre curve $y^{12}=x^9(1-x)^5(1-\lambda x)$. For a generic choice of $\lambda\in\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, its primitive part of the Jacobian variety is of dimension $\varphi(12)=4$. It is natural to ask whether such a 4-dimensional abelian variety is simple or not. Meanwhile the two arithmetic groups (3,6,6) and (2,6,6) are commensurable [86]. Below, one can use Theorem 16, a quadratic formula for ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$, to conclude the following relation.

Theorem 24. *Let $\lambda\in\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\lambda\neq 0,\pm 1$. Let $J^{prim}_{\lambda,1}$ (resp. $J^{prim}_{\frac{-4\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2},2}$) be the primitive part of the Jacobian variety of $y^6=x^4(1-x)^3(1-\lambda)$ (resp. $y^{12}=x^9(1-x)^5(1+\frac{4\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2})$). Then $J^{prim}_{\frac{-4\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2},2}$ is isogenous to $J^{prim}_{\lambda,1}\oplus J^{prim}_{\lambda,1}$ over some number field depending on λ.*

The proof is based on the famous Korollar 1 to Satz 4 in §5 of [33]. We restate it below:

Theorem 25. *(Faltings) Let A and B be abelian varieties over a number field K. Suppose that $\rho_A \simeq \rho_B$ as $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[G_K]$-modules. Then A is isogenous to B.*

It is well-known that ρ_A and ρ_B are semisimple and that Frobenius elements for ρ_A and ρ_B form a dense set of G_K. We have the immediate consequence:

Corollary 11. *If A and B are two abelian varieties over a number field K such that their corresponding Galois representations ρ_A, ρ_B have the same trace for almost all Frobenius elements of G_K, then A is isogenous to B.*

Proof of Theorem 24. For $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \lambda \neq 0, \pm 1$, let F denote the smallest Galois field containing $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$. Use $\{\rho_{1,\ell}\}$ (resp. $\{\rho_{2,\ell}\}$) to denote the compatible family of 4-dimensional (resp. 8-dimensional) Galois representations of G_F arising from $J^{\text{prim}}_{\lambda,1}$ (resp. $J^{\text{prim}}_{\frac{-4\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2},2}$) respectively. By the Corollary above to Faltings' result above we need only find a number field K such that the traces of $\rho_{2,\ell}|_{G_K}$ and $(\rho_{1,\ell} \oplus \rho_{1,\ell})|_{G_K}$ agree at almost all Frobenius elements of G_K.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a good prime ideal of the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda, \zeta_{12})$ with residue field side q. Note that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. Then the trace of the arithmetic Frobenius element $\text{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}$ under $\{\rho_{1,\ell}\}$ and $\{\rho_{2,\ell}\}$ can be computed using (66) as

$$\text{Tr}\rho_{1,\ell}(\text{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}) = -\sum_{m=1,5} {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\iota_\mathfrak{p}(\frac{m}{6}) & \iota_\mathfrak{p}(\frac{2m}{6}) \\ & \iota_\mathfrak{p}(\frac{-m}{6})\end{matrix}\ ;\ \lambda; q\right],$$

and

$$\text{Tr}\rho_{2,\ell}(\text{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}) = -\sum_{m=1,5,7,11} {}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\iota_\mathfrak{p}(\frac{m}{12}) & \iota_\mathfrak{p}(\frac{3m}{12}) \\ & \iota_\mathfrak{p}(\frac{-2m}{12})\end{matrix}\ ;\ \lambda; q\right],$$

respectively. Assume $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1$ in the residue field. We now let $\eta = \iota_\mathfrak{p}(\frac{m}{12})$ with $(m, 12) = 1$ be any primitive multiplicative character of order 12. Then $\eta^6 = \phi$ is the unique quadratic character. By applying Theorem 16 with $D = \eta$, $C = \eta^2$ and $B = \eta^4$ in the ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$ expression, invoking the commutativity §4.3 and using the defining formula (18) for ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ we see for $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1$ that

$${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^2 & \eta^4 \\ & \overline{\eta}^2\end{matrix}\ ;\ \lambda\right] = \overline{\eta}^2(1-\lambda)\frac{J(\eta^4, \phi)}{J(\eta^3, \overline{\eta}^5)}{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta & \eta^3 \\ & \overline{\eta}^2\end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{-4\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2}\right].$$

Replacing all characters by their 5th powers gives

$${}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\overline{\eta}^2 & \overline{\eta}^4 \\ & \eta^2\end{matrix}\ ;\ \lambda\right] = \eta^2(1-\lambda)\frac{J(\overline{\eta}^4, \phi)}{J(\eta^3, \overline{\eta})}{}_2\mathbb{P}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^5 & \eta^3 \\ & \eta^2\end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{-4\lambda}{(1-\lambda)^2}\right].$$

Let $R(\eta) := \frac{J(\eta^4, \phi)}{J(\eta^3, \overline{\eta}^5)}$. Next, we will argue that $R(\eta)$ is a root of unity. As $R(\eta)$ and $R(\eta^5)$ are Galois conjugates in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12})$ it suffices to show $R(\eta^5)$ is a root of unity. Recall the reflection, multiplication and Gauss-Jacobi formulas

$$g(A)g(\overline{A}) = qA(-1), \quad g(B)g(B\phi) = g(B^2)g(\phi)\overline{B}(4), \quad J(C, D) = \frac{g(C)g(D)}{g(CD)}$$

which hold when none of A, C, D, CD are trivial. We'll use that $g(\eta^k)g(\eta^{12-k}) = (-1)^k q$ which follows form the reflection formula. The 1st equality below is a definition. The 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 6th are just the reflection formula while the 5th uses the multiplication formula with $B = \eta$.

$$R(\eta^5) = \frac{g(\eta^8)g(\phi)}{g(\eta^3)g(\eta^{11})} = \frac{g(\phi)g(\eta^8)g(\eta)}{-qg(\eta^3)} = -\frac{g(\phi)g(\eta)}{g(\eta^3)g(\eta^4)}$$

$$= -\frac{g(\phi)g(\eta)}{g(\eta^3)g(\eta^4)}\frac{g(\eta^7)g(\eta^5)}{-q} = \frac{g(\phi)^2 g(\eta^2)g(\eta^5)}{qg(\eta^3)g(\eta^4)} = \frac{g(\eta^2)g(\eta^5)}{g(\eta^3)g(\eta^4)} = \frac{J(\eta^2,\eta^5)}{J(\eta^3,\eta^4)}.$$

By Example 11, the value of $J(\eta^2,\eta^5)/J(\eta^3,\eta^4)$ is also a root of unity. From a more global view point following Weil's Theorem 11, we know now the corresponding Grössencharacter $\mathcal{J}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2})}/\mathcal{J}_{(\frac{1}{4},\frac{7}{12})}$ has finite image. By class field theory, it corresponds to a finite order character ψ. Hence there is a natural number M, corresponding to the intersections of the kernels of $\left(\frac{-(1-\lambda)^2}{\cdot}\right)_{12}$ and ψ, such that for each good prime $\mathfrak{p}$ whose residue field has cardinality 1 modulo M, the factors $\eta^2(1-\lambda)=1, \eta(-1)=1$, and $\frac{J(\eta^4,\phi)}{J(\eta^3,\overline{\eta}^5)}=1$.

Now let K be the smallest Galois number field containing $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{12M},\lambda)$. Then for each good prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$, its residue field has size $q\equiv 1 \pmod{12M}$. In particular, letting $\eta=\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(\frac{1}{12})$ and $\eta=\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}(\frac{7}{12})$ respectively in the above two equations yields

$$\mathrm{Tr}\rho_{2,\ell}|_{G_K}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 2\mathrm{Tr}\rho_{1,\ell}|_{G_K}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

The claim follows from the proceeding corollary. □

11. List of the involved formulas

- Kummer 24 relations, see §8.1
- Quadratic or higher transformations
  - Theorem 16, a quadratic ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$ formula, another version in [39]
  - Theorem 17, Kummer's quadratic transformation formula
  - Equation (84), a cubic ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$ formula due to Borwein-Borwein in the complex setting
  - Equation (86), a degree-6 ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$ formula arising from elliptic curves
  - Theorem 23, a cubic ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$ formula by Gessel-Stanton, [36]
  - Theorems 20 and 21, Bailey cubic ${}_3\mathbb{F}_2$ formulas
  - Corollary 10, a cubic ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$ formula and a special case of the Bailey cubic.
  - Theorem 19, a cubic formula of Andrews-Stanton, [7].
- Evaluation formulas
  - Equation (69) Gauss summation, a ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ formula at 1, [39]
  - Equation (68) and Corollary 6, Kummer evaluation, a ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$ formula at -1, [39]
  - Equation (78) Pfaff-SaalSchütz, a ${}_3\mathbb{P}_2$ formula at 1, [39]
  - Equation (79) Pfaff-SaalSchütz in terms of Jacobi sums
  - Corollary 7, a quadratic version of Pfaff-SaalSchütz at 1 written in Jacobi sums
  - Proposition 5, two ${}_3\mathbb{F}_2$ formulas at $\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{8}$ respectively
  - Theorem 3, a ${}_3\mathbb{F}_2$ formula at $\frac{3}{4}$ by Gessel-Stanton, [36].
  - Corollary 8, a ${}_3\mathbb{F}_2$ formula at 4, obtained from the Bailey cubic.
  - Corollary 9, a ${}_3\mathbb{F}_2$ formula at $\frac{1}{4}$, obtained from the Bailey cubic.
  - Equation (75), a ${}_3\mathbb{F}_2$ formula at 1 relating to Clausen formula
  - Proposition 4, some imprimitive ${}_2\mathbb{P}_1$ evaluation formulas.
- Algebraic hypergeometric identities (with the corresponding triangle monodromy group labels for the ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$ cases)
  - $(2,2,n)$, Theorem 14, [83].
  - $(2,3,4)$, Theorem 22

  - $(2,3,3)$, conjectured formulas (107) and (108)
  - Equation (82), an algebraic ${}_m\mathbb{F}_{m-1}$ formula
- Other formulas
  - Theorem 74, a Clausen formula for Evans and Greene [26].
  - Corollary 4, two product formulas for ${}_2\mathbb{F}_1$

12. Acknowledgments

Both Long and Tu were supported by NSF DMS #1303292. We would like to thank Microsoft Research and the Office of Research & Economic Development at Louisiana State University (LSU) for support which allowed Fuselier and Swisher to visit LSU in 2015. Tu was supported in part by the National Center for Theoretical Sciences (NCTS) in Taiwan for her visit to LSU in 2015. We are very grateful to George Andrews, Irene Bouw, Luca Candelori, Henri Cohen, Henri Darmon, Ron Evans, Sharon Frechette, Jerome W. Hoffman, Wen-Ching Winnie Li, Matt Papanikolas, Dennis Stanton, John Voight, Daqing Wan, Tonghai Yang and Wadim Zudilin for their interest, enlightening discussions/suggestions and/or helpful references. Special thanks to Dennis Stanton who gave us two interesting problems and to Yifan Yang for sharing his results which are stated in Example 4. Special thanks also to Rodriguez-Villegas for his lecture on Hypergeometric Motives given at NCTS in 2014. Our statements are verified by Magma or Sage programs. The collaboration was carried out on SageMathCloud. Long, Swisher and Tu further thank the Institute for Computational and Experimental Research in Mathematics (ICERM) at Brown University for their hospitality as part of this work was done while they were ICERM research fellows during Fall 2015.

13. Appendix

In this section we address a few remaining topics not otherwise discussed in the bulk of this work. First, we discuss conjectured $\mathbb{F}_q$ analogues of algebraic transformations of the fifth author and Yang [88] which were numerically observed using `Magma`. We then offer alternate proofs and/or outlines of some classical results to demonstrate that the $(*)$ condition is satisfied. We conclude with a discussion of an interesting question posed by Stanton in private communication.

13.1. **Numeric observations.** We first state a numeric observation made by Henri Cohen, which is related to the Calabi-Yau three-fold labeled by $[4]+[3]-[2]-5[1]$ in [75]. For any $p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, let η denote a primitive order 12 character of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$. Then

$$ {}_4\mathbb{F}_3\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^4 & \eta^8 & \eta^3 & \eta^9 \\ & \varepsilon & \varepsilon & \varepsilon\end{matrix}\ ;\ 1;\ p\right] \stackrel{?}{=} -J(\eta^4,\eta^4)^3 - J(\eta^8,\eta^8)^3 + \eta(-1)\cdot p. \tag{112}$$

Numerically, we have observed finite field analogues of the algebraic transformations of ${}_2F_1$-hypergeometric series mentioned in work of the fifth author and Yang [88] using `Magma`.

First, we have the following conjecture, where we use the convention that a rational function $f(x) = p(x)/q(x)$ is said to equal ∞ if $q(x) = 0$ where $p(x) \neq 0$.

Conjecture 1. *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$, α a root of x^3+3 in $\mathbb{F}_p$, β a root of x^2+2, and η a primitive multiplicative character of order 24. Define*

$$f(z) := \frac{12\alpha z(1-z)^2(1-9z^2)}{(1+\alpha z)^6},$$
$$g(z) := -\frac{4(1+\beta)^4 z(1+(4\beta-7)z^2/3)^4}{(1+z)(1-3z)(1+(4+2\beta)z-(1+2\beta)z^2)^4},$$

and assume that $z \in \mathbb{F}_p$ satisfies $f(z)$, $g(z) \neq 0$, 1, ∞. Then,

$$\eta^3((1+z)(1-3z))\overline{\eta}^6(1+\alpha z)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^5 & \eta^9 \\ & \overline{\eta}^6\end{matrix}\,;\ f(z)\right]$$
$$\stackrel{?}{=} \phi(1+(4+2\beta)z-(1+2\beta)z^2)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^3 & \eta^9 \\ & \overline{\eta}^6\end{matrix}\,;\ g(z)\right].$$

Conjecture 1 is a finite field analogue of the following transformation[6] due to the fifth author and Yang [88], which is associated to the arithmetic triangle groups $(4,6,6)$ and $(4,4,4)$.

Theorem 26. [88, Theorem 1] *Let α be a root of x^3+3, β a root of x^2+2, and define*

$$f(z) := \frac{12\alpha z(1-z)^2(1-9z^2)}{(1+\alpha z)^6}$$
$$g(z) := -\frac{4(1+\beta)^4 z(1+(4\beta-7)z^2/3)^4}{(1+z)(1-3z)(1+(4+2\beta)z-(1+2\beta)z^2)^4}.$$

Then,

$$\frac{(1+z)^{1/8}(1-3z)^{1/8}}{(1+\alpha z)^{5/4}}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{5}{24} & \frac{3}{8} \\ & \frac{3}{4}\end{matrix}\,;\ f(z)\right]$$
$$= (1+(4+2\beta)z-(1+2\beta)z^2)^{-1/2}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{8} \\ & \frac{3}{4}\end{matrix}\,;\ g(z)\right],$$

and

$$\frac{(1-z)^{1/4}(1+3z)^{1/4}(1+z)^{5/8}(1-3z)^{5/8}}{(1+\alpha z)^{11/4}}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{11}{24} & \frac{5}{8} \\ & \frac{5}{4}\end{matrix}\,;\ f(z)\right]$$
$$= \frac{1+(4\beta-7)z^2/3}{(1+(4+2\beta)z-(1+2\beta)z^2)^{3/2}}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{3}{8} & \frac{5}{8} \\ & \frac{5}{4}\end{matrix}\,;\ g(z)\right].$$

In [88], the authors obtain the transformations by interpreting hypergeometric series as modular forms on Shimura curves. In the case of the above theorem, all the modular forms for the arithmetic triangle group $(4,6,6)$ can be expressed in terms of

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{5}{24} & \frac{3}{8} \\ & \frac{3}{4}\end{matrix}\,;\ t\right] \quad \text{and} \quad t^{1/4}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{11}{24} & \frac{5}{8} \\ & \frac{5}{4}\end{matrix}\,;\ t\right],$$

[6]We note that the left hand side of the second formula in [88, Theorem 1] contains a typo. We are stating the corrected version here.

and for the Shimura curve associated to $(4,4,4)$ can be expressed in terms of

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{8}\\ & \frac{3}{4}\end{matrix}\ ;\ u\right] \quad \text{and} \quad u^{1/4}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{3}{8} & \frac{5}{8}\\ & \frac{5}{4}\end{matrix}\ ;\ u\right], $$

where both t and u are suitable meromorphic modular functions. All of them can be regarded as modular forms for the intersection group Γ of $(4,6,6)$ and $(4,4,4)$, which is an arithmetic group generated by six elliptic elements of order 4 with a single relation. The algebraic transformations between these hypergeometric series are coming from the identities among the modular forms with respect to Γ.

We also found numerically a finite field version of the second formula in Theorem 26. Namely,

$$ \begin{aligned} (113)\quad \eta^6((1-z)(1+3z)(1+\alpha z))\overline{\eta}^9((1+z)(1-3z))\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^{11} & \overline{\eta}^9\\ & \overline{\eta}^6\end{matrix}\ ;\ f(z)\right] \\ \stackrel{?}{=} \phi(1+(4+2\beta)z-(1+2\beta)z^2)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^9 & \overline{\eta}^9\\ & \eta^6\end{matrix}\ ;\ g(z)\right]. \end{aligned} $$

Equation (113) is equivalent to Conjecture 1, which can be seen by applying the first item of Proposition 8 and the multiplication formulas of Gauss sums. In fact this is predicted by the Galois perspective as the finite field versions correspond to the traces of two dimensional Galois representations.

In addition, we have numeric speculations based on `Magma` programs for the $\mathbb{F}_q$ analogues of the algebraic transformations stated in Theorems 2, 4, 5 of [88]. Below we state first the analogues, and then the Theorems for which they are analogues, in their respective order.

• Let η be a primitive character of order 20 and define $f(z) := \frac{64z(1-z-z^2)^5}{(1-z^2)(1+4z-z^2)^5}$. We observe that when $f(z) \neq 0, 1, \infty$, and $z \neq 0$,

$$ (114)\qquad {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta & \eta^5\\ & \overline{\eta}^4\end{matrix}\ ;\ f(z)\right] \stackrel{?}{=} \eta(1-z^2)\eta^5(1+4z-z^2)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\eta^6 & \eta^8\\ & \overline{\eta}^2\end{matrix}\ ;\ z^2\right]. $$

• Let η be a primitive character of order 6 and A a character with $A^6 \neq \varepsilon$. We observe that when $z \neq \pm 1, \pm 3$,

$$ \begin{aligned} (115)\quad A\eta(1+z)A^3\phi(1-z/3)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A^2\eta^2 & A\eta^2\\ & A^3\end{matrix}\ ;\ z^2\right] \\ \stackrel{?}{=} {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A\eta & A\phi\\ & A^2\end{matrix}\ ;\ \frac{16z^3}{(1+z)(3-z)^3}\right]. \end{aligned} $$

• Let η be a primitive character of order 12 and A a character with $A^{12} \neq \varepsilon$. We observe that when neither $-\frac{27z^2(1-z)}{1-9z}$ nor $-\frac{64z^3}{(1-z)^3(1-9z)}$ equals 0, 1, or ∞,

$$ \begin{aligned} (116)\quad A^9\eta^9(1-z)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A^4\eta^4 & A^2\eta^4\\ & A^6\end{matrix}\ ;\ -\frac{27z^2(1-z)}{1-9z}\right] \\ \stackrel{?}{=} A\eta(1-9z)\,{}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}A^3\eta^3 & A\eta^3\\ & A^4\end{matrix}\ ;\ -\frac{64z^3}{(1-z)^3(1-9z)}\right]. \end{aligned} $$

Equations (114), (115), and (116) are analogues of Theorems 2, 4, and 5 of [88], respectively, which are stated below.

• [88, Theorem 2]

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{20} & \frac{1}{4}\\ & \frac{4}{5}\end{matrix};\ \frac{64z(1-z-z^2)^5}{(1-z^2)(1+4z-z^2)^5}\right] = (1-z^2)^{1/20}(1+4z-z^2)^{1/4}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{3}{10} & \frac{2}{5}\\ & \frac{9}{10}\end{matrix};\ z^2\right]; $$

$$ (1-z-z^2)\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{9}{20} & \frac{1}{4}\\ & \frac{6}{5}\end{matrix};\ \frac{64z(1-z-z^2)^5}{(1-z^2)(1+4z-z^2)^5}\right] = (1-z^2)^{1/4}(1+4z-z^2)^{5/4}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{5}\\ & \frac{11}{10}\end{matrix};\ z^2\right]. $$

• [88, Theorem 4] For a real number a such that neither $3a+1$ nor $2a+1$ is a non-positive integer, in a neighborhood of $z=0$,

$$ (1+z)^{a+1/6}(1-z/3)^{3a+1/2}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}2a+\frac{1}{3} & a+\frac{1}{3}\\ & 3a+1\end{matrix};\ z^2\right] = {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a+\frac{1}{6} & a+\frac{1}{2}\\ & 2a+1\end{matrix};\ \frac{16z^3}{(1+z)(3-z)^3}\right]. $$

• [88, Theorem 5] For a real number a such that neither $6a+1$ nor $4a+1$ is a non-positive integer, in a neighborhood of $z=0$,

$$ (1-z)^{9a+3/4}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}4a+\frac{1}{3} & 2a+\frac{1}{3}\\ & 6a+1\end{matrix};\ -\frac{27z^2(1-z)}{1-9z}\right] = (1-9z)^{a+1/12}\,{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}3a+\frac{1}{4} & a+\frac{1}{4}\\ & 4a+1\end{matrix};\ -\frac{64z^3}{(1-z)^3(1-9z)}\right]. $$

13.2. **Bailey ${}_3F_2$ cubic transforms.** Here we show that (100) and (101) satisfy the $(*)$ condition. We provide more details for (101), and additionally give some hints for (100). See Bailey [10] for the original proofs of these transformations.

Firstly, using the properties of binomial coefficients and rising factorials (similar to the proof of (89)), we have

$$ \binom{-a-3i}{n-i} = (-1)^n\frac{(a)_n(-n)_i(a+n)_{2i}}{n!(a)_{3i}} = (-1)^n\frac{(a)_n(-n)_i((a+n)/2)_i((a+n+1)/2)_i}{n!(a/3)_i((a+1)/3)_i((a+2)/3)_i}\left(\frac{4}{27}\right)^i, \tag{117} $$

$$ \binom{-a-3i}{n-2i} = (-1)^n\frac{(a)_n(a+n)_i(-n)_{2i}}{n!(a)_{3i}} = (-1)^n\frac{(a)_n(a+n)_i(-n/2)_i((1-n)/2)_i}{n!(a/3)_i((a+1)/3)_i((a+2)/3)_i}\left(\frac{4}{27}\right)^i. \tag{118} $$

The right hand side of (101) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i\geq 0}\frac{\left(\frac{a}{3}\right)_i\left(\frac{a+1}{3}\right)_i\left(\frac{a+2}{3}\right)_i}{i!(b)_i\left(a+\frac{3}{2}-b\right)_i}\left(\frac{-27}{4}\right)^i x^i(1-x)^{-a-3i}\\
&\qquad=\sum_{i\geq 0}\frac{\left(\frac{a}{3}\right)_i\left(\frac{a+1}{3}\right)_i\left(\frac{a+2}{3}\right)_i}{i!(b)_i\left(a+\frac{3}{2}-b\right)_i}\left(\frac{-27}{4}\right)^i x^i\sum_{k\geq 0}\binom{-a-3i}{k}(-x)^k\\
&\qquad\overset{n=i+k}{=}\sum_{n,i\geq 0,n\geq i}\frac{\left(\frac{a}{3}\right)_i\left(\frac{a+1}{3}\right)_i\left(\frac{a+2}{3}\right)_i}{i!(b)_i\left(a+\frac{3}{2}-b\right)_i}\left(\frac{27}{4}\right)^i\binom{-a-3i}{n-i}(-x)^n\\
&\qquad\overset{(117)}{=}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(a)_n}{n!}\,{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}-n & (a+n)/2 & (a+n+1)/2\\ & b & a+3/2-b\end{matrix};\,1\right]x^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Pfaff-SaalSchütz formula (41), this becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(a)_n}{n!}\frac{(b-(a+n)/2)_n(b-(a+n+1)/2)_n}{(b)_n(b-a-n-1/2)_n}x^n\\
&\qquad\overset{\text{duplication}}{=}\sum_n\frac{(a)_n}{n!}\frac{(2b-a-n-1)_{2n}}{(b)_n(b-a-n-1/2)_n}\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^n\\
&\qquad=\sum_n\frac{(a)_n\Gamma(2b-a+n-1)\Gamma(b-a-n-1/2)}{n!(b)_n\Gamma(2b-a-n-1)\Gamma(b-a-1/2)}\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^n\\
&\qquad=\sum_n\frac{(a)_n(2b-a-1)_n\Gamma(2b-a-1)\Gamma(b-a-n-1/2)}{n!(b)_n\Gamma(2b-a-n-1)\Gamma(b-a-1/2)}\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^n\\
&\qquad\overset{\text{reflection}}{=}\sum_n\frac{(a)_n(2b-a-1)_n\Gamma(2-2b+a+n)\Gamma(3/2-b+a)}{n!(b)_n\Gamma(2-2b+a)\Gamma(3/2-b+a+n)}\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^n,
\end{aligned}$$

which agrees with the left hand side of (101).

We note that one can prove (100) similarly using (118), as one of $-n/2$ and $(1-n)/2$ is a non positive integer when $n\geq 0$.

13.3. **A proof of Equation** (109)**.** Recall that equation (109) states

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & -a\\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{matrix};\,\frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right]=\;{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}3a & -3a\\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{matrix};\,\frac{3x}{4}\right].$$

We give a proof here which demonstrates that (109) satisfies the $(*)$ condition.

Proof. Note that using the inversion formula (88) one has

$$\binom{2n-2i}{i}=\frac{(-1)^i}{i!}\frac{(-2n)_{3i}}{(-2n)_{2i}}=(-1)^i\frac{27^i}{4^i\cdot i!}\frac{(\frac{-2n}{3})_i(\frac{1-2n}{3})_i(\frac{2-2n}{3})_i}{(-n)_i(-n+\frac{1}{2})_i}. \tag{119}$$

We now outline a proof using the reflection and multiplication formulas, Theorems 4 and 6. Observe,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & -a\\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{matrix};\ \frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right] &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{(a)_k(-a)_k}{(1)_k(\frac{1}{2})_k}\left(\frac{27x}{4}\right)^k\sum_{i\geq 0}\binom{2k}{i}(-x)^i\\
&\overset{n=k+i}{=}\sum_{n,i\geq 0}\frac{(a)_{n-i}(-a)_{n-i}}{(1)_{n-i}(\frac{1}{2})_{n-i}}\left(\frac{27}{4}\right)^{n-i}\binom{2n-2i}{i}(-1)^i x^n\\
=\sum_{n\geq 0}\left(\frac{27}{4}\right)^n\frac{(a)_n(-a)_n}{(1)_n(\frac{1}{2})_n}&\left(\sum_i\frac{(-n)_i(\frac{1}{2}-n)_i}{(1-a-n)_i(1+a-n)_i}\left(-\frac{27}{4}\right)^{-i}\binom{2n-2i}{i}\right)x^n.
\end{aligned}
$$

By (119), the above equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(a)_n(-a)_n}{(1)_n(\frac{1}{2})_n}\,{}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{-2n}{3} & \frac{1-2n}{3} & \frac{2-2n}{3}\\ & 1+a-n & 1-a-n\end{matrix};\ 1\right]\left(\frac{27x}{4}\right)^n\\
&\overset{(42)}{=}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(a)_n(-a)_n}{(1)_n(\frac{1}{2})_n}\frac{\Gamma(a+\frac{n+2}{3})\Gamma(a+\frac{n+1}{3})\Gamma(a+\frac{n}{3})\Gamma(1+a-n)}{\Gamma(1+a-\frac{n}{3})\Gamma(a+\frac{2-n}{3})\Gamma(a+\frac{1-n}{3})\Gamma(a+n)}\left(\frac{27x}{4}\right)^n.
\end{aligned}
$$

By the multiplication formula, we thus have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a & -a\\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{matrix};\ \frac{27x(1-x)^2}{4}\right] &= 3\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(a)_n(-a)_n}{(1)_n(\frac{1}{2})_n}\frac{\Gamma(3a+n)\Gamma(1+a-n)}{\Gamma(1+3a-n)\Gamma(a+n)}\left(\frac{3x}{4}\right)^n\\
&= 3\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(3a)_n(-a)_n}{(1)_n(\frac{1}{2})_n}\frac{\Gamma(1+a-n)\Gamma(3a)}{\Gamma(1+3a-n)\Gamma(a)}\left(\frac{3x}{4}\right)^n\\
&= \sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(3a)_n(-a)_n}{(1)_n(\frac{1}{2})_n}\frac{\Gamma(1+a-n)\Gamma(3a+1)}{\Gamma(1+3a-n)\Gamma(a+1)}\left(\frac{3x}{4}\right)^n\\
&\overset{\text{reflection}}{=}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(3a)_n(-a)_n}{(1)_n(\frac{1}{2})_n}\frac{\Gamma(-3a+n)\Gamma(-a)}{\Gamma(-3a)\Gamma(-a+n)}\left(\frac{3x}{4}\right)^n\\
&= {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}3a & -3a\\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{matrix};\ \frac{3x}{4}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired. □

13.4. **A question of Stanton.** In a private correspondence, Stanton pointed out that if we let

$$F(z) := \frac{-8(1-\sqrt{1-z})^3}{z^2} = \frac{-8z}{(1+\sqrt{1-z})^3},$$

then formally as series in z (and explicitly for some values), one has

$$F(F(z)) = z. \tag{120}$$

He asked whether there is any interesting finite field analogue of this particular observation.

To look for $\mathbb{F}_q$ analogues of (120) we first relate it to hypergeometric functions and construct a proof which uses a quadratic formula and (3), which recall says

that for $a, z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z| < 1$,

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a-\frac{1}{2} & a \\ & 2a \end{matrix}; z\right] = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)^{1-2a}.$$

Remark 17. Note that we could instead consider the following more general variant of (3) for positive integer n,

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a-\frac{1}{2} & a \\ & 2a \end{matrix}; z\right]^n = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)^{n-2an} = {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{2an-n}{2} & \frac{1+2an-n}{2} \\ & 1+2an-n \end{matrix}; z\right].$$

Since $F(z) = -z\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)^{-3}$, we could consider various combinations (a, n) such that $n-2an = -3$ for rewriting our series. Below we only show the case when $n = 1$ but note that there is potential for additional options when considering translation to the finite field setting.

Using (3) directly, we see that

$$F(z) = -z\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)^{-3} = -z \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{3}{2} & 2 \\ & 4 \end{matrix}; z\right],$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} F(F(z)) &= -F(z) \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{3}{2} & 2 \\ & 4 \end{matrix}; F(z)\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{8z}{(1+\sqrt{1-z})^3}\right) \cdot {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{3}{2} & 2 \\ & 4 \end{matrix}; \frac{-8z}{(1+\sqrt{1-z})^3}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $y = \frac{-(1-\sqrt{1-z})^2}{z}$, we see that

$$4y(1-y) = \frac{-4(1-\sqrt{1-z})^2(z+(1-\sqrt{1-z})^2)}{z^2} = \frac{-8(1-\sqrt{1-z})^3}{z^2}.$$

We can thus use the following quadratic transformation formula (see (3.1.3) of [6])

$$ {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} 2a & 2b \\ & a+b+\frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; x\right] = {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a & b \\ & a+b+\frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; 4x(1-x)\right],$$

with $a = 3/2$, $b = 2$, $x = y$, to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} \frac{3}{2} & 2 \\ & 4 \end{matrix}; \frac{-8z}{(1+\sqrt{1-z})^3}\right] &= {}_1F_0\left[3; \frac{-(1-\sqrt{1-z})^2}{z}\right] \\ &= \left(1+\frac{(1-\sqrt{1-z})^2}{z}\right)^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F(F(z)) &= \left(\frac{8(1-\sqrt{1-z})^3}{z^2}\right) \cdot \left(1+\frac{(1-\sqrt{1-z})^2}{z}\right)^{-3} \\ &= \frac{8z(1-\sqrt{1-z})^3}{(z+(1-\sqrt{1-z})^2)^3} = z, \end{aligned}$$

which gives us an alternate proof of (120) as desired.

Let

$$G(z) = \frac{-8}{(1+\sqrt{1-z})^3}.$$

Then we have that $F(z) = zG(z)$, and so the identity $F(F(z)) = z$ can be written instead as

$$z = F(zG(z)) = zG(z) \cdot G(zG(z)).$$

By our dictionary, the finite field analogue of the hypergeometric series ${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}\frac{3}{2} & 2\\ & 4\end{matrix}; z\right]$ is

$$G(z) := {}_2\mathbb{F}_1\left[\begin{matrix}\phi & \varepsilon\\ & \varepsilon\end{matrix}; z\right] = \varepsilon(z) + \phi(1-z).$$

We then define our finite field version of F by $F(z) := zG(z)$. Then it is obvious that $F(F(z)) = z$ when $z = 0$, or $z = 1$. When $z \neq 0, 1$, we have

$$F(z) = z\left(1 + \phi(1-z)\right).$$

Note that since $z \neq 1$, we have that $1 + \phi(1-z)$ is either 0 or 2. Thus, we have in both cases that

$$\begin{aligned} F(F(z)) &= z\left(1+\phi(1-z)\right)\left(1+\phi(1-z\left(1+\phi(1-z)\right))\right) \\ &= z\left(1+\phi(1-z)\right)\left(1+\phi(1-2z)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We can then write for all z,

$$F(F(z)) = z\left(1+\phi(1-z)\right)\left(1+\phi(1-2z)\right) - \delta(1-z)\phi(-1).$$

For the expression of $G(z)G(zG(z))$, we obtain the corresponding formula

$$G(z)G(zG(z)) = \left(1+\phi(1-z)\right)\left(1+\phi\left(1-2z\right)\right) - 3\delta(z) - \delta(1-z)\phi(-1).$$

References

[1] Alan Adolphson and Steven Sperber. On twisted exponential sums. *Math. Ann.*, 290(4):713–726, 1991.

[2] Alan Adolphson and Steven Sperber. Twisted exponential sums and Newton polyhedra. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 443:151–177, 1993.

[3] Scott Ahlgren and Ken Ono. A Gaussian hypergeometric series evaluation and Apéry number congruences. *Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, 187–212, 2000.

[4] Scott Ahlgren, Gaussian hypergeometric series and combinatorial congruences, *Symbolic computation, number theory, special functions, physics and combinatorics* (Gainesville, FL, 1999) Dev. Math., vol. 4, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, pp. 1–12, 2001.

[5] Scott Ahlgren, Ken Ono, and David Penniston. Zeta functions of an infinite family of $K3$ surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 124(2):353–368, 2002.

[6] George E. Andrews, Richard Askey, and Ranjan Roy. *Special functions*, volume 71 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[7] George E. Andrews and Dennis W. Stanton. Determinants in plane partition enumeration. *European J. Combin.*, 19(3):273–282, 1998.

[8] Natália Archinard. Hypergeometric abelian varieties. *Canad. J. Math.*, 55(5):897–932, 2003.

[9] Natália Archinard. Exceptional sets of hypergeometric series. *J. Number Theory,* 101(2):244–269, 2003.

[10] Wilfrid Norman Bailey. Products of generalized hypergeometric series, *Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser.* 2, 28, 242–254, 1928.

[11] Wilfrid Norman Bailey. *Generalized hypergeometric series*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 32. Stechert-Hafner, Inc., New York, 1964.

[12] Francesco Baldassarri and Bernard Dwork. On second order linear differential equations with algebraic solutions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 101(1):42–76, 1979.

[13] Rupam Barman and Gautam Kalita. Hypergeometric functions and a family of algebraic curves. *Ramanujan J.*, 28(2):175–185, 2012.
[14] Bruce C. Berndt, Ronald J. Evans, and Kenneth S. Williams. *Gauss and Jacobi sums*. Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1998. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
[15] Frits Beukers. Notes of differential equations and hypergeometric functions. unpublished notes.
[16] Frits Beukers, Henri Cohen, and Anton Mellit. Finite hypergeometric functions. arXiv: 1505.02900.
[17] Frits Beukers and Gert Heckman. Monodromy for the hypergeometric function ${}_nF_{n-1}$. *Invent. Math.*, 95(2):325–354, 1989.
[18] Jonathan M. Borwein and Peter B. Borwein. A cubic counterpart of Jacobi's identity and the AGM. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 323(2):691–701, 1991.
[19] Jonathan M. Borwein and Peter B. Borwein. *Pi and the AGM*. Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, 4. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1998. A study in analytic number theory and computational complexity, Reprint of the 1987 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
[20] Sarah Chisholm, Alyson Deines, Ling Long, Gabriele Nebe, and Holly Swisher. $p-$adic analogues of ramanujan type formulas for $1/\pi$. *Mathematics*, 1(1):9–30, 2013.
[21] D. V. Chudnovsky and G. V. Chudnovsky. Approximations and complex multiplication according to Ramanujan. In *Ramanujan revisited (Urbana-Champaign, Ill., 1987)*, pages 375–472. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
[22] Henri Cohen. *Number theory. Vol. I. Tools and Diophantine equations*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 239. Springer, New York, 2007.
[23] Henri Cohen. *Number theory. Vol. I. Tools and Diophantine equations*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 239. Springer, New York, 2007.
[24] Alyson Deines, Jenny G. Fuselier, Ling Long, Holly Swisher, and Fang Ting Tu. Generalized Legendre Curves and Quaternionic Multiplication. *J. Number Theory* 161, 175–203, 2016.
[25] Alyson Deines, Jenny G. Fuselier, Ling Long, Holly Swisher, and Fang Ting Tu. Hypergeometric series, truncated hypergeometric series, and Gaussian hypergeometric functions. *ArXiv e-prints 1501.03564, Procceedings for Women in Number Theory 3 workshop, Association for Women in Mathematics series*, 2016.
[26] Ron J. Evans and John Greene. Clausen's theorem and hypergeometric functions over finite fields. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 15(1):97–109, 2009.
[27] Ron J. Evans and John Greene. Evaluations of hypergeometric functions over finite fields. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 39(2):217–235, 2009.
[28] Ron J. Evans and John Greene. A quadratic hypergeometric 2F1 transformation over finite fields. *ArXiv e-prints 1511.00020*, 2015.
[29] Ronald J. Evans. Identities for products of Gauss sums over finite fields. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 27(3-4):197–209, 1982.
[30] Ronald J. Evans. Character sum analogues of constant term identities for root systems. *Israel J. Math.*, 46(3):189–196, 1983.
[31] Ronald J. Evans. Hermite character sums. *Pacific J. Math.*, 122(2):357–390, 1986.
[32] Ronald J. Evans. Character sums over finite fields. In *Finite fields, coding theory, and advances in communications and computing (Las Vegas, NV, 1991)*, volume 141 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 57–73. Dekker, New York, 1993.
[33] Gerd Faltings. Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern. *Invent. Math.*, (73) no. 3, 349–366, 1983.
[34] Sharon Frechette, Ken Ono, and Matthew Papanikolas. Gaussian hypergeometric functions and traces of Hecke operators. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (60):3233–3262, 2004.
[35] Jenny G. Fuselier. Hypergeometric functions over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and relations to elliptic curves and modular forms. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138(1):109–123, 2010.
[36] Ira Gessel and Dennis Stanton. Strange evaluations of hypergeometric series. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 13(2):295–308, 1982.
[37] I. J. Good. Generalizations to several variables of Lagrange's expansion, with applications to stochastic processes. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 56:367–380, 1960.
[38] Édouard Goursat. Sur l'équation différentielle linéaire, qui admet pour intégrale la série hypergéométrique. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (2)*, 10:3–142, 1881.

[39] John Greene. Hypergeometric functions over finite fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 301(1):77–101, 1987.
[40] John Greene. Lagrange inversion over finite fields. *Pacific J. Math.*, 130(2):313–325, 1987.
[41] John Greene. Hypergeometric functions over finite fields and representations of $\mathrm{SL}(2, q)$. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 23(2):547–568, 1993.
[42] John Greene and Dennis Stanton. A character sum evaluation and Gaussian hypergeometric series. *J. Number Theory*, 23(1):136–148, 1986.
[43] Anna P. Helversen-Pasotto. L'identité de Barnes pour les corps finis. (French) *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér.* A-B 286, no. 6, A297–A300, 1978.
[44] Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen. *A classical introduction to modern number theory*, volume 84 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1990.
[45] Nicholas M. Katz. *Exponential sums and differential equations*, volume 124 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990.
[46] Felix Klein. *Vorlesungen über die hypergeometrische Funktion*, volume 39 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981. Reprint of the 1933 original.
[47] Neal Koblitz. The number of points on certain families of hypersurfaces over finite fields. *Compositio Math.*, 48(1):3–23, 1983.
[48] Neal Koblitz. *p-adic numbers, p-adic analysis, and zeta-functions*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 58. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984. xii+150 pp.
[49] Masao Koike. Hypergeometric series over finite fields and Apéry numbers. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 22(3):461–467, 1992.
[50] Maxim Kontsevich and Don Zagier. Periods. *Mathematics unlimited - 2001 and beyond*, 771–808, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
[51] Ernst Kummer. Über die hypergeometrische Reihe (Fortsetzung). Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik., 15: 127–172, 1836.
[52] J. L. Lagrange. Nouvelle méthode pour résoudre des équations littérales par le moyen de séries. *Mém. Acad. Roy. des Sci. et Belles-Lettres de Berlin*, 24, 1770.
[53] Serge Lang. *Cyclotomic fields I and II*, volume 121 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1990. With an appendix by Karl Rubin.
[54] Catherine Lennon. Gaussian hypergeometric evaluations of traces of Frobenius for elliptic curves. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(6):1931–1938, 2011.
[55] Catherine Lennon. Trace formulas for Hecke operators, Gaussian hypergeometric functions, and the modularity of a threefold. *J. Number Theory* 131(12):2320–2351, 2011.
[56] Wen-Ching Winnie Li. Barnes' identities and representations of GL(2). II. Non-Archimedean local field case. *J. Reine Angew.* Math. 345:69–92, 1983.
[57] Wen-Ching Winnie Li and Jorge Soto-Andrade. Barnes' identities and representations of GL(2). I. Finite field case. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 344, 171–179, 1983.
[58] Yi-Hsuan Lin and Fang-Ting Tu. Twisted Kloosterman sums. *J. Number Theory* 147, 666–690, 2015.
[59] The LMFDB Collaboration. *The L-functions and Modular Forms Database,* Home page of the Elliptic Curve 144.a3. `http://www.lmfdb.org/EllipticCurve/Q/144/a/3`, 2013. [Online; accessed 6 October 2015].
[60] The LMFDB Collaboration. *The L-functions and Modular Forms Database,* Home page of the Elliptic Curve 288.d3. `http://www.lmfdb.org/EllipticCurve/Q/288/d/3`, 2013. [Online; accessed 6 October 2015].
[61] The LMFDB Collaboration. *The L-functions and Modular Forms Database,* Home page of the Elliptic Curve 64.a3. `http://www.lmfdb.org/EllipticCurve/Q/64/a/3`, 2013. [Online; accessed 6 October 2015].
[62] Ling Long. Hypergeometric evaluation identities and supercongruences. *Pacific J. Math.*, 249(2):405–418, 2011.
[63] Ling Long and Ravi Ramakrishna. Some supercongruences occurring in truncated hypergeometric series. *Adv. Math.* 290, 773–808, 2016.
[64] Dermot McCarthy. Transformations of well-poised hypergeometric functions over finite fields. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 18(6):1133–1147, 2012.
[65] Dermot McCarthy. Extending Gaussian hypergeometric series to the p-adic setting *International J. of Number Theory* 8(7):1581-1612, 2012.

[66] Dermot McCarthy and Matthew A. Papanikolas. A finite field hypergeometric function associated to eigenvalues of a Siegel eigenform, *International J. of Number Theory* 11(8):2431-2450, 2015.
[67] James S. Milne, *Abelian Varieties* `http://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/AV.pdf`
[68] James S. Milne, *Class Field Theory* `http://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/CFT.pdf`
[69] Ken Ono. Values of Gaussian hypergeometric series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 350(3):1205–1223, 1998.
[70] Robert Osburn and Carsten Schneider. Gaussian hypergeometric series and supercongruences. *Math. Comp.* 78 no. 265, 275–292, 2009.
[71] Robert Osburn and Wadim Zudilin, On the (K.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme, *J. of Math. Analysis and Applications*, 433(1):706-711, 2016.
[72] Maria Petkova and Hironori Shiga. A new interpretation of the Shimura curve with discriminant 6 in terms of Picard modular forms. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 96(4):335348, 2011.
[73] Srinivasa Ramanujan. Modular equations and approximations to π [Quart. J. Math. **45** (1914), 350–372]. In *Collected papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan*, pages 23–39. AMS Chelsea Publ., Providence, RI, 2000.
[74] Fernando Rodriguez-Villegas. Hypergeometric motives. 2015.
[75] Fernando Rodriguez-Villegas. Hypergeometric families of Calabi-Yau manifolds. *Calabi-Yau varieties and mirror symmetry* (Toronto, ON, 2001), 223–231, Fields Inst. Commun., 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
[76] Jeremy Rouse. Hypergeometric functions and elliptic curves. *Ramanujan J.* 12, no. 2, 197–205, 2006.
[77] Adriana Salerno. Counting points over finite fields and hypergeometric functions. *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* 49 no. 1, 137–157, 2013.
[78] Hermann A. Schwarz. Ueber diejenigen Fälle, in welchen die Gaussische hypergeometrische Reihe eine algebraische Function ihres vierten Elementes darstellt. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 75:292–335, 1873.
[79] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Représentations linéaires des groupes finis*. Hermann, Paris, revised edition, 1978.
[80] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Abelian l-adic representations and elliptic curves*. Advanced Book Classics. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, second edition, 1989. With the collaboration of Willem Kuyk and John Labute.
[81] Joseph H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
[82] Joseph H. Silverman. *Advanced Topics in he arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 151 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
[83] Lucy Joan Slater. *Generalized hypergeometric functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966.
[84] Jan Stienstra and Frits Beukers. On the Picard-Fuchs equation and the formal Brauer group of certain elliptic K3-surfaces. *Math. Ann.* 271(2), 269–304, 1985.
[85] Holly Swisher. On the supercongruence conjectures of van Hamme. *Research in the Mathematical Sciences*, 2:18, 1–21, 2015.
[86] Kisao Takeuchi. Commensurability classes of arithmetic triangle groups. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 24(1):201–212, 1977.
[87] Fang-Ting Tu and Yifan Yang. Evaluations of certain hypergeometric functions over finite fields. Preprint.
[88] Fang-Ting Tu and Yifan Yang. Algebraic transformations of hypergeometric functions and automorphic forms on Shimura curves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(12):6697–6729, 2013.
[89] Lucien van Hamme. Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series. *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math*, 192:223–236, 1997.
[90] M. Valentina Vega. Hypergeometric functions over finite fields and their relations to algebraic curves. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 7(8):2171–2195, 2011.
[91] Raimundas Vidūnas. Transformations of some Gauss hypergeometric functions. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 178(1-2):473–487, 2005.
[92] Raimundas Vidūnas. Algebraic transformations of Gauss hypergeometric functions. *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 52(2):139–180, 2009.
[93] André Weil. Jacobi sums as "Grössencharaktere". *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73:487–495, 1952.

[94] F.J.W. Whipple. On well-poised series, generalised hypergeometric series having parameters in pairs, each pair with the same sum, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) 24, 247–263, 1926.
[95] Jürgen Wolfart. Werte hypergeometrischer Funktionen. *Invent. Math.*, 92(1):187–216, 1988.
[96] Koichi Yamamoto. On a conjecture of Hasse concerning multiplicative relations of Gaussian sums. *J. Combinatorial Theory*, 1:476–489, 1966.
[97] Masaaki Yoshida. *Fuchsian differential equations*. Aspects of Mathematics, E11. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1987. With special emphasis on the Gauss-Schwarz theory.
[98] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson. *A course of modern analysis*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. An introduction to the general theory of infinite processes and of analytic functions; with an account of the principal transcendental functions, Reprint of the fourth (1927) edition.
[99] Masaaki Yoshida. *Hypergeometric functions, my love*. Aspects of Mathematics, E32. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997. Modular interpretations of configuration spaces.
[100] Wadim Zudilin, Ramanujan-type supercongruences, *J. Number Theory* 129(8):1848–1857, 2009.

High Point University, High Point, NC 27268, USA
E-mail address: jfuselie@highpoint.edu

Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA
E-mail address: llong@math.lsu.edu

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA
E-mail address: ravi@math.cornell.edu

Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA
E-mail address: swisherh@math.oregonstate.edu

National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300, R.O.C.
E-mail address: ft12@math.cts.nthu.edu.tw